Design and construction of a simple Knudsen Effusion Mass Spectrometer (KEMS) system for vapour pressure measurements of low volatility organics
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Abstract. A design of and initial results from a Knudsen Effusion Mass Spectrometer (KEMS) are presented. The design was adapted from high temperature alloy studies with a view to using it to measure vapour pressures for low volatility organics. The system uses a temperature controlled cell with an effusive orifice. This produces a molecular beam which is sampled by a quadropole mass spectrometer with electron impact ionization calibrated to a known vapour pressure. We have determined $P_{(298\,\text{K})}$ and $\Delta H_{\text{vap}}$ of the first 5 saturated straight chain dicarboxylic acids: $2.15\pm1.19\times10^{-2}\text{\,Pa}$ and $75\pm19\text{\,KJ\,mol}^{-1}$ respectively for oxalic acid, $5.73\pm1.14\times10^{-4}\text{\,Pa}$ and $91\pm4\text{\,KJ\,mol}^{-1}$ for Malonic acid, $1.13\pm0.47\times10^{-4}\text{\,Pa}$ and $93\pm6\text{\,KJ\,mol}^{-1}$ for Succinic acid, $4.21\pm1.66\times10^{-3}\text{\,Pa}$ and $123\pm22\text{\,KJ\,mol}^{-1}$ for Glutaric acid and $6.09\pm3.85\times10^{-6}\text{\,Pa}$ and $125\pm40\text{\,KJ\,mol}^{-1}$ for Adipic acid.

1 Introduction

Knowledge of pure component vapour pressures is essential for calculations of gas/particle partitioning of compounds forming atmospheric aerosols. There are many methods of estimating vapour pressures but most of the experimental data collected to date has been for intermediate or high pressure compounds (and often measured at temperatures considerably above ambient) and the proportion of experimental data for low (less than 100 Pa) vapour pressure compounds has been very small. Hence the datasets used for developing the estimation methods have reflected this bias in addition to the fact that components studied tend to have one or two functional groups at the most. The most intensively studied group are hydrocarbons for the oil industry with no functional groups. However, the vapour pressure of simple hydrocarbons are of less interest to the atmospheric community who are often concerned with multi-functional and heavily oxygenated hydrocarbons (Johnson et al., 2006; Yu et al., 1999). Therefore it is unsurprising that some of the estimation methods can give errors in vapour pressure of several orders of magnitude for multifunctional compounds at ambient temperatures (Makar, 2001; Camredon and Aumont, 2006; Pankow and Asher, 2008). The vapour pressure of all aerosol components are necessary to calculate the mass flux of condensing and evaporating compounds. Pure component vapour pressure data are lacking for the majority of multifunctional organic condensable compounds predicted to be formed from gas phase volatile organic carbon (VOC) oxidation (Johnson et al., 2005). Furthermore, the available predictive techniques for vapour pressures are largely unevauated on multifunctional compounds. It is therefore necessary to evaluate such predictive techniques for selection of those most appropriate for atmospheric application and this requires a reliable method of determining vapour pressures of low volatility compounds at ambient temperatures.

Dicarboxylic acids are present in atmospheric aerosols and have been identified in multiple environments, and concentrations can vary significantly between regions (Bilde et al., 2003). They originate from photo-oxidation of biogenic and anthropogenic compounds but there is speculation about the exact origins and formation mechanisms. These vapour pressures have been previously studied by evaporation rates in Tandem Differential Mobility Analysers (TDMA) (Tao and McMurry, 1989; Bilde et al., 2003; Bilde and Pandis, 2001), Temperature Programmed Desorption (TPD) combined with
Proton Transfer Chemical Ionisation Mass Spectrometry (PT-CIMS) (Cappa et al., 2007; Chattopadhyay and Ziemann, 2005), and Knudsen mass loss effusion (Silva et al., 2001, 1999) and white light resonance spectroscopy (Zardini et al., 2006) and there are discrepancies between different measurement methods of up to two orders of magnitude for some compounds.

The dicarboxylic acids studied are solids at room temperature and pressure. In the atmosphere they can exist as subcooled liquids (Riipinen et al., 2007) making both the solid state and subcooled liquid phase vapour pressures of interest. It is possible to correct between the solid and subcooled state using the following equation (Prausnitz et al., 1986).

$$\ln \frac{p_l}{p_s} = \frac{\Delta H_{fus}}{RT} (\frac{T}{T_t} - 1) - \frac{\Delta c_{p,sl}}{R} (\frac{T}{T_t} - 1) + \frac{\Delta c_{p,sl}}{R} \ln \frac{T_l}{T_t} \quad (1)$$

where $p$ is the vapour pressure with the subscript $s$ referring to the solid and $l$ to the liquid phase, $T_t$ is the triple point, $\Delta H_{fus}$ is the enthalpy of fusion in the melting point, and $\Delta c_{p,sl}$ denotes the change in heat capacity upon melting. This has been used by Riipinen to compare measured subcooled pressure with the solid state (Riipinen et al., 2006, 2007).

