Shipborne MAX-DOAS measurements for validation of TROPOMI NO$_2$ products
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Abstract. Tropospheric NO$_2$ and stratospheric NO$_2$ vertical column densities are important TROPOspheric Monitoring Instrument (TROPOMI) data products. In order to validate the TROPOMI NO$_2$ products, KNMI Multi-Axis Differential Optical Absorption Spectroscopy (MAX-DOAS) instruments have measured NO$_2$ on ship cruises over the Atlantic and the Pacific oceans. The MAX-DOAS instruments have participated in five cruises on board RV Sonne (in 2017 and 2019) and RV Maria S. Merian (in 2018). The MAX-DOAS measurements were acquired over 7 months and spanned about 90° in latitude and 300° in longitude. During the cruises aerosol measurements from Microtops sun photometers were also taken. The MAX-DOAS measured stratospheric NO$_2$ columns between 1.5 × 10$^{15}$ and 3.5 × 10$^{15}$ molec cm$^{-2}$ and tropospheric NO$_2$ up to 0.6 × 10$^{15}$ molec cm$^{-2}$. The MAX-DOAS stratospheric NO$_2$ vertical column densities have been compared with TROPOMI stratospheric NO$_2$ vertical column densities and the stratospheric NO$_2$ vertical column densities simulated by the global chemistry Transport Model, version 5, Massively Parallel model (TM5-MP). Good correlation is found between the MAX-DOAS and TROPOMI and TM5 stratospheric NO$_2$ vertical column densities, with a correlation coefficient of 0.93 or larger. The TROPOMI and TM5 stratospheric NO$_2$ vertical column densities are about 0.4 × 10$^{15}$ molec cm$^{-2}$ (19 %) higher than the MAX-DOAS measurements. The TROPOMI tropospheric NO$_2$ also has good agreement with the MAX-DOAS measurements. The tropospheric NO$_2$ vertical column density is as low as 0.5 × 10$^{15}$ molec cm$^{-2}$ over remote oceans.

1 Introduction

Nitrogen dioxide (NO$_2$) and nitrogen oxide (NO) – usually referred to as nitrogen oxides (NO$_x$ = NO + NO$_2$) – are air-polluting trace gases in the troposphere. Tropospheric NO$_2$ is mostly produced at high temperatures in combustion processes but also in soil microbial process and lightning events. In the stratosphere, NO$_2$ is an ozone-depleting substance produced primarily from the oxidation of nitrous oxide (N$_2$O) (Crutzen, 1970; Johnston, 1971; Seinfeld and Pandis, 2006). NO$_x$ can also suppress ozone depletion by converting reactive chlorine and hydrogen compounds into unreactive reservoir species (Murphy et al., 1993).

Stratospheric NO$_2$ total column densities have a strong diurnal cycle that is caused by the sunlight-driven balance between NO and NO$_2$ and is influenced by (bounded to) a total NO$_x$ amount. At night, NO$_x$ is in the form of NO$_2$, which is oxidized by O$_3$ to produce NO$_3$, and NO$_3$ is converted to N$_2$O$_5$ in the presence of NO$_2$. Therefore, N$_2$O$_5$ is produced at night and NO$_2$ decreases during night.

During the daytime, NO$_2$ and NO are in a photochemical balance via the photolysis of NO$_2$ into NO and the oxidation of NO into NO$_2$ via ozone. Stratospheric NO$_2$ decreases at sunrise because photodissociation brings NO$_2$ back in balance with NO. The daytime NO$_2$ concentrations increase gradually, which is caused by the slow increase in total NO$_x$. The slow increase in NO$_x$ during the daytime is due to the photodissociation of N$_2$O$_5$. In the lower stratosphere, additional reactions involving formation of HNO$_3$ and ClONO$_2$ also affect the total NO$_x$ available.

Tropospheric NO$_2$ concentrations have been derived from ultraviolet–visible backscatter satellite spectrometers such as
Global Ozone Monitoring Experiment (GOME) (Burrows et al., 1999), SCanning Imaging Absorption spectroMeter for Atmospheric CHartographY (SCIAMACHY) (Bovensmann et al., 1999), Ozone Monitoring Instrument (OMI) (Levett et al., 2006), GOME-2 (Munro et al., 2006), and Ozone Mapping and Profiler Suite (OMPS) (Yang et al., 2014). The TROPOspheric Monitoring Instrument (TROPOMI) (Veefkind et al., 2012), launched in October 2017, extends these observation records. The TROPOMI instrument has a small pixel size of 3.6 km across-track by 7.2 km along-track at nadir and provides detailed daily global NO$_2$ images. In August 2019, TROPOMI was switched to a smaller pixel size of 3.6 km $\times$ 5.6 km.

In TROPOMI tropospheric NO$_2$ retrievals, the stratospheric NO$_2$ has to be subtracted from the total NO$_2$ column density. Several approaches have been developed to separate stratospheric NO$_2$ and tropospheric NO$_2$ (e.g. Richter and Burrows, 2002; Bucsela et al., 2006; Beirle et al., 2016). In the KNMI NO$_2$ algorithm, the stratospheric NO$_2$ is simulated through the assimilation of the TROPOMI NO$_2$ slant column densities in the global chemistry Transport Model, version 5, Massively Parallel version (TM5-MP) (van Geffen et al., 2019).

