Remote sensing of aerosols over snow using infrared AATSR observations

L. G. Istomina\textsuperscript{1}, W. von Hoyningen-Huene\textsuperscript{1}, A. A. Kokhanovsky\textsuperscript{1}, E. Schultz\textsuperscript{2}, and J. P. Burrows\textsuperscript{1}

\textsuperscript{1}Institute of Environmental Physics, University of Bremen, Bremen, Germany
\textsuperscript{2}Institute of Mineralogy and Geochemistry, KIT Karlsruhe, Germany

Received: 22 November 2010 – Published in Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss.: 10 January 2011
Revised: 29 April 2011 – Accepted: 17 May 2011 – Published: 17 June 2011

Abstract. Infrared (IR) retrievals of aerosol optical thickness (AOT) are challenging because of the low reflectance of aerosol layer at longer wavelengths. In this paper we present a closer analysis of this problem, performed with radiative transfer (RT) simulations for coarse and accumulation mode of four main aerosol components. It shows the strong angular dependence of aerosol IR reflectance at low solar elevations resulting from the significant asymmetry of aerosol phase function at these wavelengths. This results in detectable values of aerosol IR reflectance at certain non-nadir observation angles providing the advantage of multiangle remote sensing instruments for a retrieval of AOT at longer wavelengths. Such retrievals can be of importance e.g. in case of a very strong effect of the surface on the top of atmosphere (TOA) reflectance in the visible spectral range. In the current work, a new method to retrieve AOT of the coarse and accumulation mode particles over snow has been developed using the measurements of Advanced Along Track Scanning Radiometer (AATSR) on board the ENVISAT satellite. The algorithm uses AATSR channel at 3.7 \textmu m and utilizes its dual-viewing observation technique, implying the forward view with an observation zenith angle of around 55 degrees and the nadir view. It includes cloud/snow discrimination, extraction of the atmospheric reflectance out of measured brightness temperature (BT) at 3.7 \textmu m, and interpolation of look-up tables (LUTs) for a given aerosol reflectance. The algorithm uses LUTs, separately simulated with RT forward calculations. The resulting AOT at 500 nm is estimated from the value at 3.7 \textmu m using a fixed Angström parameter.

The presented method has been validated against ground-based Aerosol Robotic Network (AERONET) data for 4 high Arctic stations and shows good agreement. A case study has been performed at W-Greenland on 5 July 2008. The day before was characterized by a noticeable dust event. The retrieved AOT maps of the region show a clear increase of AOT in the Kangerlussuaq area. The area of increased AOT was detected on 5 July on the ice sheet east of Kangelussuaq, opposite to the observed north easterly wind at ground level. This position can be explained by a small scale atmospheric circulation transporting the mobilized mineral dust upslope, after its intrusion into the upper branch of the circulation.

The performed study of atmospheric reflectance at 3.7 \textmu m also shows possibilities for the detection and retrievals of cloud properties over snow surfaces.

1 Introduction

The large surface spectral reflectance poses significant challenges for the retrieval of AOT above snow from observations of the upwelling back scattered radiation at the top of the atmosphere in the visible spectral range. At the same time, satellite retrievals of AOT over snow are necessary to assess and understand the role of aerosol at high latitudes. Aerosols affect energy balance of the Polar Regions in many ways, both on local and global scale (Tomasi et al., 2007). The impact of aerosol particles on climate is considered to be one of the largest uncertainties in climate modeling. One of the main reasons for this uncertainty is the lack of data on global scale, especially in the Polar Regions. Ground based measurements with significant temporal coverage are only
available at a few locations either at the North or the South Poles. In order to resolve the uncertainty of aerosol amount, transport and influence on climate, significant temporal and spatial coverage is needed. This can only be achieved using active or passive measurements made from orbiting platforms.

The fraction of the radiation being reflected from a snow surface in the observed TOA reflectance at visible wavelengths is large due to the large spectral reflectance of snow surfaces and the relatively low atmospheric reflectance. In addition a wide variety of snow types and temporal evolution of snow properties cause variation of snow bidirectional reflection function (BRDF). Attempts to remove this large unknown surface effect from the TOA reflectance by using an assumed snow model, lead to strong surface contamination of resulting AOT (Istomina et al., 2009), because the assumed surface model cannot account for the existing BRDF variability. Better results can be achieved by using the advantage of multilongitude observations (Istomina et al., 2010a) in order to reduce at least the effect of snow surface spectral reflectance on the TOA reflectance. However, the variable BRDF shape of snow still causes offsets in AOT retrieved on global scale.

