An automated method for the evaluation of the pointing accuracy of Sun-tracking devices
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Abstract. The accuracy of solar radiation measurements, for direct (DIR) and diffuse (DIF) radiation, depends significantly on the precision of the operational Sun-tracking device. Thus, rigid targets for instrument performance and operation have been specified for international monitoring networks, e.g., the Baseline Surface Radiation Network (BSRN) operating under the auspices of the World Climate Research Program (WCRP). Sun-tracking devices that fulfill these accuracy requirements are available from various instrument manufacturers; however, none of the commercially available systems comprise an automatic accuracy control system allowing platform operators to independently validate the pointing accuracy of Sun-tracking sensors during operation. Here we present KSO-STREAMS (KSO-SunTRackEr Accuracy Monitoring System), a fully automated, system-independent, and cost-effective system for evaluating the pointing accuracy of Sun-tracking devices. We detail the monitoring system setup, its design and specifications, and the results from its application to the Sun-tracking system operated at the Kanzelhöhe Observatory (KSO) Austrian radiation monitoring network (ARAD) site. The results from an evaluation campaign from March to June 2015 show that the tracking accuracy of the device operated at KSO lies within BSRN specifications (i.e., 0.1° tracking accuracy) for the vast majority of observations (99.8%). The evaluation of manufacturer-specified active-tracking accuracies (0.02°), during periods with direct solar radiation exceeding 300 W m⁻², shows that these are satisfied in 72.9% of observations. Tracking accuracies are highest during clear-sky conditions and on days where prevailing clear-sky conditions are interrupted by frontal movement; in these cases, we obtain the complete fulfillment of BSRN requirements and 76.4% of observations within manufacturer-specified active-tracking accuracies. Limitations to tracking surveillance arise during overcast conditions and periods of partial solar-limb coverage by clouds. On days with variable cloud cover, 78.1% (99.9%) of observations meet active-tracking (BSRN) accuracy requirements while for days with prevailing overcast conditions these numbers reduce to 64.3% (99.5%).

1 Introduction

A precise knowledge of the surface energy budget, which comprises the solar and terrestrial radiation fluxes, is essential for understanding Earth’s climate system (e.g., Wild et al., 2015). The surface radiation budget itself is defined by the difference of the downward and upward components of short- and long wave irradiance (e.g., Augustine and Dutton, 2013). To date, ground-based measurements provide the most reliable information on short- and long wave irradiance. They are routinely utilized for retrieval optimization, the evaluation of satellite radiation products (Pinker et al., 2005; Gupta et al., 2004; Wang et al., 2014; Yan et al., 2011), and the evaluation and parameterization of radiative fluxes in global and regional climate models (e.g., Wild et al., 1998;
Marty et al., 2003; Donner et al., 2011; Freidenreich and Ramaswamy, 2011) and reanalysis products (e.g., Allan, 2000).

Driven by the increasing need for high-accuracy surface radiation data for scientific and technical applications, e.g., to enhance the performance of solar photovoltaic plants (e.g., Fontani et al., 2011), national and international radiation monitoring networks have been established over recent decades. The most prominent international radiation monitoring network is the so-called Baseline Surface Radiation Network (BSRN) operating under the auspices of the World Climate Research Program (WCRP), e.g., Ohmura et al., (1998). BSRN sites are equipped with instruments of the highest accuracy. Targets for instrument performance and operation are specified in the BSRN guidelines (McArthur, 2005). Furthermore, BSRN guidelines are (closely) adopted by national radiation monitoring networks, e.g., ARAD (Austrian radiation monitoring network) in Austria (Olefs et al., 2016), SACRaM in Switzerland (Wacker et al., 2011), or SURFRAD in the US (Augustine et al., 2005).