Validation of TROPOMI satellite NO$_2$ products has been done with ground-based measurements over land at different locations recently (e.g. Griffin et al., 2019; Ialongo et al., 2020; Zhao et al., 2019). Good agreement between TROPOMI and the ground-based tropospheric NO$_2$ measurements was found. For the TROPOMI products, there is also the routine validation in the Sentinel-5P mission performance centre (http://mpc-vdaf.tropomi.eu/, last access: 23 March 2020). OMI stratospheric NO$_2$ product has been evaluated by Belmonte-Rivas et al. (2014) and Dirksen et al. (2011). Validation of satellite-based NO$_2$ measurements over oceans using shipborne Multi-AXis Differential Optical Absorption Spectroscopy (MAX-DOAS) measurements are not routine. Few shipborne MAX-DOAS measurements have been used for the validation of SCIAMACHY and GOME-2 trace gas products (e.g. Krueger and Quack, 2013; Peters et al., 2012; Schreier et al., 2015; Behrens et al., 2019). Peters et al. (2012) found good agreement between morning MAX-DOAS stratospheric NO$_2$ VCDs with the SCIAMACHY and GOME-2A stratospheric NO$_2$ VCDs. Behrens et al. (2019) reported that the GOME-2B stratospheric NO$_2$ VCDs were similar to the morning MAX-DOAS stratospheric NO$_2$ VCDs, while the GOME-2A values were slightly higher than the morning MAX-DOAS stratospheric NO$_2$ VCDs. Research cruises usually follow routes that are different from those of commercial ships: these routes are mostly across remote oceans where there is little or no pollution in the troposphere. Therefore, the ship cruises provide a good opportunity for measuring background NO$_2$ concentration.

From December 2017 to June 2019, we had four opportunities to participate in ship cruises with a MAX-DOAS instrument on board the German research vessel Sonne and one cruise on board the German research vessel Maria S. Merian. Four of the cruises were transit cruises, and therefore our measurements covered a large latitude and longitude range, thus providing measurements of latitude gradients in NO$_2$ vertical column densities. The cruises are listed in Table 1 and shown in Fig. 1. During transit cruises, the ship usually sails continuously at about 22 km h$^{-1}$ with only a few short stops for activities, such as deployment of Argo floats, while during normal campaign cruises the ship may stay stationary at one or two locations for some days. Because the ship sails over remote oceans, we mainly measured the background tropospheric NO$_2$ and the stratospheric NO$_2$.

In this paper we show the results of the MAX-DOAS measurements during the five cruises and compare the MAX-DOAS measurements with the TROPOMI measurements and TM5-MP model simulations. This paper has the following structure: Sect. 2 describes the data sets used in the paper, Sect. 3 describes the data analysis method, the results and some discussions are shown in Sect. 4, and Sect. 5 presents the conclusions.

2 Data sets

2.1 Data from ship cruises

This section describes ship-based data sets used in this paper, i.e. the scientific data sets of the MAX-DOAS and Microtops, as well as data measured by the ship’s instruments (GPS system and automatic weather station).

2.1.1 Ship cruises and weather data

The RV Sonne and RV Maria S. Merian provide extensive position and ship state data, as well as weather station data, at high time resolution during the cruises. The data sets include time, latitude, longitude, and course from the ship’s GPS and heading, pitch, and roll of the ship from its compass and inertial systems. The weather data consists of absolute and relative wind speed, absolute and relative wind direction, air temperature, pressure, relative humidity, water
temperature, and short-wave and long-wave radiation. Short-wave and long-wave radiation are only measured outside of the exclusive economic zones (EEZs) of the countries that the ship sailed through. The time, latitude, and longitude are important to obtain an accurate ship position and calculate the local solar zenith angle. The heading is used to calculate the viewing azimuth angle of the MAX-DOAS instruments. We downloaded the ship data at 1 min time resolution.

The ships were quite stable measurement platforms, with pitch values mainly within ±1° and roll values within ±2° during the cruises. For most of the cruises, the relative wind direction was mostly from the front of the ship. However, in cases where the relative wind direction was from the stern (back) of the ship, there was a risk that the exhaust gases of the ship’s smoke stack came into the field of view of the MAX-DOAS, which could contaminate the measurement. The ship speed was usually 22 km h⁻¹ during the transit cruises. Cruises with a oceanographic purpose had more stationary time. An example is RV Sonne cruise SO268/1 in March 2019, which was mainly stationary at two locations in the Pacific Ocean. The air temperature in the tropical regions ranged mainly between 25 and 30°C. There were a few cloud-free days, but most days were partly cloudy. There were also several days with rain during the cruises.

2.1.2 MAX-DOAS data

Two similar compact Airyx MAX-DOAS instruments have been used in the cruises. One MAX-DOAS instrument was used in the cruise on board RV Sonne from December 2017 to January 2018. Another MAX-DOAS instrument was used in four cruises, the RV Maria S. Merian (MSM for short hereafter) cruise in December 2018 and three RV Sonne cruises in 2019. The compact MAX-DOAS instrument consists of an Avantes spectrometer, a scanning mirror, a computer, a web camera, and a GPS. Similar instruments have been used in the Cabauw Intercomparison of Nitrogen Dioxide Measuring Instruments 2 (CINDI2) campaign (Kreher et al., 2019).

The MAX-DOAS instrument was mounted on the railing of the observation deck of RV Sonne at the same position during the four RV Sonne cruises. During the RV Sonne cruise in December 2017 and January 2018, the instrument was pointed at 200° (clockwise) with respect to the ship forward direction. On the MSM, the MAX-DOAS was installed below the observation deck behind the bridge of the ship and pointed 90° with respect to the ship forward direction. During the RV Sonne cruises in 2019, the MAX-DOAS instrument was pointed to 180° to the ship forward direction. In March and June 2019, the MAX-DOAS was on the RV Sonne cruises without a KNMI scientist on board.

The MAX-DOAS performed measurements in both forward and backward directions with respect to the instrument itself. When the solar zenith angle (SZA) was smaller than 84°, the instrument scanned at elevation angles (i.e. the viewing angle above the horizon) of 15 and 30° in the forward direction, 90° at the zenith, and 150° and 165° in the backward direction. During the RV Sonne cruises in 2019, the 8 and 172° elevation angles were added to the scanning series. The measurement time was about 1 min per elevation angle. The computer time was synchronized to the GPS time at the start of the measurements in the morning. The SZA was calculated by the MAX-DOAS operation software using the computer time and the position of the ship.

When the solar zenith angle was between 84 and 97°, the MAX-DOAS performed zenith measurements (90° elevation angle) only. When the SZA was greater than 100°, MAX-DOAS performed dark current and offset measurements. The dark current and offset measurements are used to check the stability of the instruments.

