Validation of 10-year SAO OMI ozone profile (PROFOZ) product using Aura MLS measurements

Guanyu Huang¹, Xiong Liu¹, Kelly Chance¹, Kai Yang², and Zhaonan Cai¹

¹Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, Cambridge, MA, USA
²Department of Atmospheric and Oceanic Science, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, USA

Correspondence: Guanyu Huang (guanyu.huang@cfa.harvard.edu)

Received: 10 April 2017 – Discussion started: 10 May 2017
Revised: 18 September 2017 – Accepted: 2 November 2017 – Published: 5 January 2018

Abstract. We validate the Ozone Monitoring Instrument (OMI) ozone profile (PROFOZ v0.9.3) product including ozone profiles between 0.22 and 261 hPa and stratospheric ozone columns (SOCs) down to 100, 215, and 261 hPa from October 2004 through December 2014 retrieved by the Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory (SAO) algorithm against the latest Microwave Limb Sound (MLS) v4.2x data. We also evaluate the effects of OMI row anomaly (RA) on the retrieval by dividing the data set into before and after the occurrence of serious RA, i.e., pre-RA (2004–2008) and post-RA (2009–2014). During the pre-RA period, OMI ozone profiles agree very well with MLS data. After applying OMI averaging kernels to MLS data, the global mean biases (MBs) are within 3 % between 0.22 and 100 hPa, negative biases are within 3–9 % for lower layers, and the standard deviations (SDs) are 3.5–5 % from 1 to 40 hPa, 6–10 % for upper layers, and 5–20 % for lower layers. OMI shows biases dependent on latitude and solar zenith angle (SZA), but MBs and SDs are mostly within 10 % except for low and high altitudes of high latitudes and SZAs. Compared to the retrievals during the pre-RA period, OMI retrievals during the post-RA period degrade slightly between 5 and 261 hPa with MBs and SDs typically larger by 2–5 %, and degrade much more for pressure less than ~5 hPa, with larger MBs by up to 8 % and SDs by up to 15 %, where the MBs are larger by 10–15 % south of 40° N due to the blockade effect of RA and smaller by 15–20 % north of 40° N due to the solar contamination effect of RA. The much worse comparisons at high altitudes indicate the UV1 channel of pixels that are not flagged as RA is still affected by the RA. During the pre-RA period, OMI SOCs show very good agreement with MLS data with global mean MBs within 0.6 % and SDs of 1.9 % for SOCs down to 215 and 261 hPa and of 2.30 % for SOC down to 100 hPa. Despite clearly worse ozone profile comparisons during the post-RA period, OMI SOCs only slightly degrade, with SDs larger by 0.4–0.6 % mostly due to looser spatial coincidence criteria as a result of missing data from RA and MBs larger by 0.4–0.7 %. Our retrieval comparisons indicate significant bias trends, especially during the post-RA period. The spatiotemporal variation of our retrieval performance suggests the need to improve OMI’s radiometric calibration to maintain the long-term stability and spatial consistency of the PROFOZ product.

1 Introduction

The Dutch–Finnish built Ozone Monitoring Instrument (OMI) on board the NASA Earth Observing System (EOS) Aura satellite has been making useful measurements of trace gases including ozone and aerosols since October 2004. As for ozone, there are two independent operational total ozone algorithms (Bhartia and Wellemeyer, 2002; Veefkind et al., 2006) and two ozone profile algorithms. Of the two ozone profile algorithms, one is the operational algorithm developed at KNMI (van Oss et al., 2002) producing the OMO3PR product and the other one is a research algorithm developed at the Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory (SAO) by Liu et al. (2010b) producing the PROFOZ product. Both KNMI and SAO algorithms use the optimal estimation technique to retrieve ozone profiles from the spectral range 270–330 nm, but they have significantly different implementation details (e.g., radiometric calibration, radiative transfer model simulation, a priori constraint, retrieval grids, and ad-
OMI and OMI ozone profile retrievals

OMI is a nadir-viewing push-broom UV–visible spectrometer aboard the NASA EOS Aura satellite that was launched into a sun-synchronous orbit in July 2004. It measures backscattered radiances in three channels covering the 270–300 nm wavelength range (UV1: 270–310 nm; UV2: 310–365 nm; visible: 350–500 nm) at spectral resolutions of 0.42–0.63 nm (Levelt et al., 2006) with daily global coverage. There are 60 cross-track positions for UV2 and visible channels and 30 cross-track positions for UV1 channel due to the weaker signals. The nadir spatial resolution of
13 km × 24 km (along × across track) for UV2 and visible channels and 13 km × 48 km for UV1 channels. Our PROFOZ product is processed by co-adding 4 UV1 pixels along the track, resulting in product nadir spatial resolution of 52 km × 48 km. More details on the PROFOZ product and ozone profile retrieval algorithm, including the retrieval averaging kernels (AKs) and profiles of degrees of freedom for signal, can be found in Huang et al. (2017) and Liu et al. (2010b).