BSRN guidelines require the operation of radiation sensors on Sun-tracking devices with specified accuracy, available, in various designs, from different instrument manufacturers. BSRN guidelines recommend the use of (i) single-axis synchronous-motor tracking devices, (ii) dual-axis passive-tracking (algorithm-controlled) devices, or (iii) dual-axis active-tracking (quadrant-sensor-controlled) devices. For a detailed overview about advantages and disadvantages of these tracking devices, we refer the interested reader to Sect. 4 in McArthur (2005).

Among the suite of solar radiation measurements, the accuracy of pyrheliometer (direct solar radiation, DIR) and pyranometer measurements (diffuse solar radiation, DIF) depends significantly on the accuracy of the operational Sun-tracking device. Precise alignment is of the highest priority for the monitoring of DIF, as small misalignments can significantly affect the measurement accuracy. For measurements of DIF, one strives to solely shade the pyranometer’s glass dome to mask as little of the diffuse component as possible while simultaneously shielding direct solar irradiance. As the BSRN network strives to achieve measurements at the highest possible accuracy, its guidelines recommend using a Sun-tracking device with an accuracy of ±0.1° or better (McArthur, 2005). For the monitoring of DIR, pointing accuracy is also important, but maybe less crucial than for DIF. This has been addressed in a study by Major, presented in Annex D of McArthur (2005), concluding that pointing errors are (i) negligible if smaller than a pyrheliometer’s slope angle, but (ii) increasingly important with increasing error as measured irradiance decreases rapidly with increasing mispointing. Furthermore, BSRN guidelines recommend that tracking is monitored using a four-quadrant sensor, as the pointing accuracy is important in determining the quality of the direct beam measurement (McArthur, 2005).

Sun-tracking devices fulfilling these BSRN recommendations are available from various instrument manufacturers. Nevertheless, none of the commercially available platforms comprise an automatic accuracy control system allowing platform operators to check whether the operational pointing accuracy of the Sun-tracking device indeed fulfills BSRN targets. The lack of a pointing accuracy control system is not unique to Sun-trackers used to accommodate solar radiation sensors. In fact, the determination of pointing accuracy is a common challenge for most types of Sun-pointing instruments, and various approaches have been presented to address this issue. For example, innovative camera-based (e.g., Gisi et al., 2011) and camera-free (e.g., Reichert et al., 2015) approaches to monitor tracking accuracy have been presented in the field of solar Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectrometry. While Gisi et al. (2011) documents a camera setup with real-time image-evaluation and tracking software (CamTrack), Reichert et al. (2015) presents an approach based on subsequent FTIR measurements with a different orientation of the solar rotation axis relative to the zenith direction, which allows us to obtain both mispointing components, the component in the zenith direction, and the component perpendicular to the solar rotation axis.

Here we present a related, camera-based, fully automated, system-independent, and cost-effective observing system to determine the pointing accuracy of Sun-tracking devices used in solar radiation monitoring networks which can be easily added to existing monitoring platforms, and its application to the Sun-tracking device operated at the Kanzelhöhe Observatory (KSO), Austria. We note that KSO-STREAMS (KSO-SunTRackEr Accuracy Monitoring System) is solely intended to monitor tracking accuracy and not to adjust the alignment of an operational Sun-tracking device.