The temperature of the spectrometer was stabilized at 20°C during the trips. The telescope has a heating unit to prevent ice but the temperature of the telescope is not stabilized. During the cruises, MAX-DOAS performed measurements automatically every day, except for the days sailing inside the EEZs. Sometimes MAX-DOAS measured the emissions from the ship itself, but these data were not used in this paper.

2.1.3 Aerosol data

Aerosol data were measured using a handheld Microtops sun photometer (Smirnov et al., 2009). The measurements were performed manually by pointing the sun photometer to the sun when there were no clouds in the viewing direction of the sun roughly every 20 min. The Microtops measures aerosol optical thickness (AOT) at five wavelengths and total water vapour column density. The Ångström coefficients are calculated from the AOTs. The data derived from the Microtops directly are called level 1 data and are sent to NASA Maritime Aerosol Network (MAN) for cloud screening and quality control. This process generates Microtops level 1.5 and
level 2 data, which we downloaded from the NASA MAN website after the cruises. These Microtops data include daily time series and daily mean for AOTs, Ångström coefficients, and total water vapour column density.

The daily aerosol optical thickness time series data were used in the MAX-DOAS data analysis. For each day, the AOT time series were interpolated at the MAX-DOAS measurement time. On the days without aerosol data, an AOT of 0.05 was used in the data analysis. The Microtops daily mean AOT at 500 nm is shown in Fig. 1. During cruise SO259/3 in December 2017, the ship entered a dust plume on 25 December 2017 at 25° N, 20° W and sailed out of the dust plume on 30 December 2017 at 5° S, 23° W. In this region, the aerosol optical thickness increased from 0.05 to 0.7 on 25 December 2017. The largest AOT was about 1.5; the AOT was ≥ 1 for 3 d when the visibility was a few hundred metres and the ship was covered by dust. During the other cruises the AOT values were low, about 0.1 or less at 500 nm, mainly due to sea salt aerosols. The lowest AOT value was about 0.03 at 500 nm during one of the cruises.

2.2 TROPOMI data

The TROPOMI NO$_2$ product was developed at KNMI and is generated within the TROPOMI ground segment (PDGS) operating at the German Aerospace Centre (DLR) (van Geffen et al., 2019). The TROPOMI NO$_2$ product provides tropospheric, stratospheric, and total vertical column densities (VCDs) and their precision, as well as detailed results of, for example, NO$_2$ slant column densities and precision air mass factors.

The KNMI TROPOMI NO$_2$ retrieval algorithm is based on a retrieval and data assimilation system, following the approach introduced for the OMI NO$_2$ retrievals (the DOMINO approach) (Boersma et al., 2007, 2011) and also applied for the OMI retrievals within the QA4ECV project (Boersma et al., 2018). The total NO$_2$ slant column densities are derived using the Differential Optical Absorption Spectroscopy (DOAS) method (Platt and Stutz, 2008). The total slant column densities are then assimilated in the TM5-MP model to determine the stratospheric NO$_2$ slant column densities. The tropospheric NO$_2$ slant column density is the total slant column density minus the stratospheric slant column density, after which these slant column densities are converted to the tropospheric and stratospheric NO$_2$ VCDs using appropriate air mass factors (AMFs).

The TROPOMI overpass is at about 13:30 LT. On any given day, the TROPOMI measurement closest in space and time to one of the MAX-DOAS measurements was selected as the overpass pixel. The mean and standard deviation of the 3 × 3 and 5 × 5 pixels around the overpass pixel were also determined. TROPOMI data were not available for the cruise from December 2017 to January 2018 when the instrument was still in its in-orbit test phase. Only data with a quality assurance (QA) value of > 0.75 (i.e. cloud radiance fraction < 0.5) were selected.

2.3 TM5-MP model data

The baseline method in the TROPOMI NO$_2$ algorithm to separate stratospheric and tropospheric contributions to the NO$_2$ total slant column densities is by data assimilation of slant column densities in the TM5-MP chemistry transport model (Huijnen et al., 2010; Williams et al., 2017). The TM5-MP NO$_2$ profiles are simulated globally in 1° × 1° (latitude × longitude) grids at 35 levels from surface to about 0.01 hPa. The time interval of the output is 30 min. The TM5-MP NO$_2$ profiles are kept in archive at KNMI. We selected the NO$_2$ profiles along the ship tracks every day. The number of grid cells from the TM5-MP model collocated with the ship in space and time varied from 1 to 6 × 10$^3$, depending on the speed of the ship and its activities. The total, stratospheric, and tropospheric NO$_2$ vertical column densities were integrated using the TM5-MP NO$_2$ profiles. The tropopause level provided in the TM5-MP data was used to separate the stratospheric and tropospheric NO$_2$ column densities. The collocated TM5-MP data are available for four cruises. There are no TROPOMI NO$_2$ data for the first cruise, and therefore there were no TM5-MP data either.

3 Data analysis for MAX-DOAS

3.1 Fitting of NO$_2$ slant column densities

The NO$_2$ slant column densities were retrieved with the DOAS technique (Platt and Stutz, 2008) using software developed at KNMI. The MAX-DOAS spectra were corrected for the dark current and offset measured on the same day. For some days without the dark current and offset spectra measurements, the dark current and offset spectra from nearby days were used. Wavelength calibration was performed using the measurement at the 15° elevation angle in every measurement series. The full width half maximum (FWHM) of the instrument spectral response function was fitted during the wavelength calibration. The FWHM is about 0.6 nm for the MAX-DOAS instruments.

For the DOAS fit we used the settings commonly used in the MAX-DOAS community (e.g. Piters et al., 2012; Kreher et al., 2019). The fitting window was 425–490 nm. For the stratospheric NO$_2$ fit, the cross sections included were NO$_2$ at 220 K (Vandaele et al., 1998), O$_3$ at 223 K (Bogumil et al., 2003), water vapour (Rothman et al., 2010), O$_2$–O$_3$ (Herms et al., 2001), and a Ring cross section based on a solar spectrum from Kurucz et al. (1984). For the tropospheric NO$_2$ fit, the O$_3$, water vapour, O$_2$–O$_3$, and Ring cross sections were the same as those used in the stratospheric NO$_2$ fit, but the NO$_2$ cross section at 298 K (Vandaele et al., 1998) and the NO$_2$ cross section at 220 K that was made orthog-
ional with the 298 K cross section were used. A fifth-order polynomial of the wavelength was also included in the fits.