2 Knudsen Effusion Mass Spectrometry

Knudsen Effusion Mass Spectrometry (KEMS) is an established vapour pressure measurement technique capable of measuring vapour pressures from $10^{-1} - 10^{-8}$ Pa (e.g. for ceramic solutions and metal alloys at high temperature) (Shilov et al., 1997; Hilpert and Miller, 2004; Hilpert, 2001, 1991; Hastie, 1984). SOA components are likely to have vapour pressures around $10^{-4}$ Pa (Camredon and Aumont, 2006; Seinfeld and Pankow, 2003), measurable at ambient temperature, well inside the range measurable by KEMS. A temperature controlled Knudsen effusion cell, suitable for controlled generation of a molecular beam of the sample organic compounds is coupled to a vacuum chamber, and a quadrupole mass spectrometer to allow direct measurement of vapour pressure, analogous to the KEMS systems used to study the vapour pressure of ceramics (Bencze et al., 2006).

Vacuum system

The KEMS system is constructed primarily from “off-the-shelf” standard conflat UHV components (Hositrad and Caburn), as shown in Fig. 1. A custom cold plate (PSP vacuum) was included to act as a beamstop if hot vapours are used. Copper gaskets are used for most seal except those for sample loading which are Viton. Separate pressure gauges and pumps are used on the upper and lower vacuums shown in Fig. 2.

The two chambers (Figs. 1 and 2) are connected via an all metal gate valve (VAT-valves). Each chamber is separately pumped by 70 l s$^{-1}$ pumping speed V-81-T turbo pumps (Varian) on CF 63 flanges with a SH-110 dry scroll backing pump. Pressure is measured using convectorr gauges (Varian) for atmospheric pressure down to $10^{-3}$ mbar, and IMG-100 inverted magnetron ion gauges for $<10^{-4}$ mbar (Varian). Base pressure and pumping speeds are summarised in Table 1. Background mass spectrometer measurements showed common vacuum contamination $N_2$, $O_2$, $H_2O$, and small amounts of $CO_2$. The KEMS chamber was baked to ensure there was no outgassing of volatile contaminants. Mass peaks from the samples drop to zero in between runs.

The mass spectrometer chamber is kept at $10^{-6}$ mbar or less with the ioniser left on to ensure different sample runs are directly comparable. During sample change the second chamber is isolated via the gate valve and vented to air. A Balzers-Pfeiffer quadrupole mass spectrometer was used with a QMS 410 mass analyzer, a QMH 410 RF-box connected to a QMG 422 controller. Both faraday cup and secondary electron multiplier detection were used to measure ion intensities. Data collection and processing was performed using a PC running the Quadstar software package (INFICON-AG, 2005).
Table 1. Pressures relevant to operation of the Knudsen Effusion Mass Spectrometer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pressures (Pa)</th>
<th>Measurement Chamber</th>
<th>Sample Chamber</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Base Pressure</td>
<td>$10^{-5}$</td>
<td>Atm to $10^{-5}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Pressure</td>
<td>$10^{-3} - 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$10^{-3} - 10^{-5}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pumping Speed</td>
<td>$701\text{s}^{-1}$</td>
<td>$701\text{s}^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ion Filament Max Pressure</td>
<td>$10^{-2}$</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEM Max Pressure</td>
<td>$10^{-3}$</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.1 Knudsen cell

The Knudsen cell was machined in-house (Fig. 3), and consists of a sample mount rigidly fixed onto a CF63 flange using torr-seal UHV compatible epoxy glue. Heating is provided by a coaxially mounted flexible polyimide heating element (Watlow) and measured with a K-type thermocouple. Samples are loaded into a removable cell and a lid is fixed onto with a chamfered effusion orifice. Previous workers have found a chamfered effusion orifice ensures that the hole is formed as a “knife edge” helping it act as a 2-D hole rather than a cylinder. Effusion orifices were made with hole sizes of 200 $\mu$m, 1 mm, 2 mm and 3 mm. Table 2 shows the mean free paths of 3 compounds with the Knudsen numbers using the different hole sizes. Ferrocene, the highest pressure calibration compound, Oxalic acid, the lowest pressure calibration compound and Adipic acid, the lowest pressure compound measured.