2 The proposed observing system for the evaluation of Sun-tracking device pointing accuracy

2.1 Components and installation

The proposed observing system for continuous monitoring of the alignment (i.e., pointing accuracy) of the Sun-tracking device, hereinafter referred to as KSO-STREAMS, consists of five key components: (i) a circular aperture, (ii) an optical filter block, (iii) an achromatic lens (fixed focal length of 60 mm), (iv) an adapted compact network camera with corresponding web connectivity, and (v) a fitted housing and mounting system. The observing system and a schematic illustration of the system components are shown in Fig. 1a and b. Details on system components are provided in Table 1. During operation KSO-STREAMS needs to be mounted like a pyrheliometer on the Sun-tracking device (Fig. 1c) to ensure correct imaging of the Sun’s position as identified by the tracker (computed and adjusted in the case of a four-quadrant sensor correction). The focal length of KSO-STREAMS is chosen to allow the registration of a misalignment of the imaged solar disk of up to 0.5° (corresponding to approximately
Table 1. Components of the KSO-STREAMS device and their characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Circular aperture</td>
<td>Entrance window with a diameter of 12 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter block</td>
<td>Combination of neutral- and gold-coated bandpass filter to prohibit detector saturation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Achromatic lens</td>
<td>Focal length of 60 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camera</td>
<td>Compact network camera LAN; real-time images in VGA resolution; 1/4″ CMOS-sensor; adapted to Power over Ethernet (PoE); special housing for outdoor usage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing and</td>
<td>Meet requirements of IP65 and is ready to install</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mounting system</td>
<td>like a pyrheliometer on a Sun-tracking device</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. The instrumental setup is described as follows: (a) operating device; (b) optical layout with the following labeled components: circular aperture (A), filter block (F), achromatic lens (L), and camera chip (C); (c) mounting system; (d) radiation platform with KSO-STREAMS mounted on the Sun-tracking device (SOLYS2, Kipp & Zonen) at the Kanzelhöhe Observatory ARAD site.

Figure 2. Solar disk images on the sensor array (VGA resolution) of the compact network camera of KSO-STREAMS. (a) Range of the detectable free movement of the positions of solar disk images within the detector array due to possible misalignment of the Sun-tracking device (the yellow area is the optimal position of the solar disk image, the green marked zone is the possible center of the solar disk image to be within BSRN requirements, and the yellow shaded area is the detectable misalignment of the Sun-tracking device through KSO-STREAMS); (b) typical solar disk image under clear-sky conditions.

two solar radii) in each direction from the image center (see Fig. 2a). Fortunately, such severe misalignment hardly occurs in commercial Sun-tracking devices. Nevertheless, choosing such a wide range allows us to even quantify severe misalignments and enables us to identify the pointing accuracy of the Sun-tracking device and to quantify potential misalignments.

2.2 Principle and defined accuracy limits

KSO-STREAMS is operated by an automated script and takes, between sunrise and sunset, a snapshot every 15 s of the solar disk. The images taken are immediately processed as detailed below. A typical image taken by KSO-STREAMS is given in Fig. 2b. We note that high image contrast and minimal stray light, not image type (i.e., color), are important in further steps for solar-limb detection. Thus all KSO-STREAMS pictures are first converted to grayscale (see Fig. 3a) and derotated during post-processing. Derotation is necessary to convert image pixel coordinates into azimuth and zenith coordinates, as it is not possible to mount KSO-STREAMS in perfect horizontal alignment on the Sun-tracking system. To determine the amount of image rotation necessary to achieve the horizontal alignment, we follow a four-step procedure: (i) the Sun-tracking device is positioned and fixed to its local noon position 3 min before actual local noon, (ii) images are recorded in 5 s intervals while the Sun is moving across the whole image plane, (iii) the center of the solar disk is determined (see method described below), and (iv) a line is fitted through the sequence of recorded solar disk centers, which represents the true solar path. Each picture has to be derotated for the angle between this fitted line and the image border to achieve horizontal image alignment.

For each image, the solar disk center (x-, y-position) has to be determined prior to further processing. To this aim, we apply a standard Sobel operator (Jaehne, 1991) to the high-contrast images obtained in order to detect the solar limb. The Sobel operator calculates the image gradient of each pixel by convolving the image with a pair of 3 × 3 filters which
estimate the gradients in the horizontal and vertical directions. The sum of the gradients in the horizontal and vertical directions yields the magnitude of the gradient. Given the high contrast between the Sun and background in KSO-STREAMS images, the solar limb is in first order defined through the pixels with the largest gradient (see Fig. 3b).

Next, we apply a classical least-squares circle fit (Ludwig, 1969) to the solar-limb pixels identified to calculate the radius and the center of the solar disk. Each of the first order solar-limb pixels identified is characterized through coordinates in the $xy$ plane, and the classical least-squares fitting approach minimizes the geometric distance of these points to the fitted circle.