In the DOAS fit, the solar Fraunhofer lines are removed by using the ratio of the measured spectrum and a reference spectrum. Because both spectra are influenced by the instrument spectral response function, the solar Fraunhofer lines cannot be removed completely in the ratio. Since this effect comes from the solar spectrum $I_0$, it is referred to as “$I_0$ effect”. Detailed explanation and corrections for the $I_0$ effect were presented by Alliwell et al. (2002). The NO$_2$ and O$_3$ cross sections have been corrected for the $I_0$ effect.

For the fit of tropospheric NO$_2$, the reference spectrum was the measurement at 90° elevation angle (zenith) at every scanning series. For the stratospheric NO$_2$, the reference spectrum for the MAX-DOAS measurements from December 2017 to January 2018 was taken on 3 January 2018. The reference spectrum for the MAX-DOAS measurements in December 2018 and 2019 was taken on 3 February 2019. These two reference spectra were measured at solar zenith angle 17 and 24° in the afternoon at 90° elevation angle in cloud-free situations. We did not use spectra measured at a solar zenith angle close to 0° because of saturation of the detector.

### 3.2 Computation of NO$_2$ vertical column densities

The NO$_2$ slant column densities present the amount of NO$_2$ along the effective light path from the sun to the MAX-DOAS. In order to convert the slant column densities to the vertical column densities, air mass factors (AMFs) were calculated using the Doubling–Adding KNMI radiative transfer codes (DAK) (De Haan et al., 1987; Stammes, 2001), with a pseudospherical correction (because of the large solar zenith angles up to 89°) and tropical atmospheric profiles of temperature and pressure (Anderson et al., 1986). The NO$_2$ profile was taken from the TM5-MP model simulations and interpolated at the tropical atmospheric profile levels. For the stratospheric AMF, the tropospheric NO$_2$ mixing ratio was set to zero at the altitude from 0 to 18 km, which is about the tropopause height from the model for the tropical regions. The NO$_2$ total column density in the tropical atmospheric profile is about $2.0 \times 10^{15}$ molec cm$^{-2}$. NO$_2$ photolysis at twilight was not taken into account in the AMF calculations.

The uncertainty of the AMFs caused by the neglecting of the NO$_2$ photolysis has been shown by Van Roozendael and Hendrick (2012) and will be discussed in Sect. 4.5. Aerosols were specified in a well-mixed layer from 0 to 1 km, with aerosol optical thickness values from 0 to 2 in 20 intervals. A Henyey–Greenstein phase function was used for aerosols in the computations.

AMFs for the stratospheric and tropospheric NO$_2$ were calculated separately offline and stored in look-up tables. The AMF is a function of elevation angle, solar zenith angle, relative azimuth angle, aerosol optical thickness, surface albedo, and surface height. For the ship measurements, we set the surface albedo to 0.05 and the surface height to 0 km. The solar zenith angles ranged from 0 to 89°. The AMFs were calculated at the wavelength of 460 nm. The method for the calculation of the tropospheric AMFs is described by Vlemmix et al. (2010).

Clouds were not taken into account in the AMF computations. According to Van Roozendael and Hendrick (2012) clouds are not important for the stratospheric NO$_2$ retrievals using MAX-DOAS. The impact of clouds on tropospheric NO$_2$ retrievals has been analysed by Vlemmix et al. (2015), by analysing the fully cloudy scenes (both zenith and off-axis elevation having clouds) and partly cloudy scenes (one elevation having clouds, either zenith or off-axis). They have reported that, for the fully cloudy scenes, the impact of clouds on the sensitivity of MAX-DOAS tropospheric NO$_2$ measurement is small. For the partly cloudy scenes, the clouds have strong impact on the MAX-DOAS tropospheric NO$_2$ measurements, but the impact can be reduced if the MAX-DOAS data are averaged in time.

The viewing azimuth angles of the MAX-DOAS measurements were corrected using the heading data of the ship. The elevation angles were not explicitly corrected for the pitch and roll of the ship in our calculations because the MAX-DOAS instruments had an automatic continuous adjustment of the elevation angles during the measurements. Because we use 15° (165°), 30° (150°), and 90° elevation angles in the NO$_2$ retrievals, the 1° of pitch and roll are not important for these elevation angles. The solar zenith angles and relative azimuth angles have been re-computed using the ship GPS data because the internal GPS of the MAX-DOAS instrument was malfunctioning.

The stratospheric NO$_2$ vertical column densities (VCD$_{strat}$) are calculated using Eq. (1).

$$VCD_{strat} = (DSCD + SCD_{ref})/AMF_{strat},$$

where DSCD is the differential slant column density between the actual slant column density and the slant column density in the reference spectrum. SCD$_{ref}$ is the slant column density in the reference spectrum, which is calculated using the total VCD multiplied with the cosine of the SZA. AMF$_{strat}$ is the stratospheric NO$_2$ AMF.

We obtained the total NO$_2$ VCDs in the MAX-DOAS reference spectra from collocated OMI/QA4ECV NO$_2$ data (version 1.1 offline, at http://www.temis.nl/, last access: 23 March 2020) (Boersma et al., 2018). The total NO$_2$ column density was $1.5 \times 10^{15}$ molec cm$^{-2}$ in the reference spectrum on 3 January 2018 and was $1.7 \times 10^{15}$ molec cm$^{-2}$ in the reference spectrum on 3 February 2019.

The tropospheric NO$_2$ vertical column densities (VCD$_{trop}$) are calculated using Eq. (2).

$$VCD_{trop} = DSCD_{90}/DAMF,$$

where DSCD$_{90}$ is the differential slant column densities between a given elevation angle and 90° elevation angle in the
same scanning series, and DAMF is the difference between the NO$_2$ AMFs at the given elevation angle and at 90° elevation angle.