As previously mentioned, certain cross-track positions in OMI data have been affected by the RA since June 2007 (Kroon et al., 2011). The RA has spread to other rows and shifted with time since January 2009, and it has become more serious that some of these positions are not recommended for scientific studies. Figure 1 shows the monthly mean number of OMI ozone profile retrievals relative to that in January 2005 as a function of UV1 cross-track position and time (from October 2004 to December 2014), indicating the spatial and temporal distribution of RA affected pixels. The OMI pixels between 13 and 21 cross-track positions are mostly not processed due to RA flagging after 2009. Positions 23–27 were partially (a portion of an orbit) affected by RA except that positions 25–27 were mostly affected by RA during 2009–2011 and positions 22–23 were mostly affected by RA during summer and fall 2011. The effects of RA on the lack of retrievals at certain positions are significant to our OMI–MLS coincidences and will be further discussed in Sect. 3.

To screen out OMI profiles for validation, we applied the same criteria for the retrieval fitting quality as in Huang et al. (2017), i.e., we applied time-dependent thresholds and selecting retrievals with root mean square (RMS) of the fitting residuals smaller than the sum of monthly mean RMS and its 2σ. However, the other criteria regarding cloudiness, SZA, and cross-track position are different from Huang et al. (2017). The threshold of effective cloud fraction is removed due to its limited impacts on stratospheric ozone retrievals (Liu et al., 2010a). The SZA threshold is changed from less than 75° to less than 88°, same as the SZA criterion used by Liu et al. (2010a). At SZAAs larger than 75°, although the retrieval sensitivity to tropospheric ozone is significantly reduced to almost 0, reduction in stratospheric ozone retrieval sensitivity due to reduced signal is offset by increased vertical sensitivity to stratospheric ozone as a result of longer path length. The threshold of cross-track position is also removed because MLS collocates with OMI at an almost fixed cross-track position although the position varies with latitude (More details will be discussed in Sect. 3).

2.2 MLS and MLS ozone retrievals

MLS, co-located with OMI aboard the NASA EOS AURA satellite, is a forward-looking microwave limb sounder that measures thermal emission at millimeter and sub-millimeter wavelengths to observe vertical profiles of atmospheric trace gases, temperature, pressure, and other constituents. It takes along-track measurements and performs 240 limb scans per orbit, providing ~3500 profiles daily during both daytime and nighttime (Waters et al., 2006). Measurements are taken 7 min ahead of OMI for the same locations during daytime orbital tracks.

The MLS v2.2 products have been validated to be highly accurate using multiple correlative measurements and have been widely used in many studies (Froidevaux et al., 2008; Jiang et al., 2007; Livesey et al., 2008). In MLS v3.3 and 3.4, stratospheric ozone profiles are generally very similar except that the profile is reported on a finer vertical grid and the bottom pressure level with scientifically useful value increases from 215 to 261 hPa. Ozone in the upper troposphere shows smaller biases under clear-sky conditions but more vertical oscillations under thick cloudy conditions. The vertical resolution increases from ~3 to 2.5–3 km at most stratospheric altitudes. The across-track resolution is kept ~6 km, but the along-track resolution has been updated from ~200 km in v2.2 to 300–450 km in v3.3 and 3.4, depending on altitude (Livesey et al., 2015). The latest MLS v4.2x ozone product, released in February 2015, is used in this paper for the validation of our PROFOZ product. MLS v4.2x ozone profiles are also generally very similar to previous versions. One of the major improvements of MLS v4.2x is the handling of contamination from cloud signals in trace gas retrievals that results in significant reduction in the number of spurious MLS profiles in cloudy regions and a more user-friendly and efficient screening of cloud-contaminated measurements. Furthermore, the MLS ozone products have been improved through additional retrieval phases and reduction in interferences from other species (Livesey et al., 2015).
The recommended altitude range of MLS v4.2x data is 0.02–261 hPa, but ozone at the 261 hPa level still requires further evaluation. We use MLS 0.22–261 hPa to compare with our OMI PROFOZ product. Our comparison at 261 hPa serves as a cross-evaluation of both OMI and MLS data. According to the data screening criteria of the MLS v4.2 data document (Livesey et al., 2015), we use only profiles with even values of the “status” field, “quality” fields greater than 1.0, and “convergence” fields less than 1.03. The vertical and horizontal resolutions are almost the same as those in v3.3 and 3.4, mentioned above in this section. The precision is estimated to be \( \sim 7–30\% \) (0.2–0.4 ppmv) at 0.2–1 hPa, 2–3 % (0.06–0.15 ppmv) at 2–46 hPa, and 0.02–0.04 ppmv (4–100 % due to the high ozone variation) at 68–215 hPa. The accuracy is estimated to be 0.1–0.4 ppmv (\( \sim 5–10\% \)) in most of the stratosphere (0.2–68 hPa), approximately 0.005–0.01 ppmv (7–10%) in the 100–215 hPa region. The precision of the ozone column (for a single profile) down to 100–215 hPa is approximately 2 % or less and the accuracy is estimated to be 4 % (Livesey et al., 2015).