The best fit through the set of $n$ points (i.e., the first order identified solar-limb pixels) is achieved through minimization of Eq. (1) by solving the system for $\frac{\partial F}{\partial h} = 0$, $\frac{\partial F}{\partial k} = 0$, and $\frac{\partial F}{\partial r} = 0$:

$$F(h, k, r) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ \sqrt{(x_i - h)^2 - (y_i - k)^2} - r \right]^2 \rightarrow \text{min}, \quad (1)$$

where $(x_i, y_i)$ denotes the first order solar-limb pixels, $(h, k)$ the circle center, and $r$ the radius of the fitting circle. As only coordinate pairs $(x_i, y_i)$ are known, the circle equation has to be linearized to obtain a series of linear equations yielding Eq. (4), which is linear in the undetermined coefficients $a$, $b$, and $c$, that allow us, once $a$, $b$, and $c$ are derived, to solve backwards for $h$, $k$, and $r$:

$$r^2 = (x - h)^2 + (y - k)^2 = x^2 - 2hx + h^2 + y^2 - 2ky + k^2, \quad (2)$$

$$x^2 + y^2 = 2hx + 2ky + r^2 - h^2 - k^2, \quad (3)$$

$$a^2 + y^2 = ax + by + c. \quad (4)$$

The coefficients $a$, $b$, and $c$ are derived by applying the matrix equation for a circular regression (Eq. 5):

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
\sum x_i^2 & \sum x_i y_i & \sum y_i^2 \\
\sum x_i y_i & \sum y_i^2 & \sum y_i \\
\sum x_i & \sum y_i & n
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
a \\
b \\
c
\end{bmatrix}
= \begin{bmatrix}
\sum x_i (x_i^2 + y_i^2) \\
\sum y_i (x_i^2 + y_i^2) \\
\sum x_i^2 + y_i^2
\end{bmatrix}, \quad (5)
$$

where $n$ denotes the number of individual points $(x_i, y_i)$. It follows that a unique set of values for the coefficients $a$, $b$, and $c$ — that generate the circle of best fit — exist when the 3-by-3 matrix on the left side of Eq. (5) is invertible. After deriving the coefficients $a$, $b$, and $c$, the center $(h, k)$ and radius $r$ can be computed through the following transformations:

$$h = -\frac{a}{2}, \quad (6)$$

$$k = -\frac{b}{2}, \quad (7)$$

$$r = \frac{\sqrt{4c + a^2 + b^2}}{2}. \quad (8)$$

Then, the best fitting circle can be established (see Fig. 3c).

The error on the circle fit has to be less than 1 pixel, considering the observing conditions (astronomical seeing). As the solar radius varies throughout the year uncertainty limits for the detection of the solar limb have been defined as $+5\%$ of the largest and $-5\%$ of the smallest astronomically calculated solar radius ($\sim 76$ pixel and $\sim 74$ pixel, respectively) throughout the year. As we use a prime lens (i.e., a lens with a fixed focal length of 60 mm), KSO-STREAMS focal length is not an issue. The results of the processing algorithm are stored in daily look-up tables for further post-processing and archived to allow retrospective investigation of the representativeness of solar radiation measurements found “dubious” in further analyses.

If both accuracy conditions are fulfilled, an image is considered valid and used for further analysis. It is obvious that turbidity and cloudiness (and here, especially broken cloud coverage in front of the Sun) complicate and compromise solar-limb detection. This is further investigated in Sect. 3.2, where we analyze Sun-tracker pointing accuracies over a wide range of cloud-cover conditions ranging from clear-sky to perpetual overcast.
2.3 Initial zero-point determination of the solar center determined by KSO-STREAMS