4 Results

4.1 MAX-DOAS stratospheric NO$_2$

Stratospheric NO$_2$ vertical column densities derived from all viewing directions on 5 February 2019 are shown in Fig. 2. On this day, RV Sonne sailed in the Pacific ocean (1.37–2.08° N, 142.08–140.58° W). It was good weather with lots of scattered clouds, which was the normal weather condition during the cruises. The stratospheric NO$_2$ VCDs derived from different elevation angles are quite close to each other, but the VCDs are slightly larger at small elevation angles. The NO$_2$ VCD shows a typical diurnal evolution pattern in the stratosphere, with low values in the morning, increasing during the day, and high values in the evening. These features can be explained by the NO$_x$-related stratospheric chemistry, as mentioned in the Introduction. The stratospheric NO$_2$ VCD is about 1.5 × 10$^{15}$ molec cm$^{-2}$ at noon and 2.6 × 10$^{15}$ molec cm$^{-2}$ at SZA of 89°. The values are in the same range as those measured by satellite instruments reported by Belmonte-Rivas et al. (2014).

4.2 MAX-DOAS tropospheric NO$_2$

Figure 3 shows tropospheric NO$_2$ VCDs on 24 June 2019. The measurement was taken over the Pacific ocean (25.12–24.40° N, 137.83–134.44° E) with scattered clouds. The tropospheric NO$_2$ vertical column densities are between 0 and 0.5 × 10$^{15}$ molec cm$^{-2}$ and similar at different elevation angles. Therefore, we do not need to separate different elevation angles when comparing MAX-DOAS tropospheric NO$_2$ vertical column densities with TROPOMI tropospheric NO$_2$ vertical column densities. There is no enhanced tropospheric NO$_2$ on this day, which is the case for most of the cruises. At SZA larger than 60°, some tropospheric NO$_2$ VCDs are larger than at noon, which may be the impact of the stratospheric NO$_2$. As shown in Fig. 3, when the solar zenith angles are larger than 70°, in the morning the VCDs at the elevations of 150 and 165° decrease with the increasing SZA; in the evening the VCDs at the elevations of 15 and 30° decrease with the increasing SZA. The decrease in tropospheric NO$_2$ VCDs with increasing SZA at relatively large SZA is an artefact that is caused by the rapid changing of the stratospheric NO$_2$ at large SZA and using the spectrum measured at 90° elevation angle as the reference spectrum in every scanning series. The measurements started from the 15° elevation angle and finished at the 165° elevation angle. In the morning, the spectra at the 150 and 165° elevation angles are measured earlier than the reference spectrum and the stratospheric NO$_2$ decreases rapidly in the morning, therefore less NO$_2$ is measured at the 150 and 165° elevation angles than in the reference spectrum. In the evening, the stratospheric NO$_2$ increases rapidly as SZA is increasing, and the spectra at the 15 and 30° elevation angles are measured earlier than the reference spectrum; consequently, less NO$_2$ is measured at the 15 and 30° elevation angles.
tion angles than in the reference spectrum. If there is more NO2 in the reference spectrum than in the actual measurement, the DOAS fit may yield a negative NO2 slant column density. This artefact has no impact on the comparison with TROPOMI tropospheric NO2 because the SZAs are small at the TROPOMI overpass time during the four cruises.

4.3 Comparison of MAX-DOAS and TROPOMI stratospheric NO2 with TM5-MP model simulations

The TM5-MP-simulated NO2 profiles were integrated vertically from the tropopause level to the highest level of the model to get the stratospheric NO2 vertical column densities. If there were several TM5 latitude–longitude grid cells crossed by the ship in a day, the NO2 column densities in the morning (evening) from the first (last) TM5 grid were used to compare with the MAX-DOAS morning (evening) measurements. The SZA values of the TM5 NO2 profiles were calculated at the centre of the latitude and longitude grids. The variation in the NO2 column densities in different grid cells crossed by the ship per day was usually small. Figure 4 shows 1 d of the stratospheric NO2 column densities simulated by TM5 and measured by MAX-DOAS on 22 March 2019, when the ship was stationary in the Pacific Ocean at 14.5° N, 125.5° W. On this day, the NO2 vertical column densities from one TM5 grid cell were selected. The largest SZA in the MAX-DOAS NO2 VCD data is 89° in the morning and evening. The MAX-DOAS stratospheric NO2 vertical column densities have a similar diurnal variation to the TM5-simulated stratospheric NO2 column densities. The TM5 stratospheric NO2 vertical column densities have a positive offset compared to the MAX-DOAS stratospheric NO2 VCDs. Plots of other days show a similar pattern.

Figure 5 shows the scatter plot of the MAX-DOAS stratospheric NO2 VCDs measured in the morning and evening versus TM5-simulated stratospheric NO2 VCDs for four cruises. The morning and evening NO2 values are the average of NO2 VCD measured from SZA 75 to 89°, respectively. This solar zenith angle range is used throughout the paper to define the morning and evening NO2. At large SZA, the light path in the stratosphere is longer than that at noon; consequently, the MAX-DOAS measurements are more sensitive to the stratospheric NO2. The MAX-DOAS and TM5 stratospheric NO2 VCDs have a good linear correlation, with a correlation coefficient R = 0.97. The mean differences are 3.34 ± 1014 (±1.88 × 1014) molec cm−2 (16.5 %) in the morning and 5.69 ± 1014 (±3.12 × 1014) molec cm−2 (17.4 %) in the evening. The TM5 stratospheric NO2 VCDs are slightly higher than the MAX-DOAS stratospheric NO2 VCDs.

Additionally, we have compared the MAX-DOAS and TM5 stratospheric NO2 VCDs at the SZA ranges of 0–30°, 30–60°, and 60–75°. At smaller SZA angles, TM5-simulated stratospheric NO2 VCDs are mostly larger than the MAX-DOAS measurements. The results of the comparison are presented in Table 2.