Spangenberg et al. (2001) discussed the influence of infrared atmospheric reflectance onto AATSR brightness temperature (BT) at 3.7 µm with respect to the problem of cloud detection over snow. This idea has been successfully applied for cloud screening over snow using AATSR channel at 3.7 µm in combination with other AATSR channels (Istomina et al., 2010b). We use this cloud screening approach in this work, too (see Sect. 4.1). Good infrared calibration of the AATSR instrument (Smith et al., 2001) provides the possibility of applying the same approach also to aerosol layers. This paper describes a new retrieval approach to determine AOT over snow. The following sections of this work address the analysis of snow and aerosol infrared properties (Sects. 2 and 3), a description of the recently developed aerosol retrieval algorithm at 3.7 µm (Sect. 4) and its validation by comparison with AERONET measurements (Sect. 5).

2 Infrared scattering characteristics of snow surface

Thermal properties of snow have been measured (Hori et al., 2006; English et al., 1995) and show that due to its density snow is very close to a black body which emits according its physical temperature. Wald (1994) showed that the reflection of snow is very low in the whole IR range of AATSR (at 3.7, 10.8 and 12 µm). This is also confirmed by e.g. snow emissivity measurements in MODIS USCB Emissivity Library. Measured emissivity is quite stable throughout the thermal region of the spectrum (variation around 2%). Hori et al. (2006) show that emissivity of snow for a given temperature depends on its physical parameters such as grain size and liquid water content. However, these dependencies cause a variation of snow emissivity of less than 5% (Rees, 2006). Therefore in the current work we neglect possible temporal and spatial variability of snow emissivity. In further sections, however, we show how to account for possible inaccuracies of this approach, by using AATSR nadir view reflectance at 3.7 µm (see e.g. Sect. 4.2).

3 Infrared scattering characteristics of atmospheric aerosols depending on the particle size

In order to study the behavior of aerosol scattering characteristics depending on size distribution and wavelength we have calculated aerosol phase functions and single scattering albedo for spherical polydisperse particles with the refractive indices corresponding to four main aerosol components (water soluble, oceanic, soot, mineral dust) for two wavelengths—550 nm and 3.7 µm. We used the Lorenz-Mie theory (De Rooij and Van Der Stap, 1984; Mishchenko et al., 1999) and lognormal size distribution (Eq. 1).

\[
n(r) = \text{const} \times r^{-1} \exp \left[ -\frac{(ln r - ln r_g)^2}{2 \ln^2 \sigma_g} \right]
\]

where const is chosen in such a way that \( \int_0^\infty n(r) dr = 1 \).

According to Twomey (1997), the scattering behavior of an aerosol load in the IR spectral region is dominated by the larger particles, even if they are a few, as smaller particles are not effective scatterers at these wavelengths, therefore in current study only accumulation and coarse mode particles are taken into account. Though accumulation mode is generally referred to as fine mode, e.g. Hobbs (1993) states that accumulation mode particles of polar aerosol are larger than accumulation mode particles of other aerosol types (mean particle radius of around 0.4 µm as compared to around 0.1 µm or less for background, maritime, rural, urban, desert dust and continental aerosol types). This made it possible to include also accumulation mode into the study along with the coarse mode. Smaller particles (nucleation and Aitken modes) were not taken into account in this study due to their low scattering efficiency at the wavelength of the retrieval.

To represent coarse and accumulation mode particles, we took the geometric standard deviation \( \sigma \) which has been measured during an Arctic smoke event (Treffeisen et al., 2007), \( \ln^2 \sigma_g = 0.22 \), and average size parameters of \( r_g = 1.7 \) µm for the coarse mode, and \( r_g = 0.5 \) µm for the accumulation mode, with the effective radius equal to 2.9 µm for the coarse mode and 0.64 µm for the accumulation mode.