To determine the average solar disk center, we utilize data from 12 days from mid-March to mid-June 2015 (3 days each in March, April, May, and June; see Fig. 4) with perpetual clear-sky conditions and a high (and continuous) availability of observational data. Furthermore, we restrict the accuracy of limb detection to less than half a pixel. We then determine the average of the 12 individual daily-mean solar disk center positions and use this average as the initial zero point. We note that the difference among individual daily-mean zero positions (in both azimuthal and zenithal directions) is small, i.e., less than 8 pixels (which corresponds to approximately 0.03° or 6% of the solar disk diameter), and is mainly affected by different atmospheric conditions (e.g., turbidity and humidity). In the following, the accuracy of the Sun-tracking device is characterized by the difference of KSO-STREAMS solar disk centers to this defined initial zero-point center.

3 Application to the Sun-tracking device at the Kanzelhöhe Observatory, Austria

3.1 Field Measurements

KSO-STREAMS was installed on 12 March 2015 on the Sun-tracking device (type SOLYS2, Kipp & Zonen) of the Kanzelhöhe Observatory ARAD station (1540 m a.s.l.), see Fig. 1d. The Sun-tracking device is equipped with a Sun sensor which allows the fine-tuning of the alignment to the Sun if DIR is at least 300 W m$^{-2}$. The information from the Sun sensor is updated every 10 s by the Sun-tracking device; thus, KSO-STREAMS is operated with 15 s “snapshots”. Continuous operation (during ARAD site operation) started on 13 March 2015. Below, we detail the analysis of the Sun-tracking device performance and accuracy as monitored by KSO-STREAMS for 15 weeks during March to June 2015.
3.2 Evaluation of the pointing accuracies of Sun-tracking devices under different meteorological conditions

Over the 15-week evaluation period, a total of 100,939 valid observations by KSO-STREAMS were available. This corresponds to 28% of the astronomically possible observations (360,228). The remaining observations (72%) have been discarded due to exceedance of the accuracy requirements, detailed in Sect. 2.2. An overview of data availability (and relative sunshine duration) per day during the evaluation period is given in Fig. 5. We note that only 43.7% of the theoretically possible sunshine duration was observed during the evaluation period because of ambient weather conditions.

KSO-STREAMS allows identifying the fraction of observations within manufacturer-specified (i) active-tracking accuracy during periods with direct solar radiation exceeding 300 W m\(^{-2}\) and (ii) passive-tracking accuracy during periods with DIR below 300 W m\(^{-2}\). While the manufacturer-specified tracking accuracy deteriorates during periods with DIR below 300 W m\(^{-2}\) (passive tracking), this is not inherent to KSO-STREAMS observations. However, as the applied monitoring scheme is optical, it relies on the visibility of the solar disk; thus, no evaluation of the tracking accuracy is possible during periods of partial or full solar disk obstruction (see discussion below).

In the following, we illustrate the performance of the Sun-tracking device at the Kanzelhöhe Observatory in four selected situations, illustrating (i) nearly continuous clear sky, (ii) clear sky interrupted by frontal movement, (iii) variable cloud cover, and (iv) nearly perpetual overcast conditions.

Nearby continuous monitoring of the Sun-tracking device pointing accuracy was possible on 7 May 2015, with prevailing clear-sky conditions. Figure 6 shows the result of the zero-point distance determined by KSO-STREAMS (in 15 s intervals; panel a), and the result of direct solar radiation (panel b), derived from ARAD (1 min averages) and the actual total output of the Sun sensor of the Sun-tracking device (in 10 min increments) for this day. All available Sun disk centers, according to the selected restrictions (see Sect. 2.2), monitored on 7 May 2015 have been within the 0.1° limit, as specified in the BSRN guidelines. A total of 90.7% of them have been within the manufacturer-specified active-tracking pointing accuracy of 0.02°. Individual Sun disk centers deviate from the overall set, triggered by individual clouds affecting the determination of the solar limb. Nevertheless, during these periods, the pointing accuracy of the Sun-tracking device lies well within manufacturer specifications for passive-tracking (0.1°) and BSRN targets.