We also compared the TROPOMI stratospheric NO2 VCDs with the TM5-MP model-simulated stratospheric NO2 VCDs. They are almost the same, and the mean difference is about −2.49 × 1013 molec cm−2 (about 1 %). This is expected because the TROPOMI NO2 total column densities are assimilated in TM5-MP model to separate the stratospheric and
tropospheric NO$_2$. This is a good consistency check for the TROPOMI stratospheric NO$_2$ VCDs.

### 4.4 Comparison of MAX-DOAS and TROPOMI NO$_2$ vertical column densities

#### 4.4.1 Stratospheric NO$_2$

As mentioned before, the MAX-DOAS measurements are more sensitive to the stratospheric NO$_2$ in the morning and evening than at the TROPOMI overpass time (at 13:30 LT). Because the stratospheric NO$_2$ VCDs have a diurnal cycle, we cannot interpolate the stratospheric NO$_2$ VCD directly at the TROPOMI overpass time using the MAX-DOAS morning and evening values. The interpolation has to be done using a chemistry model as presented by Tack et al. (2015).

Since the TM5 and MAX-DOAS stratospheric NO$_2$ VCDs had a similar diurnal cycle, we used the TM5 model to interpolate the MAX-DOAS stratospheric NO$_2$ VCDs at the TROPOMI overpass time. First, for each day the TM5 stratospheric NO$_2$ VCDs were shifted to the MAX-DOAS stratospheric NO$_2$ VCDs by subtracting the mean difference of the stratospheric NO$_2$ VCDs between TM5 and MAX-DOAS for SZA between 75 and 89°. The stratospheric NO$_2$ VCD at the TROPOMI overpass time (called TM5-interpolated NO$_2$ VCD) was interpolated using this corrected (shifted) TM5 stratospheric NO$_2$ VCDs.

The stratospheric NO$_2$ VCDs of MAX-DOAS and TROPOMI for the cruise in February 2019 are shown in Fig. 6. The figure shows the MAX-DOAS stratospheric NO$_2$ VCDs collocated with the TROPOMI measurements, the MAX-DOAS morning and evening stratospheric NO$_2$ VCDs, and the TM5-interpolated stratospheric NO$_2$ VCDs. Before 3 February, RV Sonne was in EEZs, so no data are shown in Fig. 6. In absolute terms, the MAX-DOAS stratospheric NO$_2$ VCDs are smaller in the morning and larger in the evening. The MAX-DOAS NO$_2$ VCDs collocated to the TROPOMI overpass and the interpolated TM5 stratospheric NO$_2$ VCDs are between the morning and evening values. The MAX-DOAS NO$_2$ VCDs are lower than the TROPOMI NO$_2$ VCDs. In some cases, there was no TROPOMI data due to the presence of clouds (with a cut-off at a cloud radiance fraction of 0.5).

A scatter plot of TROPOMI versus MAX-DOAS stratospheric NO$_2$ VCDs for all the cruises is shown in Fig. 7. The TROPOMI values were taken from the pixels collocated to the MAX-DOAS location. If the collocated MAX-DOAS

---

**Table 2.** Statistic results of the comparison of TM-5 and MAX-DOAS stratospheric NO$_2$ vertical column densities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SZA range (°)</th>
<th>NO$<em>2$ VCD$</em>{strat}$</th>
<th>Mean ($\times 10^{15}$ molec cm$^{-2}$)</th>
<th>Standard deviation ($\times 10^{15}$ molec cm$^{-2}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$0^\circ \leq$ SZA $\leq 30^\circ$</td>
<td>MAX-DOAS</td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TM5</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TM5 – MAX-DOAS</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$30^\circ \leq$ SZA $\leq 60^\circ$</td>
<td>MAX-DOAS</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TM5</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TM5 – MAX-DOAS</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$60^\circ \leq$ SZA $\leq 75^\circ$</td>
<td>MAX-DOAS</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TM5</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TM5 – MAX-DOAS</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$75^\circ \leq$ SZA $\leq 89^\circ$</td>
<td>MAX-DOAS</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TM5</td>
<td>2.87</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TM5 – MAX-DOAS</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Scatter plot of TROPOMI stratospheric NO\textsubscript{2} vertical column densities versus MAX-DOAS stratospheric NO\textsubscript{2} vertical column densities. The MAX-DOAS measurements are taken from the collocated TROPOMI pixels. The correlation coefficient is 0.93. The fit is $y = 1.076x + 7.388 \times 10^{13}$ molec cm\textsuperscript{-2}.

If the collocated MAX-DOAS NO\textsubscript{2} was larger than the MAX-DOAS NO\textsubscript{2} VCD at the SZA of 80\textdegree in the evening, the collocated MAX-DOAS NO\textsubscript{2} VCD was flagged as polluted. For the data in Fig. 7, the correlation coefficient is 0.93, with a mean difference of $2.42 \times 10^{14}$ molec cm\textsuperscript{-2} (10.3\%) and standard deviation of $2.24 \times 10^{14}$ molec cm\textsuperscript{-2}. The linear fit of the TROPOMI and MAX-DOAS stratospheric NO\textsubscript{2} VCDs has a slope of 1.076 and an offset of 0.74 $\times 10^{14}$ molec cm\textsuperscript{-2}.

Figure 8 shows the TROPOMI stratospheric NO\textsubscript{2} VCDs versus the TM5-interpolated stratospheric NO\textsubscript{2} VCDs. The correlation coefficient is 0.95, with a mean difference of $4.34 \times 10^{14}$ molec cm\textsuperscript{-2} (19.2\%) and a standard deviation of $1.92 \times 10^{14}$ molec cm\textsuperscript{-2}. The linear fit of the TROPOMI and TM5-interpolated stratospheric NO\textsubscript{2} VCDs has a slope of 1.083 and an offset of $2.653 \times 10^{14}$ molec cm\textsuperscript{-2}, which is similar to that of Fig. 7. The mean and standard deviation values for TROPOMI and MAX-DOAS stratospheric NO\textsubscript{2} VCDs are presented in Table 3.