3 Methodology

The ideal OMI–MLS coincident criterion is that the center of an MLS footprint lies within a collocated OMI footprint, same as in Liu et al. (2010a). Then the spatial difference arises from unequal horizontal resolutions: 52 km \( \times \) 48 km for OMI vs. 300–500 km \( \times \) 6 km for MLS, and the time difference is 7 min. The OMI cross-track position collocated with MLS data varies with latitude, ranging from UV1 position 20 in the tropics to position 15 at high latitudes (Liu et al., 2010a). Before the occurrence of serious RA (2004–2008, pre-RA period), we can always find the ideal OMI–MLS collocation. However, after the serious occurrence of RA (2009–2014, post-RA period), retrievals for some of these positions are not available as shown in Fig. 1. Consequently, we must downgrade our OMI–MLS coincident criteria to the nearest OMI footprint from the center of an MLS footprint. In the tropics, the collocated OMI position changes to position 14 during 2009–2010 and to position 12 after 2010; at high latitudes, the collocated OMI position changes to position 22 after 2009 except for position 24 during summer and fall 2011. These different OMI–MLS coincidence criteria between pre-RA and post-RA periods and the cross-track-dependent retrieval biases as shown in Huang et al. (2017) might influence our evaluation of the OMI long-term stability. Similar to Huang et al. (2017), we will conduct the comparison for both pre-RA and post-RA periods to evaluate the impacts of RA on the retrievals. To find out whether our validation is affected by different coincident criteria, we will also apply the same post-RA coincidence criterion (position 12 in the tropics and 22 at high latitudes) to pre-RA measurements by masking out positions 13–21 (i.e., pre-PA period with post-RA mask). The comparison differences between using ideal coincidence and post-RA coincidence for the pre-RA period will provide the impacts of coincidence criteria on the comparison.

OML and OMI ozone profiles have different vertical grids and resolutions due to the use of observation modes (nadir for OMI and limb for MLS) and different spectral regions. In contrast to typical profile comparison using the vertical grid of the product with coarser vertical resolution, we conduct the comparison using the finer MLS vertical grid following Liu et al. (2010a) to demonstrate that OMI retrievals compare quite well with MLS data even at the MLS grid and facilitate the cross-validation of ozone from 215 to 261 hPa and SOCs integrated down to several MLS pressure levels. We follow the approach used in Liu et al. (2010a) to account for these vertical differences. MLS ozone profiles in volume mixing ratios are integrated to partial ozone columns using a procedure provided by the MLS team (Liu et al., 2010a). To compare OMI retrievals with original MLS data directly, OMI partial ozone columns are integrated to the MLS vertical grids. To account for the different resolutions, MLS partial ozone columns are first interpolated to OMI vertical grids and then degraded to the OMI vertical resolution by assuming MLS data to be the truth \( (X_{\text{MLS}}) \) and simulating the expected retrieval \( (X'_{\text{MLS}}) \) from our OMI algorithm using OMI AKs:

\[
X'_{\text{MLS}} = X_a + A(X_{\text{MLS}} - X_a),
\]

where \( X_a \) is the a priori ozone profile used in OMI retrievals and \( A \) is the AK matrix. The differences between \( X'_{\text{MLS}} \) and \( X_{\text{MLS}} \) are the estimated OMI smoothing errors with relative to MLS data, although we note that errors in MLS data could affect the estimates. The convolved MLS data are then interpolated back to the original MLS grids and compared with OMI retrievals interpolated to the MLS grids. In addition to the comparisons between OMI and convolved MLS data, we also compare OMI with original MLS data. Our comparison with original MLS data indicates how well our retrievals can represent actual ozone data. Our comparison with convolved MLS data removes the OMI smoothing-error-related component of the differences between MLS profiles and OMI a priori, which is significant in the UTLS region, and therefore allows us to better identify other sources of OMI–MLS errors. The normalized difference is defined as \( (\text{OMI–MLS}) / \text{OMI a priori} \times 100\% \). OMI a priori is used in the calculation instead of MLS values because the relative differences with respect to MLS data could be unrealistically large due to the small MLS values in the tropical UTLS (Liu et al., 2010a).

Although MLS v4.2x ozone data in the vertical range 0.02–261 hPa are recommended for scientific use, the top layer in our OMI retrievals is a broad layer from 0.35 hPa to the top of the atmosphere. We mainly use MLS data to validate our retrievals from 0.22 to 261 hPa to avoid the large interpolation errors in this broad layer as shown in Figure 2. For validation of OMI SOCs, original/convolved MLS SOCs are integrated from original/convolved MLS ozone profiles.
between 0.22 and corresponding pressure levels (100, 215 or 261 hPa). The ozone column from 0.22 hPa to the top of the atmosphere, which is included in the OMI SOCs, is generally less than 0.1–0.2 DU and therefore negligible (Liu et al., 2010a). We compare OMI SOCs with both original and convolved MLS SOCs although most of the results are for comparisons with original MLS data as the smoothing errors in SOCs are relatively small.

Although we have applied RA flagging in the OMI level 1b data, we notice unusually large retrieval anomalies during several time periods. Figure 3 shows the deseasonalized time series of monthly mean OMI and MLS SOC215 in 60°N–30°N, 30°N–30°S, and 30°S–60°S. It clearly shows large positive OMI retrieval anomalies of up to 20 DU (10 DU) in the tropics and southern midlatitudes during July–October 2011 (July–December 2014) and smaller but still noticeable positive anomalies at all latitudes during March–October 2009. The reason for such large, sporadic retrieval anomalies in cross-track positions not flagged as RA pixels is still not clear, but they are likely associated with the impacts of RA. It is also not recommended to use the data over these periods. Consequently, we exclude the use of OMI PROFOZ products during these three time periods in this validation. However, these anomalies are not readily detected in our OMI–ozonesonde comparison (Huang et al., 2017). This is probably because the number of OMI–ozonesonde pairs is much smaller than that of OMI–MLS pairs during these time periods, and the OMI–sonde SOCs are integrated from the tropopause up to ∼7 hPa.