The result of the phase function calculation is shown in Fig. 1 for the coarse mode and in Fig. 2 for the accumulation mode. The refractive indices (Kokhanovsky, 2004) and calculated single scattering albedo are shown in Table 1 for 550 nm and in Table 2 for 3.7 µm.
Fig. 1. Phase functions for coarse mode of oceanic, water soluble, dust and soot aerosol components calculated for spherical polydisperse particle at 550 nm (VIS, continuous line in the figure) and 3.7 µm (IR, dashed line in the figure). The phase functions are normalized to unity, see SSA in text.

Fig. 2. Phase functions for accumulation mode of oceanic, water soluble, dust and soot aerosol components calculated spherical polydisperse particles at 550 nm (VIS, continuous line in the figure) and 3.7 µm (IR, dashed line in the figure). The phase functions are normalized to unity, see SSA in text.

Table 1. SSA, real n and imaginary χ part of the refractive index for coarse and accumulation mode of water soluble, oceanic, dust and soot aerosol components at 550 nm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aerosol component</th>
<th>Refractive index</th>
<th>SSA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>χ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water soluble</td>
<td>1.530</td>
<td>6.00E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oceanic</td>
<td>1.381</td>
<td>4.26E-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dust</td>
<td>1.530</td>
<td>8.00E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soot</td>
<td>1.750</td>
<td>4.40E-01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. SSA, real n and imaginary χ part of the refractive index for coarse and accumulation mode of water soluble, oceanic, dust and soot aerosol components at 3.7 µm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aerosol component</th>
<th>Refractive index</th>
<th>SSA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>χ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water soluble</td>
<td>1.452</td>
<td>4.00E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oceanic</td>
<td>1.398</td>
<td>2.90E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dust</td>
<td>1.270</td>
<td>1.10E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soot</td>
<td>1.900</td>
<td>5.7E-01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To study the influence of these phase function details on the angular reflectance with respect to wavelength change, we performed RT simulations. For this purpose we used the forward RT model SCIATRAN developed at the University of Bremen (Rozanov et al., 2005). The TOA reflectance (the height of the TOA is equal to 60 km) over black Lambertian surface for accumulation and coarse modes of dust aerosol component at 550 nm and 3.7 µm for aerosol optical thickness 0.1 at 550 nm was calculated. The aerosol was confined to the troposphere and represented as a uniform layer in the range of heights from 0 to 3 km above the surface. We assume no stratospheric aerosols, no Rayleigh scattering, no gas absorption, the pressure and temperature profiles were taken for July at the 75° N, with the temperature at the surface being 280 K and standard pressure. The number of discretized zenith angles (streams) was taken 16, the number of Legendre moments used to expand the scattering phase functions into the Legendre series was equal to 35 and truncated for delta-M approximation to 34.

The result is shown in Fig. 3 for coarse mode and in Fig. 4 for accumulation mode.

For the given AOT = 0.1 at 550 nm the reflectance patterns are similar for both sizes and wavelengths, showing decreased reflectance around nadir and corresponding increase in the forward and backscattering directions at 550 nm. The backscattering peak is absent at 3.7 µm, which corresponds...
Fig. 3. Angular behavior of aerosol reflectance for coarse mode of dust aerosol component 550 nm (upper panel) and at 3.7 µm (lower panel). Sun zenith angle is equal to 65 degrees, AOT = 0.1.

Fig. 4. Angular behavior of aerosol reflectance for accumulation mode of dust aerosol component 550 nm (upper panel) and at 3.7 µm (lower panel). Sun zenith angle is equal to 65 degrees, AOT = 0.1.

4 Aerosol optical thickness retrieval scheme

As it can be seen in the previous sections, the task of AOT retrieval over snow at 3.7 µm very much resembles the standard task of aerosol retrieval over dark surface in the visible range of spectrum. Some peculiarities, however, still exist. Some of them simplify the task, as e.g. the negligible effect of Rayleigh scattering and, correspondingly, the surface elevation at 3.7 µm, while others are more challenging, e.g. the accurate extraction of atmospheric reflectance from the measured AATSR BT at 3.7 µm. The theoretical background and the details of LUT calculations are described in the further 3 subsections. Although current work is dedicated to aerosol retrieval, the discussed approach can also be used for the detection and retrieval of cloud properties over snow, providing an advantageous alternative to existing cloud screening methods over snow.