2.2 Measurements of calibration compounds

Pressure and thermodynamic data is determined by measuring the total ion signal and comparing this with a sample of known vapour pressure. The Knudsen orifice is small enough that effusive flow occurs from the cell without disturbing the thermodynamic equilibrium. This produces a molecular beam with an intensity proportional to the vapour pressure in the cell above the sample. The system can be used to determine partial pressures of mixed systems. The pressure of the $i$-th component in the KEMS instrument $P_i$ in Pascals, is given by:

$$P_i = \frac{k I_i T}{\sigma_i}$$

where $I_i$ is the ion intensity measured in the mass spectrometer, $\sigma_i$ is the ionisation cross section and $T$ is the temperature of the Knudsen cell in Kelvin. And $k$ is the machine constant which incorporates information on the geometry of the system, clasing factor of the effusion orifice and any other correction factors, $k$ is determined by using the reference samples. $\sigma_i$ is calculated by summing the ionisation cross section from each atom in the molecule at the ionisation energy (70 eV) (Hilpert, 2001). The hole size directly impacts on $k$ and should be chosen so that the ratio of mean free path to hole size (Knudsen number) is $\geq 10$ (Table 2). A further consideration for the KEMS system is that the minimum hole size is limited by the detection limit of the mass spectrometer, and the maximum hole size should not be high enough to burn out the electron impact filament or secondary electron multiplier (SEM).

Once the vapour pressure, $P$, has been determined at a number of different temperatures further thermodynamic data can be obtained using the Clausius-Clapeyron equation (Hilpert, 2001).

$$\ln P = \frac{\Delta H_{\text{sub}}}{RT} + \frac{\Delta S_{\text{sub}}}{R}$$

where $T$ is the temperature, $R$ is the ideal gas constant and $\Delta H_{\text{sub}}$ and $\Delta S_{\text{sub}}$ are the enthalpies and entropies of sublimation respectively.

3 Results

Knudsen Effusion Mass Spectrometry has to use a calibration compound in order to determine $k$ and hence provide absolute pressure measurements. Three compounds that had previously been measured by Knudsen mass-loss effusion, an absolute pressure technique, were chosen as reference samples. Ferrocene (Jacobs et al., 1983), Benzophenone (Kruif et al., 1983) and Diphenlyethane (Van Ekeren et al., 1982) each have vapour pressures in the range 1–0.1 Pa at 298 K

Table 2. Mean free paths and Knudsen numbers ($K_n$) of 3 compounds; Ferrocene, Oxalic acid and Adipic acid.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Mean Free Path (mm)</th>
<th>Mean $K_n$</th>
<th>$K_n$</th>
<th>$K_n$</th>
<th>$K_n$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ferrocene</td>
<td>0.2 mm</td>
<td>730 000</td>
<td>730 000</td>
<td>364 000</td>
<td>243 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxalic acid</td>
<td>1 mm</td>
<td>3638 000</td>
<td>3638 000</td>
<td>180 90</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adipic acid</td>
<td>2 mm</td>
<td>730 000</td>
<td>730 000</td>
<td>364 000</td>
<td>243 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 mm</td>
<td>364 000</td>
<td>364 000</td>
<td>180 90</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3. Schematic of Knudsen cell.
which is high enough to have reasonable mass-loss data but low enough to provide a calibration for the low volatility compounds the KEMS was constructed for. Table 3 shows determinations of the vapour pressures of the each of the 3 reference compounds using the literature values of the other 2.

Spectra were measured at 5 degree temperature increments. The sample was left to equilibrate for 10 min at each temperature step. This was based on how long it took the mass spectrometer signal to stop increasing after a temperature step, plus a wide margin, to ensure consistent comparable temperatures were obtained. Ionisation cross sections were calculated by summing up the individual electron impact cross sections from each atom in the molecule (Hilpert, 2001) using values from the NIST electron impact database.