For both profile and SOC comparisons, we will first show global comparison during pre-RA and post-RA periods, respectively. Our pre-RA comparison will be done using both ideal collocation criteria and post-RA mask. Then our comparisons will be done as a function of latitude and SZAs in the Southern and Northern hemispheres, in which results with the ideal coincidence criterion is applied for the pre-RA period.

To evaluate the long-term performance of our ozone profile retrievals, we analyze the monthly MBs of the OMI–MLS differences as a function of time for five different latitude bands: northern high latitudes (90–60°N), northern midlatitudes (60–30°N), tropics (30°N–30°S), southern midlatitudes (30–60°S), and southern high latitudes (60–90°S). We then derive linear regression trends for the entire period (2004–2014), the pre-RA period (2004–2008), and post-RA period (2009–2014). In this evaluation of long-term performance, the pre-RA comparison is done using the post-RA mask, which is consistent with the comparison during the post-RA period.

4 Results and discussions
4.1 Comparison of OMI and MLS ozone profiles

Figure 4a and b show OMI–MLS ozone profile comparison over the globe during the pre-RA period (2004–2008) with ideal coincidence criterion. When compared with the original MLS profiles (blue lines), OMI agrees well with MLS, with global MBs within 6 % from 1 to 150 hPa, positive biases of up to 12 % for upper layers and negative biases of up to 25 % for the bottom layers. The corresponding SDs are within 4–7 % from 1 to 50 hPa, increasing to ∼13 % for the top layers, and to 27–42 % between 100 and 261 hPa. These results exhibit significant improvements over the OMI a priori as shown in black with 1–5 % smaller SDs from ∼1 to 261 hPa, while the larger SDs from pressures 1 hPa lower than those of OMI a priori–MLS comparison indicate that the combined errors from OMI and MLS exceed the natural variability of ozone.

The smoothing errors (green lines), estimated by assuming MLS data as the truth, are generally within 3 % from 0.5 to 215 hPa, increasing to −12 % at the 215–261 hPa layer and to 12 % at pressure less than 0.5 hPa. Their SDs are 2–10 % from 0.2 to 70 hPa, increasing up to 20–35 % for the bottom two layers. The smoothing errors dominate OMI–MLS variances over the pressure range of 5–261 hPa and the larger OMI–MLS variance at pressure less than 1 hPa is likely due to the large combined OMI–MLS errors. The smoothing errors are generally consistent with OMI retrieval estimates of smoothing errors except for pressure above 50 hPa, where MLS derived smoothing errors are significantly larger. Differences due to different spatiotemporal footprints and OMI–MLS systematic errors may contribute to larger MLS-derived smoothing errors.

After removing smoothing errors through convolving MLS profiles with OMI AKs (red lines), the OMI retrievals show good agreement with MLS data to within 3 % from 0.22
to 100 hPa. For pressure greater than 100 hPa, OMI has negative biases of 3–9 % compared to MLS data. These negative biases are not entirely from OMI because MLS ozone has been found to have positive biases in this altitude range relative to other correlative measurements (Froidevaux et al., 2008; Liu et al., 2010a). The SDs are 3.5–5 % from 1.5–40 hPa, 6–10 % for upper layers, and 5–20 % for lower layers.

These comparisons are similar to the OMI–MLS comparisons shown in 2006 in Liu et al. (2010a), although both OMI and MLS versions are now different and this study is done at a finer vertical grid (due to the finer grid in MLS v4.2x data than in MLS v2 data). The comparison in the bottom layer (215–261 hPa) has larger negative MBs and larger SDs compared to that in the layer above (150–215 hPa). This is probably due to a combination of larger retrieval errors in both OMI and MLS data and larger ozone variability.

Figure 4c and d show a similar comparison during the pre-RA period but with post-RA mask coincidence criteria, with the OMI–MLS comparison in Fig. 4a and b also shown as dashed lines. The results are very similar, larger by less than 0.5 % in both MBs and SDs. This indicates that the choice of OMI–MLS coincident criteria has negligible effects on the ozone profile comparison.

Figure 4e and f show similar comparison during the post-RA period (2009–2014). The OMI retrievals clearly exhibit degrading data quality indicated by larger MBs and SDs than those during the pre-RA period. The largest changes occur in the upper layers at pressure less than ∼10 hPa; MBs increase by 2–5 % and SDs increase by up to ∼15 %. In addition, the retrieval biases are larger than those in the a priori biases within 1–10 hPa. The larger differences at higher altitudes, where the retrieval sensitivity mainly comes from shorter wavelengths (<300 nm) in the UV1 channel, indicate that RA significantly affects the UV1 channel, although those OMI pixels are not flagged as RA pixels. At lower altitudes, OMI still shows good comparison with MLS data although the MBs and SDs can be larger by 2–5 %.