4.1 Snow/cloud discrimination

The cloud/snow discrimination was performed as introduced in Istomina et al. (2010b).
It uses a combination of relative thresholds in seven AATSR channels in order to discriminate the spectral behavior of clear snow scene from that of cloud, land, ocean, etc. These criteria connect nadir top of atmosphere reflectances in visible (Eqs. 5 and 6), near-infrared (Eq. 4) and brightness temperatures in thermal infrared (Eqs. 2 and 3) regions of spectrum.

\[
\frac{\text{BT}(3.7\mu m) - \text{BT}(10.8\mu m)}{\text{BT}(3.7\mu m)} < 3\% 
\]  
(2)

\[
\frac{\text{BT}(3.7\mu m) - \text{BT}(12\mu m)}{\text{BT}(3.7\mu m)} < 3\% 
\]  
(3)

\[
\frac{R_{\text{TOA}}(0.87\mu m) - R_{\text{TOA}}(1.6\mu m)}{R_{\text{TOA}}(0.87\mu m)} > 80\% 
\]  
(4)

\[
\frac{R_{\text{TOA}}(0.87\mu m) - R_{\text{TOA}}(0.66\mu m)}{R_{\text{TOA}}(0.87\mu m)} < 10\% 
\]  
(5)

\[
\frac{R_{\text{TOA}}(0.66\mu m) - R_{\text{TOA}}(0.55\mu m)}{R_{\text{TOA}}(0.66\mu m)} < 40\% 
\]  
(6)

While the visible (VIS) and near-infrared (NIR) criteria (Eqs. 4, 5 and 6) select scenes with the spectral behavior similar to snow spectrum, the thermal infrared (TIR) thresholds (Eqs. 2 and 3) distinguish cloud free areas over surfaces with emissivity close to unity (snow, open ocean). The combination of both VIS/NIR and TIR criteria makes it possible to distinguish various states of the system “surface + atmosphere”. For example, cloud free case would correspond to conditions (Eqs. 2 and 3) being true, due to the absence of “stray” cloud reflectance at 3.7 µm. Conversely, if the TIR criteria (Eqs. 2 and 3) are false, there is a non black body present in the scene – either a cloud, or e.g. bare soil area. True VIS (Eqs. 5 and 6) and NIR criteria (Eq. 4) mean that the observed spectrum is close to a snow spectrum, which could mean snow, ice cloud, or thin water cloud over snow as well. If at least one of VIS/NIR criteria is false, there is a possibility of either a thick water cloud with the NIR (Eq. 4) criterion failing, or surface which a spectrum different from that of snow (e.g. ocean, bare soil, vegetation, etc).

4.2 Theoretical basis of aerosol optical thickness retrieval in the infrared

It is known that AATSR brightness temperature (BT) product measured at 3.7 µm is contaminated by atmospheric reflectance. Spangenberg et al. (2001) suggested a way to remove this contamination and extract reflectance \( \rho_{\text{atm}}(3.7, \mu_0, \mu, \phi) \) from the BT. This approach has been initially meant to be used for cloud/snow discrimination, as snow surface at a given wavelength is an almost perfect black body and does not reflect, whereas clouds (even thin cirrus) do have reflective properties. Like clouds, aerosol layers also cannot be considered to be black bodies, so their reflectance at 3.7 µm is not zero. The magnitude of this effect is much lower than that of clouds, however, good calibration of IR channels of AATSR (better than 0.1 Kelvin according to Smith et al., 2001) makes it possible to use this effect for AOT retrieval.

In order to utilize lookup table approach to make the inversion and retrieve AOT, we need to express the measured top of atmosphere radiances analytically. Let us use reflectances \( \rho \) rather than radiances \( I \):

\[
\rho = \frac{\pi f}{\mu_0} 
\]  
(7)

where \( f \) is the solar flux at the top of the atmosphere, and \( \theta_0 = \arccos \mu_0 \) is the solar zenith angle.