We have studied the C$_2$–C$_6$ straight chain saturated dicarbonylic acids: Oxalic, Malonic, Succinic, Glutaric and Adipic acids. Samples were obtained from Sigma Aldrich with purities of 99% or higher. Approximately 0.1 g was placed in the K-cell with no further preparation. Oxalic acid was measured using the 200 µm, 1 mm and 3 mm holes. The other dicarbonylic acids had a much lower vapour pressure and were only studied using the 3 mm hole as a result of small signal-to-noise when using the smaller holes in conjunction with the Faraday Cup detection. However, when using the SEM there is an enhancement in signal-to-noise by a factor of ≥10 which enabled the dicarbonylic acid vapour pressures to be quantified using the 2 mm hole. Figure 4 shows a mass spectrometer trace of Malonic acid at 313 K for comparison of the signal to noise for Faraday Cup and SEM detection. Errors were determined by the standard deviation of repeated measurements of oxalic acid using all 3 reference compounds. We have determined a $P_{(298K)}$ of 2.15±1.9×10$^{-2}$ Pa and enthalpies and entropies of sublimation of 75±19 KJ mol$^{-1}$ and 213±55 J mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$ for oxalic acid (Fig. 5), which is comparable to the variation in the calibration compounds.

The remaining dicarbonylic acids had much lower volatilities, requiring the 3 mm hole size to be used with the Faraday Cup detector to increase the mass spectrometer signal. Using the previous calibration compounds was impossible as a result of the Knudsen number being too low for effusive flow (Table 2). Additionally, such a large hole would result in too high a pressure in the ionization region of the KEMS, which would result in a risk of the ioniser burning out. The data shown in Table 4 is the average of 3 independent runs using literature (de Wit et al., 1982) values for oxalic acid as the calibration compound with either the Faraday Cup detector or the SEM detector, and 1 run which used our malonic acid values as the calibration with the SEM detector. The reported errors are the standard deviation over 4 runs.

Random errors arise from variation in the mass spectrometer signal (ioniser, and SEM/Faraday Cup detector). The error for oxalic acid is high as a result of the variation in the 3 calibration compounds used for its determination (Table 3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>$P_{(298K)}$ (Pa)</th>
<th>$\Delta H_{sub}$ (kJ mol$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\Delta S_{sub}$ (J mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Benzophenone$^1$</td>
<td>0.162</td>
<td>67.6</td>
<td>212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzophenone (Ferrocene)</td>
<td>0.135</td>
<td>74.2</td>
<td>232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzophenone (Diphenylethane)</td>
<td>0.101</td>
<td>87.3</td>
<td>274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferrocene$^2$</td>
<td>0.760</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferrocene (Benzophenone)</td>
<td>1.022</td>
<td>76.9</td>
<td>258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferrocene (Diphenylethane)</td>
<td>1.226</td>
<td>70.3</td>
<td>238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diphenylethane$^3$</td>
<td>0.497</td>
<td>93.7</td>
<td>309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diphenylethane (Benzophenone)</td>
<td>0.371</td>
<td>106.8</td>
<td>350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diphenylethane (Ferrocene)</td>
<td>0.598</td>
<td>87.1</td>
<td>288</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 4. Mass spectrometer traces of malonic acid at 313 K using faraday and SEM detection.

The adipic acid has a higher error because of its lower pressure, resulting in decreased signal to noise ratio. Systematic errors can be introduced by the choice of reference compound as measurements are relative to this and from calculation of the ionisation cross section. In all cases, the accommodation coefficient is assumed to be identical between samples. Such an assumption may introduce unquantifiable errors, but it is expected that they are minimized by appropriate choice of similar reference and sample compounds.
Table 4. Vapour pressure, Enthalpy and Entropy of sublimation of the dicarboxylic acids.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acid</th>
<th>Averaged $P_{(298, \text{K})}$ (Pa)</th>
<th>$P_{(298, \text{K})}$</th>
<th>$P_{(298, \text{K})}$</th>
<th>$\Delta H_{\text{sub}}$ (kJ mol$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\Delta S_{\text{sub}}$ (J mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Malonic</td>
<td>5.73±1.04×10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>4.61×10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>6.29×10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>92.6±4.9</td>
<td>238±29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Succinic</td>
<td>1.13±0.47×10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>9.49×10$^{-5}$</td>
<td>1.32×10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>93.6±6.6</td>
<td>222±17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glutaric</td>
<td>4.21±0.66×10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>5.15×10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>4.21×10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>123±22.2</td>
<td>208±74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adipic</td>
<td>3.28±0.85×10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>6.09×10$^{-6}$</td>
<td>1.52×10$^{-6}$</td>
<td>119±26.6</td>
<td>231±3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


KEMS directly measures the steady state vapour pressure but the equilibrium vapour pressure is desired. Corrections between steady state and equilibrium can be applied (Li et al., 2003; Silva and Monte, 1990), but if the Knudsen number is high enough then effusing gas does not significantly disturb the equilibrium in the cell (Li et al., 2003; Hilpert, 1991, 2001). The Knudsen number can be chosen such that the steady state pressure measured is as close as possible to equilibrium conditions (negligible perturbation of condensation/evaporation equilibrium). There is no systematic difference between hole size for our dicarboxylic acid determinations so the steady state vapour pressure is indistinguishably close to the equilibrium vapour pressure for our dicarboxylic acid determinations in Table 4.