Figure 5 shows the MBs and SDs of the differences between OMI and MLS (convolved with OMI AKs) ozone profiles as functions of latitude during the pre-RA (with ideal coincidence) and post-RA periods, respectively. During the pre-RA period, the MBs are generally within 10 % except for positive biases of up to ∼20 % from 40 to 80 hPa, negative biases of up to ∼20 % from below at high latitudes, and negative biases of up to ∼15 % in the upper stratosphere of northern high latitudes. Clearly, the MBs show large oscillations at high latitudes, likely due to both larger retrieval errors and ozone variability. In addition, OMI still shows some systematic cross-track position-dependent biases (Huang et al., 2017). As the OMI–MLS coincident position varies with latitude, cross-track-dependent biases in our OMI retrievals can be up to 5 % in the UTLS and within a few percent at higher altitudes. These errors will also contribute to the lati-
Figure 4. (a, c, e) Global mean biases at each MLS layer and (b, d, f) corresponding standard deviations of the differences between OMI and MLS profiles from 0.22 to 261 hPa in (a, b) 2004–2008, (c, d) 2004–2008 with post-RA mask, and (e, f) 2009–2014, respectively. The black and blue lines compare a priori and OMI retrievals, respectively, with original MLS profiles. The red lines compare OMI retrievals with MLS profiles after applying OMI averaging kernels. The green lines represent OMI smoothing errors estimated by assuming MLS data as the truth. For contrast, the comparisons of OMI and MLS data based on the ideal collocation criteria in 2004–2008 are plotted in blue and red dashed lines in panels (c) and (d).

Figure 5 shows comparisons similar to those in Fig. 4 except as a function of SZA in Southern and Northern hemispheres. During the pre-RA period, OMI has good agreement with MLS in 1–100 hPa for SZA less than 80°, with MBs of < 10% and SDs of 3–15% in both hemispheres, but with larger MBs and SDs at larger SZAs. As SZA correlates with altitude, OMI cross-track dependency will contribute to the SZA dependence of the comparison. The patterns in MBs and SDs are again quite symmetric between the two hemispheres despite different ozone fields except that the MBs are more...
negative in the Northern Hemisphere. The symmetry suggests that these biases are likely caused by SZA-dependent errors such as errors due to OMI stray-light errors and radiative transfer calculations errors. During the post-RA period, in addition to the larger MBs and SDs as shown in Fig. 5, the patterns in the MBs are not symmetric anymore as a result of the blockage effect of the RA in the Southern Hemisphere, lower latitude (smaller SZA) of the Northern Hemisphere, and the solar contamination effect of the RA in the higher latitude (larger SZA) of the Northern Hemisphere.

4.2 Comparisons of OMI and MLS SOCs

Figure 7 shows a scatter density plot of OMI and MLS SOCs (SOC100, SOC215, SOC261) without applying OMI AKs during the pre-RA (2004–2008 with ideal coincidence criterion and post-RA mask) and post-RA periods, respectively. The corresponding comparison statistics are written in black within the panels. All OMI SOCs show excellent agreement with MLS data even during the post-RA period. The correlation coefficients are typically within 0.96–0.99 and the linear regression slopes are within 0.97–1.04. MBs are typ-
Figure 7. Scattering density plots of OMI and MLS stratospheric ozone columns above 100, 215, and 261 hPa (i.e., SOC100, SOC215, and SOC261, respectively), from the top to bottom panels, during 2004–2008 (left), 2004–2008 with post-RA mask (middle column), and 2009–2014 (right). OMI averaging kernels are not applied to MLS data. The comparison statistics of OMI–MLS SOCs are shown in black including mean biases, standard deviations, relative mean biases and standard deviations, correlation coefficients, linear regressions, and the number of OMI–MLS pairs. Comparison statistics with OMI AKs applied to MLS data are also provided in red.

OMI SOCs show the best comparison with MLS SOCs during 2004–2008 with ideal coincidence as clearly seen by the smallest spread of the scatters, the smallest SDs (5.3–5.6 DU or 1.9–2.3 %), and the highest correlation coefficients (0.98–0.99). The MBs are within 1.3 DU (0.6 %). With the lower boundary from 100 to 215 to 261 hPa, MBs decrease from 1.3 DU to 0.4 DU to −0.2 DU due to negative biases in the bottom three layers as shown in Fig. 3, and SDs decrease from 5.6 (2.3 %) to 5.4 DU (1.9 %) to 5.3 DU (1.9 %). The SOC215 comparison is significantly better than during 2006 as shown in Liu et al. (2010a), when the MBs are −1.8 ± 7.7 DU. This may reflect the improvement of both OMI and MLS over previous versions. Using the post-RA mask for the pre-RA period, the comparison only slightly degrades, with MBs larger by up to 0.3 DU, SDs larger by 0.9–1.7 DU (0.4–0.6 %), and correlations smaller by 0.01. During the post-RA period, the MBs systematically increase by 1–1.4 DU over those during the pre-RA period with post-RA mask, but the SDs and correlation do not change much. Despite the degradation in ozone profile comparison, especially at pressures less than ~5 hPa during the post-RA period, the overall SOC comparisons do not degrade much except for the systematic increase of MBs by ~1 DU. Also, the comparison for SOC261 is very similar to that for the SOC215, indicating that ozone in 215–261 hPa and SOC down to 261 hPa can be used for scientific use, e.g., to derive tropospheric ozone columns from OMI–MLS using the TOR method.