On 22 April 2015, zero-point center distances are comparable to 7 May 2015, although clear-sky conditions were interrupted through frontal movement from around 07:15 to 08:15 UT, indicated by the abrupt decline in DIR (Fig. 6d). No evaluation of the Sun-tracking device pointing accuracy was possible during the frontal passage as thick cloud coverage affected solar-limb detection. Before and after the frontal passage, clear skies prevailed and KSO-STREAMS-monitored pointing accuracies were within BSRN targets.
and largely (89.2% of the observations) within manufacturer specifications for active tracking (see Fig. 6c).

Next, we focus on the evaluation of Sun-tracking accuracy during variable cloud cover as well as on days with nearly continuous cloud coverage, where active-tracking mode (manufacturer requirement is denoted by a total output of the Sun sensor of at least 300 W m\(^{-2}\)) and, therefore, its evaluation is only possible during short temporal increments.

12 April and 10 May 2015 are representative for days with variable meteorological conditions, and, therefore, large variations in cloud cover. Periods with high (thick) cloud coverage, and limited direct radiation (Fig. 7b and d), affect KSO-STREAMS’ ability of solar-limb detection. During times with thinner clouds, limb detection is possible, just as under the clear-sky conditions discussed above. Pointing accuracies are within manufacturer specifications for passive-tracking (0.1°) throughout, and consequently, also within BSRN targets. However, despite DIR exceeding manufacturer requirements for active tracking frequently on these days, only 68–78% of the valid observations are within specifications for active tracking (Fig. 7a and c).

Similar results are found on days with prevailing overcast conditions, where only small gaps in cloud cover occur. Figure 8 shows data on pointing accuracy and direct radiation on 20 May and 3 June 2015, which are representative of overcast days during the evaluation period. On both days, the evaluation of the pointing accuracy of the Sun-tracking device was only possible during small gaps in cloud cover. We evaluate tracking accuracy within manufacturer targets for active tracking on these days, utilizing all observational data where the minimum of the ARAD direct radiation measurements (performed at a sample rate of 10 Hz) within a minute exceeds 300 W m\(^{-2}\). On 20 May and 3 June 2015, 42.6% and 49.2% of these selected observations, respectively, indeed fulfill the targeted accuracy of \(\leq 0.02^\circ\).

If the analysis is extended to the entire 3-month period, we find that 96% of the observations (during periods where the minimum of the ARAD direct radiation measurements within a minute exceeds 300 W m\(^{-2}\)) are within BSRN accuracy targets and about 75% are within manufacturer-specified active-tracking mode limits. While the vast majority of observations during active-tracking mode fulfills active-tracking accuracy requirements, difficulties arise dur-
Figure 7. As Fig. 6, but for days with variable cloud cover (left, 12 April 2015; right, 10 May 2015).

Figure 8. As Fig. 6, but for days with nearly perpetual overcast conditions (left, 20 May 2015; right, 3 June 2015).

ing breaks in overcast conditions. Nevertheless, it is important to note that even though larger fractions of the observations on days with overcast conditions do not fulfill active-tracking requirements, they still fulfill BSRN targets.

Finally, we characterize the overall attainment of tracking accuracy within active-tracking targets on days comprising the sets of days with (i) nearly continuous clear sky, (ii) clear sky interrupted by frontal movement, (iii) variable
KSO-STREAMS is mounted like a pyrheliometer on the pointing accuracy of Sun-tracking devices. During operation, fully automated, and cost-effective system to evaluate the paper, we present KSO-STREAMS, a platform-independent, evaluation of pointing accuracies during operation. In this monitoring system allowing station operators independent commercially available instruments comprise an automatic from a variety of instrument manufacturers, but none of the tracking devices fulfilling this pointing accuracy are available ◦ specifies pointing accuracy requirements within 0.1 sun trackings. During the 3-month period, about half of the observational days (46 days) were categorized as “variable”, and 78.1 % (99.9 %) of the observations fulfilled the active-tracking requirements (BSRN targets). Days with nearly perpetual overcast conditions are defined as days with ≤ 15 % of the theoretically possible KSO-STREAMS observations. On these days, 64.3 % of the available valid observations fulfilled active-tracking accuracy requirements, and 99.5 % of the available valid observations fulfilled BSRN targets. Calculated over all 85 days with available measurements during the evaluation period, 72.9 % of observations fulfill active-tracking accuracy requirements and 99.8 % fulfill BSRN targets. A detailed summary of the achieved tracking accuracy (per category and total) is provided in Table 2.