The MAX-DOAS and TROPOMI stratospheric NO\textsubscript{2} VCDs for all cruises are shown as a function of latitude in Fig. 9. Both data sets illustrate the latitudinal dependency of the stratospheric NO\textsubscript{2} VCDs, with low values in tropical region (20\textdegree S to 10\textdegree N) and higher values at mid-latitudes (10–40\textdegree N). Note that the MAX-DOAS data were taken during four cruises in different months and not in a single cruise. The latitudinal dependency is well-known in satellite stratospheric NO\textsubscript{2} VCD data (Belmonte-Rivas et al., 2014). In the tropics the low stratospheric NO\textsubscript{2} VCDs are caused by upward and poleward transport in the Hadley cell (Noxon, 1979).

4.4.2 Tropospheric NO\textsubscript{2}

The tropospheric NO\textsubscript{2} VCDs for the cruise in February 2019 across the Pacific is shown in Fig. 10. There are no anomalous high tropospheric NO\textsubscript{2} VCDs during this cruise. As shown in the figure, most MAX-DOAS tropospheric NO\textsubscript{2}
Table 3. Statistical results of the comparison of TROPOMI and MAX-DOAS stratospheric NO$_2$ vertical column densities. MAX-DOAS collocated is the MAX-DOAS stratospheric NO$_2$ VCD collocated with TROPOMI measurement. TM5 interpolated is the MAX-DOAS stratospheric NO$_2$ VCD interpolated using the TM5 stratospheric NO$_2$ diurnal cycle.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO$<em>2$ VCD$</em>{strat}$</th>
<th>Mean $\times 10^{15}$ molec cm$^{-2}$</th>
<th>Standard deviation $\times 10^{15}$ molec cm$^{-2}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TROPOMI</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAX-DOAS collocated</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM5 interpolated</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TROPOMI–MAX-DOAS collocated</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TROPOMI–TM5 interpolated</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 10. Time series of TROPOMI (blue) and collocated MAX-DOAS (red) tropospheric NO$_2$ vertical column densities for the cruise in February 2019. The missing TROPOMI data are due to clouds. The error bar shows the precision of the TROPOMI tropospheric NO$_2$ VCD.

The negative values in the MAX-DOAS tropospheric NO$_2$ are mostly due to the low NO$_2$ values and the detection limit of the MAX-DOAS. The negative tropospheric NO$_2$ VCD values may also be caused by the clouds in the reference spectrum but not in the off-axis spectrum. The smallest root-mean-square error in the DOAS fit for tropospheric NO$_2$ is $1.2 \times 10^{-4}$. The NO$_2$ cross section is about $1 \times 10^{-19}$ cm$^2$ molec$^{-1}$. If we assume that twice the root mean square can be detected, the detection limit for the slant column density is $2.4 \times 10^{15}$ molec cm$^{-2}$. The AMF for the 15° elevation angle is about 2.2, hence the detection limit for the vertical column density is $1.1 \times 10^{15}$ molec cm$^{-2}$. This estimation of the detection limit is similar to that used by Peters et al. (2012). They proposed this value as an upper limit, the actual detection limit can be lower than this. During the cruises, tropospheric NO$_2$ slant column densities larger than $2.4 \times 10^{15}$ molec cm$^{-2}$ were rarely detected.

Figure 11. Scatter plot of TROPOMI tropospheric NO$_2$ vertical column densities versus MAX-DOAS tropospheric NO$_2$ vertical column densities for all cruises. The MAX-DOAS measurements are taken from the collocated TROPOMI pixels. The vertical error bar shows the precision of the TROPOMI data; the horizontal error bar shows the uncertainty of the MAX-DOAS data.

VCDs are close to zero. The TROPOMI tropospheric NO$_2$ VCDs are also very low, $7 \times 10^{14}$ molec cm$^{-2}$, with large error bars because of the low NO$_2$ concentrations (van Geffen et al., 2019).

Figure 11 shows the scatter plot of TROPOMI tropospheric NO$_2$ VCD versus MAX-DOAS tropospheric NO$_2$ VCD at the closest overpass time. The vertical error bar is the uncertainty of the TROPOMI tropospheric NO$_2$ VCD, which is taken from the TROPOMI data. The horizontal error bar is for the MAX-DOAS tropospheric NO$_2$ VCD, which is assumed to be 100% of the NO$_2$ VCD. We can see that the MAX-DOAS and TROPOMI data both show low tropospheric NO$_2$ during these cruises. The TROPOMI and MAX-DOAS tropospheric NO$_2$ VCDs are in the same range, and most of the points are between 0 and $5 \times 10^{14}$ molec cm$^{-2}$. Because of very low tropospheric NO$_2$, there is almost no correlation between the tropospheric NO$_2$ VCDs. The mean difference and standard deviation are $4.00 \times 10^{14}$ and $5.08 \times 10^{14}$ molec cm$^{-2}$, respectively.
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4.5 Discussion

Because the reference spectra were measured by the MAX-DOAS during the cruises, there was background NO₂ absorption in the reference spectra. The NO₂ VCD in the reference spectrum was estimated using the collocated OMI/QA4ECV NO₂ VCD, which may cause an uncertainty (offset) in the MAX-DOAS stratospheric NO₂ VCDs. Zara et al. (2018) reported that the uncertainty of the OMI NO₂ SCD in remote ocean regions was about $8 \times 10^{14}$ molec cm$^{-2}$. The uncertainty of the NO₂ VCD in the reference spectrum is estimated to be $4 \times 10^{14}$ molec cm$^{-2}$ because the AMF is about 2 at noon. The NO₂ VCD in the reference spectrum has a larger impact on the stratospheric NO₂ VCD at the TROPOMI overpass time, e.g., in the comparison of MAX-DOAS NO₂ VCD with TROPOMI at the collocated pixels. Since the same reference spectrum is used for the MAX-DOAS analysis, the impact of the reference spectrum on the MAX-DOAS stratospheric NO₂ VCD is the same for all trips. The NO₂ in the reference spectrum has less impact on the MAX-DOAS stratospheric NO₂ VCD at the SZA range of 75–89° because the mean AMF in this SZA range is about 7 times the AMFs of the reference spectrum (due to the long light path at large SZAs).