The comparisons with AKs applied to MLS data are not shown but the comparison statistics are written in red in the panels of Fig. 7. As expected, applying OMI AKs improves the comparison with smaller SDs and better correlation. But the reduction in SDs is very small, typically within 0.3 DU (0.1 %), and the increase in correlation is within 0.001. The values of MBs are smaller, typically by less than 0.2 DU for SOC100 and SOC215 and by 0.7 DU for SOC261.

Figure 8 shows the SOC comparisons as a function of latitudes. Generally, SOC100, SOC215, and SOC261 comparison results have similar patterns: small MBs (within 1 %) and SDs (within 2 %) in the lower latitudes and some lati-
During the pre-RA period. In the Northern Hemisphere, MBs patterns in the Southern Hemisphere are still similar to those not symmetric anymore between the two hemispheres. The are typically larger especially at high SZAs, and patterns are MBs and SDs generally show more SZA dependence, SDs of SZAs to up to $\sim \frac{1}{2} \%$ gradually increase with SZA when MBs do not dramatically increase with SZA to up to $\sim 2 \%$. For SOC215 and SOC261, MBs are within $0.5 \%$ between 50$^\circ$ S and 50$^\circ$ N areas and increase up to $4 \%$ with the increase of latitude in both hemispheres. Such latitudinal dependence decreases with increasing pressure of the lower boundary. SDs typically increase with latitude for all three SOCs, from within $2 \%$ in the tropics to up to $\sim 4 \%$ at higher latitudes, mainly due to a combination of larger OMI retrieval errors and larger ozone variability. During the post-RA period, the MBs are typically more positive and SDs are always larger, especially at higher latitude, mostly due to the use of looser coincidence criterion; such a contrast between pre-RA and post-RA periods is consistent with that in Fig. 7.

Figure 9 shows similar SOC comparisons as functions of SZAs for both Southern and Northern hemispheres. During the pre-RA period, the patterns of MBs and SDs are relatively symmetric between the two hemispheres. For SOC100, MBs are within $0.5 \%$ and do not show much SZA dependence at SZA less than $45^\circ$, then generally increase with SZA to up to $2.5 \%$. For SOC215 and SOC261, MBs are within $0.5 \%$ and show little SZA dependence until SZA $> 80^\circ$, then MBs dramatically increase with SZA to up to $\sim 4 \%$. The SDs within $2 \%$ gradually increase with SZA when MBs do not change much, and they then increase more with the increase of SZAs to up to $\sim 5 \%$. During the post-RA periods, the MBs and SDs generally show more SZA dependence, SDs are typically larger especially at high SZAs, and patterns are not symmetric anymore between the two hemispheres. The patterns in the Southern Hemisphere are still similar to those during the pre-RA period. In the Northern Hemisphere, MBs are reduced by up to $2 \%$ at SZAs of $65–80^\circ$ due to the solar contamination effect of the RA.

Compared to the results of Liu et al. (2010a), the SOC215 comparison during the pre-RA period is more symmetric between the two hemispheres; the SDs show stronger latitude–SZA dependence, and the MBs typically show less latitude–SZA dependence and are closer to zero. The SOC261 comparisons are very similar to the SOC215 comparisons during both pre-RA and post-RA periods, indicating the scientific use of MLS ozone at 261 hPa in applications such as the OMI–MLS TOR method.

### 4.3 Evaluation of long-term performance

Figure 10 shows the linear trends in the relative OMI–MLS biases during 2004–2014, pre-RA (2004–2008), and post-RA (2009–2014) periods for the five latitude ranges. It should be noted that the three time periods (March–October 2009, July–October 2011, and July–December 2014) with very large OMI–MLS biases are excluded in our trend analysis (gray areas in Fig. 3). The solid circles indicate significant trends with $p$ values less than 0.05. We can see significant bias trends at most altitudes during 2009–2014 or 2004–2014 for all latitude ranges. The absolute trends are typically larger during 2009–2014 than during 2004–2014 as the latter includes the pre-RA period when the retrievals are more stable. The trends are stronger at northern middle and high latitudes and become smaller at other latitude ranges, indicating that the dominant solar contamination effect of the RA occurring in the northern portion of the orbit might have a stronger temporal variation. Most of the significant trends are within $3 \% \, \text{yr}^{-1}$, but there are trends with absolute values of greater than $5 \%$ at high altitudes (pressure less than 3 hPa) of $90–30^\circ$ N and at lower altitudes (pressure greater than 125 hPa) of $90–60^\circ$ N. Our OMI retrievals are more stable during the pre-RA period. Significant trends do occur at pressure less than 8 hPa especially in the tropics, but the values are typically less than $1 \% \, \text{yr}^{-1}$ except for the upper stratosphere, where there are significantly large trends of up to $5 \% \, \text{yr}^{-1}$ at $90–30^\circ$ N.