Figures 6 and 7 show the results for the vapour pressure and enthalpy of sublimation respectively for the first 5 dicarboxylic acids obtained in this work. Also shown are comparable data from other workers using different vapour pressure techniques, TDMA (Bilde et al., 2003; Tao and McMurry, 1989), PT-CIMS (Cappa et al., 2007), white light resonance spectroscopy (Zardini et al., 2006; Zardini and Krieger, 2009) and Knudsen mass loss (Silva et al., 2001).

4 Discussion

The results show the distinct odd-even effect well-known in the homologous straight chain dicarboxylic acids. The data presented in this work tends to give higher values than those reported by Bilde et al. (2003) and Tao and McMurry (1989) for malonic and succinic acid and lower for glutaric and adipic acid. The (Cappa et al., 2007) results are at the lower end of reported values. The Enthalpies of sublimation however show considerable differences between the different methods used, this wide variance has also been reported for the higher carbon chain length dicarboxylic acids. Analogous to the measurement of reaction kinetics, the spread of reported experimental values at temperatures other than ambient increases. This probably is as a result of small discrepancies in the $P_{(298\, \text{K})}$ being magnified as the temperature increases affecting the enthalpies.

Bilde et al. (2003) and Tao and McMurry (1989) both used TDMA to study evaporation rates of aerosol particles of dicarboxylic acids, rather than bulk samples as in this work. Bilde et al. (2003) and Tao and McMurry (1989) method requires an understanding of the aerosol flow with the TDMA...
and a model of the evaporation of a particle within the flow tube. The assumptions necessary for this may explain some of the differences between the results obtained in this study. They both state that a major source of errors in their measurement are uncertainties in the theory of mass transfer from transition regime particles, and the presence of unevaporated water within the aerosol particle. Accommodation coefficient discrepancies could also account for this. Even so the results of this work are in good agreement (within 3σ) for $P_{(298\,K)}$.

Silva et al. (2001) used Knudsen mass loss effusion, similar to the KEMS technique presented in this paper but as a result of the low volatility of the sample and the lack of sensitivity compared to the KEMS technique the data was obtained at much higher temperatures. This was to ensure sufficient sample was sublimed to detect using a balance. Data was extrapolated to obtain results at 298 K. Cappa et al. (2007) used PT-CIMS to observe the evaporation rates of aerosol samples collected on a cold plate. Their TPD experiments involved a preheating stage to drive off volatile impurities in the sample, however significant fractions of the sample were lost which may inadvertently effect results explaining the lower $P_{(298\,K)}$ compared to the $P_{(298\,K)}$ presented in this work.

Whereas the odd-even effect in vapour pressures is well known, there is no agreement on its existence in enthalpy measurements. Bilde et al. (2003) see an odd-even effect in enthalpy which Cappa et al. (2007) and Silva et al. (2001) do not. As a result of the large enthalpy errors for glutaric and adipic acids, it is not possible to say for certain that an odd-even effect in enthalpy is observed in this work. Indeed, if one is observed it is reversed compared to Bilde et al. (2003). However, within experimental error as in the work of Cappa et al. (2007) we do not observe an odd-even effect in enthalpy.

## 5 Conclusions

We have presented the design of a Knudsen effusion mass spectrometer for the measurement of the sort of low volatility organics which will partition in the atmosphere into the aerosol phase. We have also measured homologous straight chain dicarboxylic acids and compared the results with other techniques. KEMS provides a number of advantages over other methods, the use of bulk samples eliminates the needs for simplifying assumptions required when using aerosol based techniques, the only limiting requirement is a sample of known vapour pressure to act as a calibration. The high sensitivity of the mass spectrometer enables data to be obtained around ambient atmospheric temperatures unlike Knudsen mass-loss where temperatures must be significantly elevated for very low volatility samples. The KEMS system presented in this paper can be used to study even lower volatility systems by increasing the orifice size, raising the temperature or improving the sensitivity of the mass spectrometer. Unfortunately water is too volatile for the KEMS system which results in an inability to study aqueous mixtures such as those that may be present in real atmospheric aerosols. This is a major strength of TDMA type measurements (Riipinen et al., 2006, 2007).
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