Neglecting the NO₂ photodissociation may lead to 10% uncertainty in the AMFs at twilight because of the change of the NO₂ profiles (Van Roozendael and Hendrick, 2012). Since we only used the measurements at SZA smaller than 89°, the impact from the photodissociation may be smaller in our analysis. We have calculated the stratospheric NO₂ AMFs using a range of NO₂ profiles from the TM5 output. The AMFs for the stratospheric NO₂ are very similar and the differences are within 5%.

In the DOAS fit, the uncertainty of the MAX-DOAS stratospheric NO₂ slant column densities is about $0.5 \times 10^{14}$ molec cm$^{-2}$ at SZA of 20° and increases to $1 \times 10^{14}$ molec cm$^{-2}$ at SZA of 80°. These uncertainties are given in the output of our DOAS fit program. The uncertainty of the NO₂ VCD in the reference spectra is about $4 \times 10^{14}$ molec cm$^{-2}$ based on the OMI data. The stratospheric NO₂ AMFs are about 1.2 and 5.5 at 20 and 80° of the SZA, with an uncertainty of 10%. Using the uncertainty estimation method presented by Tack et al. (2015), in total we estimate that the uncertainty of the stratospheric NO₂ VCD is about $4 \times 10^{14}$ molec cm$^{-2}$ and $1 \times 10^{14}$ molec cm$^{-2}$ at SZA of 20 and 80°, respectively.

For the tropospheric NO₂ VCDs, assuming an AMF of 2.0 with an uncertainty of 10%, the uncertainty of the tropospheric NO₂ VCD is estimated to be $2.1 \times 10^{14}$ molec cm$^{-2}$. However, Bais et al. (2016) recommended that the NO₂ differential AMF uncertainties to be used for MAX-DOAS at 15 and 30° elevations are 41% and 22%, respectively. In reality the uncertainty of the MAX-DOAS tropospheric NO₂ VCDs is larger than the values given here.

The comparison of MAX-DOAS and TROPOMI stratospheric NO₂ VCDs has also been analysed using averaged TROPOMI data over $3 \times 3$ and $5 \times 5$ ground pixels around the collocated pixels. The mean differences between TROPOMI and MAX-DOAS stratospheric NO₂ VCDs are 4.34, 4.57, and $4.55 \times 10^{14}$ molec cm$^{-2}$ for 1, 3 × 3, and 5 × 5 pixels, respectively. The best agreement between the TROPOMI and MAX-DOAS stratospheric NO₂ VCDs occurs for the single-pixel cases presented in this paper.

The comparisons of TROPOMI stratospheric NO₂ VCDs with MAX-DOAS-collocated stratospheric NO₂ VCD and with the TM5-MP-interpolated stratospheric NO₂ VCDs show consistent results: TROPOMI stratospheric NO₂ VCDs are higher than the other two products. The TROPOMI stratospheric NO₂ VCDs have good linear correlation with the MAX-DOAS-collocated and TM5-interpolated stratospheric NO₂ VCDs. The linear fit of the TROPOMI stratospheric NO₂ VCDs and MAX-DOAS-collocated stratospheric NO₂ VCDs or TM5-interpolated stratospheric NO₂ VCDs have similar slopes and offsets.

The differences between the MAX-DOAS and TROPOMI NO₂ VCDs do not depend on the cloud radiance fraction. The MAX-DOAS tropospheric NO₂ VCDs are close to the detection limit. The negative values can also be due to clouds observed in the 90° elevation angle but not in the off-axis elevation angle. These MAX-DOAS tropospheric NO₂ VCDs provide an evaluation of the lowest TROPOMI tropospheric NO₂ values; such clean cases are not easily observed over land.

Similar to Peters et al. (2012) and Behrens et al. (2019), we also measured the latitude-dependent shape of stratospheric NO₂ VCDs. Because the TROPOMI overpass time is close to noon, we cannot use the morning or evening MAX-DOAS values to compare with TROPOMI data directly. The morning and evening MAX-DOAS NO₂ were calculated from the SZA of 88 to 92° by Peters et al. (2012) and Behrens et al. (2019). We used the NO₂ VCDs up to a solar zenith angle of 89°. Peters et al. (2012) reported that the tropospheric NO₂ VCDs were only above the detection limit when there were ship emissions or they were close to land. This agrees with our tropospheric NO₂ measurements, although we do not have measurements close to land.

5 Conclusions

We have presented MAX-DOAS measurements during five cruises from 2017 to 2019, covering a large latitude and longitude range in both summer and winter. The MAX-DOAS measurements have been compared with TROPOMI stratospheric and tropospheric NO₂ vertical column densities. Since the TM5-MP model is used in the TROPOMI retrievals, we also compared MAX-DOAS NO₂ VCDs with the TM5-MP simulations. It turns out that TROPOMI stratospheric NO₂ vertical column densities have a good lin-
ear correlation with MAX-DOAS stratospheric NO₂ vertical column densities. Compared to the MAX-DOAS measurements, TROPOMI has a small positive bias of 2.4 to $4.3 \times 10^{14}$ molec cm$^{-2}$ (10%–20%), with an uncertainty of $2 \times 10^{14}$ molec cm$^{-2}$. The uncertainty of MAX-DOAS stratospheric NO₂ vertical column densities is estimated to be 1 to $4 \times 10^{14}$ molec cm$^{-2}$.

Because the cruises were mostly in remote ocean areas, the MAX-DOAS tropospheric NO₂ values were quite low, often close to 0 or slightly negative, as a result of a low detection limit or the impact of clouds. The mean of the collocated TROPOMI tropospheric NO₂ VCDs is $4.7 \times 10^{14}$ molec cm$^{-2}$. The mean difference between TROPOMI and MAX-DOAS NO₂ VCDs is $4.0 \times 10^{14}$ molec cm$^{-2}$ with a standard deviation of $5.1 \times 10^{14}$ molec cm$^{-2}$. The uncertainty of MAX-DOAS tropospheric NO₂ vertical column densities is about $2 \times 10^{14}$ molec cm$^{-2}$. We can confirm that both TROPOMI and MAX-DOAS measured very low tropospheric NO₂ VCDs over clean oceans.
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