Figure 11 shows monthly mean OMI–MLS SOC215 biases as a function of time for the five latitude ranges. Significantly large MBs occur in the tropics and both midlatitude regions during March–October 2009 and in the tropics and southern midlatitude during July–October 2011 and July–December 2014, as have been shown in Fig. 3. As mentioned in Sect. 3, such large biases during the three periods are excluded in the trend analysis. Otherwise, they can drive the trend calculation. The impacts of RA are clearly seen from the much larger temporal variation in the tropics and midlatitudes even after excluding the above three periods. During the post-RA periods, there are significant linear trends of $0.65–1.16 \, \text{DU} \, \text{yr}^{-1}$ except for the tropics, where the SOC215 MBs show large temporal variation but the overall trend is small, and the southern high latitudes, where the linear trend
Figure 9. Mean biases (solid circles) and standard deviations (open circles) of the differences between OMI and MLS stratospheric ozone columns (SOCs) above 100 hPa (a, b), 215 hPa (c, d), and 261 hPa (e, f) as a function of solar zenith angle for Northern Hemisphere (blue) and Southern Hemisphere (red) during 2004–2008 (left) and 2009–2014 (right). Please noted that standard deviations are plotted with the zero line as reference.

Figure 10. Linear regression trends of relative OMI–MLS biases as a function of altitude for five latitudes bands during three time periods: 2004–2014 (black), 2004–2008 (red), and 2009–2014 (green). Solid circles indicate significant trends (with $p$ value less than 0.05). The gray areas indicate the range of $-3\%$ and $3\%$ in each panel.
is large but the \( p \) value of 0.14 is less significant. For the entire 2004–2014 period, there are significant linear trends of 0.26–0.40 DU yr\(^{-1}\) in the tropics and midlatitudes. During the pre-RA period, there are statistically significant trends of 0.21–0.34 DU yr\(^{-1}\) in the tropics and northern midlatitudes, but the trends are much smaller than those during the post-RA periods.

The significant trends of OMI–MLS ozone biases at different layers as well as in SOC suggest that the current ozone profile product is not suitable for trend studies, especially during the post-RA periods. Our retrieval is more stable during the pre-RA period. The stronger temporal variation of our retrieval performance during the post-RA period is likely associated with the RA evolution. To maintain the long-term stability of our ozone profile product, we need to perform soft calibration similar to Liu et al. (2010b), especially during the post-RA period if the radiometric calibration improvement cannot be done in the level 0-1b processing. As the effects of the RA vary along the orbit (e.g., dominated by the solar contamination effect during the northern portion of the orbit and the blockage effect during the rest of the orbit), the empirical correction should be derived as a function of both latitude and time.

5 Summary and conclusion

To complement our validation of the 10-year OMI PROFOZ product using ozonesonde observations in a prequel of this paper, we evaluated this product including ozone profiles from 0.22 to 261 hPa and SOCs down to pressure levels 100, 215, and 261 hPa (i.e., SOC100, SOC215, SOC261) using MLS v4.2x product during the same period (October 2004–December 2014). To investigate the impacts of RA on the retrievals, we contrasted the comparison before and after the occurrence of major OMI RA in January 2009, i.e., 2004–2008 (i.e., pre-RA period), and 2009–2014 (i.e., post-RA period). We applied ideal OMI–MLS coincident criterion (i.e., MLS footprint center lies in the footprint of an OMI footprint) in the pre-RA period and the nearest coincident criterion where retrievals for the ideally collocated OMI pixels are not available due to the RA impacts. To show the impacts of coincident criteria on the comparison, we also conducted the comparison for the pre-RA period using the post-RA coincidence criterion by masking pre-RA retrievals at cross-track positions 13–21 (i.e., pre-RA with post-RA mask). There are unreasonably large OMI–MLS biases during March–October 2009, July–October 2011 and
July–December 2014, which was very likely caused by the RA. Therefore, we excluded OMI data during these periods. To better understand retrieval errors and sensitivity, we compared the retrieved ozone profiles and a priori profiles at individual layers with MLS data before and after being degraded to the OMI vertical resolution with OMI AKs, and characterized the OMI smoothing errors using MLS data. To show the spatial consistency of the retrievals, we performed the comparison as a function of latitude and SZA. Finally, we analyzed the monthly variation of the MBs of ozone profiles and SOC215 to examine the long-term stability of our OMI PROFOZ product.

During the pre-RA period, OMI ozone profiles agree well with the original MLS data. The global MBs are within 6 % from 1 to 150 hPa, up to 12 % for the upper layers, and up to −25 % for the bottom layers. The SDs range from 4–7 % in 1–50 hPa up to ∼13 % for pressure < 1 hPa and up to 42 % for pressure > 50 hPa. The large SDs at lower altitudes are mainly due to OMI smoothing errors. After removing smoothing errors by convolving MLS data with OMI AKs, the MBs are within 3 % between 0.22 and 100 hPa, the negative biases are within 3–9 % for lower layers, and the SDs are within 3.5–5 % between 1 and 40 hPa, 6–10 % for upper layers, and 5–20 % for lower layers. The impact of using different coincident criteria on the ozone profile comparison is negligible; using the post-RA mask increases the SDs and MBs by less than 0.5 %. During the post-RA period, OMI retrievals become slightly worse between 5 and 261 hPa with global MBs and SDs typically larger by 2–5 % and are much worse for pressure less than ∼5 hPa with larger MBs by up to 8 % and SDs by up to 15 %. The much worse comparison at higher altitudes indicates that RA significantly affects the UV1 channel of the OMI measurements, although they are not flagged as RA pixels.