### 4 Conclusions

Precise Sun-tracking is necessary for high-accuracy measurements of direct and diffuse solar radiation. Therefore, rigid targets for Sun-tracking pointing accuracies are specified in national and international radiation monitoring networks, e.g., the Baseline Surface Radiation Network, which specifies pointing accuracy requirements within 0.1 ◦. Sun-tracking devices fulfilling this pointing accuracy are available from a variety of instrument manufacturers, but none of the commercially available instruments comprise an automatic monitoring system allowing station operators independent evaluation of pointing accuracies during operation. In this paper, we present KSO-STREAMS, a platform-independent, fully automated, and cost-effective system to evaluate the pointing accuracy of Sun-tracking devices. During operation, KSO-STREAMS is mounted like a pyrheliometer on the Sun-tracking device to ensure correct imaging of the Sun’s position, as identified by the tracking device.

To determine the pointing accuracy of the Sun-tracking device operated at the Kanzelhöhe Observatory Austrian radiation monitoring network (Olefs et al., 2016) site, observations by KSO-STREAMS, taken over a 15-week period from March to June 2015, were analyzed. Instrument performance was evaluated for valid KSO-STREAMS observations during four sets of ambient meteorological conditions: (i) nearly continuous clear sky, (ii) clear sky interrupted by frontal movement, (iii) variable cloud cover, and (iv) nearly perpetual overcast conditions. The results show that 72.9 % of all observations made during periods with DIR more than 300 W m−2, fulfill manufacturer-specified active-tracking accuracy requirements (0.02 ◦) and 99.8 % fulfill BSRN targets (0.1 ◦). On days with nearly continuous clear-sky conditions and/or clear-sky conditions interrupted by frontal movement, the BSRN requirements are fully satisfied and accuracies for active tracking are met for 76.4 % of observations. Similar results are found for days with variable cloud-cover conditions. As expected, Sun-tracking pointing accuracies are lowest during days with nearly perpetual overcast conditions; here, 64.3 % of observations meet active-tracking requirements. Nevertheless, the BSRN accuracy targets are still almost completely met (99.5 %), illustrating the strong performance of the Sun-tracking system operated at KSO (SOLYS2, Kipp & Zonen). The result of less accurate quadrant-sensor-based tracking on days with cloud influence is robust and not dependent on the KSO-STREAMS analysis algorithm, as all analyses were restricted to valid KSO-STREAMS observations. We conclude that KSO-STREAMS provides valuable information on the quality of radiation measurement accuracies through evaluation of the underlying pointing accuracies of the operational Sun-tracking device.
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Table 2. Summary of the achieved tracking accuracy for the determined sky-cover categories.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>Relative amount of valid observations (V)</th>
<th>Active tracking</th>
<th>BSRN requirement</th>
<th>Number of valid observations</th>
<th>Number of days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nearly continuous clear sky or clear sky interrupted by frontal movement</td>
<td>V &gt; 65 %</td>
<td>76.4 %</td>
<td>100 %</td>
<td>33 179</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable cloud cover</td>
<td>15 % &lt; V ≤ 65 %</td>
<td>78.1 %</td>
<td>99.9 %</td>
<td>62 735</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nearly perpetual overcast conditions</td>
<td>V ≤ 15 %</td>
<td>64.3 %</td>
<td>99.5 %</td>
<td>5025</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>72.9 %</td>
<td>99.8 %</td>
<td>100 939</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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