OMI ozone profiles show latitude- and SZA-dependent biases with respect to MLS data. During the pre-RA period, the patterns in MBs and SDs are quite symmetric between the two hemispheres despite different ozone fields, which suggests that these biases were likely caused by SZA-dependent errors such as errors due to OMI stray-light errors and radiative transfer calculations errors. MBs are generally within 10 % but show larger oscillations at high latitudes and SZAs with positive biases of up to 20 % from 40 to 80 hPa and negative biases of up to 20 % from below. SDs increase from 2–4 % at lower latitudes and SZAs to 4–8 % at high latitudes and SZAs in 1–40 hPa and increase from 5–10 % to ∼30 % at pressure less than 1 hPa or greater than 50 hPa. During the post-RA period, the different effects of RA caused asymmetry in the patterns of MBs and SDs between the two hemispheres at pressure less than ∼5 hPa, where the MBs are larger by 10–15 % south of 40 °N due to the blockage effect of RA and smaller by 15–20 % north of 40 °N due to the solar contamination effect of RA, and the SDs are larger by 10 %. For lower altitudes (5–261 hPa), the MBs and SDs are typically larger by 2–5 % than those during the pre-RA period. From the OMI scientific requirement document (Levelt et al., 2000), the scientific requirement for OMI ozone is to achieve 10 % accuracy in the stratosphere and 30 % in the troposphere. The validation of stratospheric ozone profiles with MLS data indicates that our retrieval performance generally meets OMI’s scientific requirement in most of the stratosphere, especially during the pre-RA period (Levelt et al., 2000) except for high latitudes and SZAs.

All OMI SOCs show very good agreement with MLS data during both pre-RA and post-RA periods. During the pre-RA period, the global mean MBs are within 0.6 %, and the SDs are 1.9 % for SOC215 and SOC261 and 2.30 % for SOC100. Using the post-RA mask only slightly degrades the pre-RA comparison, with MBs larger by up to 0.3 DU and SDs larger by 0.9–1.7 DU (0.4–0.6 %). During the post-RA period, OMI SOCs also slightly degrade, with MBs larger by 1–1.4 DU (0.4–0.7 %) and SDs comparable to those during the pre-RA period with post-RA mask. Applying the OMI AKs to MLS data only slightly improves the comparison due to the small smoothing errors in SOCs, reducing the SDs by less than 0.3 DU (0.1 %). Similar to the ozone profiles, OMI SOCs show latitude- and SZA-dependent biases. During the pre-RA period, MBs (SDs) are within 0.5 % (2 %) in the lower latitudes and SZAs and can increase up to 4 % at high latitudes and up to ∼6 % at SZAs larger than 85 °. The MBs in SOC215 and SOC261 show less latitudinal dependence and show little SZA dependence until SZA is > 80 ° compared to SOC100. The patterns in MBs and SDs are quite symmetric, especially for SOC215 and SOC261 as a function of SZA. During the post-RA period, the MBs and SDs generally show more latitude–SZA dependence. The MBs are typically more positive, and the SDs are larger especially at high latitudes and SZAs. The patterns in MBs and SDs are less symmetric due to the various effects of RA along the orbit (i.e., blockage effect south of ∼40 °N and solar contamination effect north of ∼40 °N). The SOC261 comparison is very similar to the SOC215 comparison during both pre-RA and post-RA periods, implying that the MLS ozone at 261 hPa and MLS SOC261 are good for scientific use and can be used to improve the tropospheric ozone column derivation using various OMI–MLS tropospheric ozone residual methods.

Finally, we evaluated long-term data stability of ozone profile and SOC215 with respect to MLS data and calculate linear regression trends of monthly MBs in five different latitude ranges during pre-RA, post-RA, and 2004–2014 periods, respectively. Our validation shows significant bias trends or more temporal variation during the post-RA period; significant trends also occur during the pre-RA period, for example for ozone at pressures less than 8 hPa in the tropics and SOC215 in the tropics and northern midlatitudes, but the magnitudes of the trends or the temporal variation are much smaller compared to those during the post-RA period. Overall, our validation indicates that our retrieval performance generally meets OMI’s scientific requirement for ozone profile retrieval to have 10 % accuracy in the strato-
sphere (Levlt et al., 2000) especially during the pre-RA period. However, these significant bias trends suggest that the current ozone profile product is not suitable for trend studies, especially during the post-RA period. The spatiotemporal variation of the retrieval performance suggests the need to improve OMI’s radiometric calibration as a function of both latitude and time especially during the post-RA period to maintain the long-term stability and spatial consistency of our ozone profile product.

Data availability. OMI PROFOZ (version 0.9.3) used in this study is available to users at Aura Validation Data Center (AVDC) (available at: https://avdc.gsfc.nasa.gov/index.php?site=1389025893&id=74; Yang et al., 2010).
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