Assuming that the atmosphere and aerosol load are horizontally uniform and have a total optical thickness \( \tau \), and underlying surface is Lambertian, one can write the well-known expression:

\[
\rho_{\text{TOA}}(\lambda, \mu_0, \mu, \phi) = \rho_{\text{atm}}(\lambda, \mu_0, \mu, \phi) + \rho_{\text{sf}}(\lambda) \cdot T_1(\lambda, \mu_0) T_2(\lambda, \mu) \frac{1}{1 - \rho_{\text{sf}}(\lambda) \cdot s(\lambda)} 
\]  
(8)

where \( \theta = \arccos \mu \) is the observation zenith angle, \( \phi \) is the relative azimuth angle, \( \rho_{\text{TOA}}(\lambda, \mu_0, \mu, \phi) \) is the total reflectance of the system “surface + atmosphere”, \( \rho_{\text{atm}}(\lambda, \mu_0, \mu, \phi) \) is the atmospheric reflectance (the reflectance of the aerosol, Rayleigh scattering, ozone absorption), \( s(\lambda) \) is the atmospheric hemispherical albedo, \( T_1(\lambda, \mu_0) = E(\mu_0) + \exp(-\tau/\mu_0) \) is the atmospheric transmittance from the top-of-atmosphere to the surface, \( T_2(\lambda, \mu) = E(\mu) + \exp(-\tau/\mu) \) is the total atmospheric transmittance from the surface to a receiver (e.g., placed on a satellite), \( E(\mu_0) \) and \( E(\mu) \) are diffuse transmittances from top-of-atmosphere to the surface and from the surface to the receiver respectively, \( \exp(-\tau/\mu_0) \) and \( \exp(-\tau/\mu) \) are the direct transmittances from top-of-atmosphere to the surface and from the surface to the receiver respectively, \( \rho_{\text{sf}}(\lambda) \) is the Lambertian reflectance of the surface.

Of course, Eq. (8) is still valid at 3.7 µm and can be written as:

\[
\rho_{\text{TOA}}(3.7, \mu_0, \mu, \phi) = \rho_{\text{aer}}(3.7, \mu_0, \mu, \phi) + \rho_{\text{sf}}(3.7) 
\]  
(9)

where \( \rho_{\text{TOA}}(3.7, \mu_0, \mu, \phi) \) is the calculated reflectance of the system “surface + atmosphere” at 3.7 µm, \( \rho_{\text{aer}}(3.7, \mu_0, \mu, \phi) \) is the aerosol reflectance at 3.7 µm (interpolated from LUT). Here we neglect Rayleigh scattering and multiple scattering between the surface and the atmosphere, and assume that AOT is very small.

To estimate \( \rho_{\text{TOA}}(3.7, \mu_0, \mu, \phi) \) from BT (3.7 µm), we invert the Planck function in order to find the temperature corresponding to the radiance measurement at 3.7 µm and extract the reflectance part of it. This method used by Allen et al. (1990), Trepte et al. (1999), Spangenberg et al. (2001).
The radiance measured at 3.7 µm can be expressed as following:

\[
L_{3.7\mu m} = \varepsilon_{3.7\mu m} \cdot B_{3.7\mu m}(T_{surf}) + \rho_{TOA}(3.7, \mu_0, \mu, \phi) L_0 \mu_0
\]  

(10)

where \(\varepsilon_{3.7\mu m}\) is the surface emittance at 3.7 µm, \(B_{3.7\mu m}(T_{surf})\) is the Planck function at 3.7 µm for the surface temperature, which is approximated by measured BT at 12 µm. \(L_0\) is the incident solar radiance at 3.7 µm.

The first term of right hand side of Eq. (10) is the contribution to the measured radiance from the thermal emission of the surface assuming the transmittance of the atmosphere to be equal to 1. The second term on the right hand side is the contribution to the measured radiance due to the solar reflection. The amount of the reflected solar radiance reaching the satellite is determined by the incident solar radiance \(L_0\) weighted by the cosine of the solar zenith angle \(\mu_0\) and the bidirectional reflectance of the surface and the atmosphere \(\rho_{TOA}(3.7, \mu_0, \mu, \phi)\).

Using Eq. (10), we can write:

\[
\rho_{TOA}(3.7, \mu_0, \mu, \phi) = \frac{B_{3.7\mu m}(T_{3.7\mu m}) - \varepsilon_{3.7\mu m} \cdot B_{3.7\mu m}(T_{12\mu m})}{\mu_0 \cdot S_{3.7\mu m}}
\]  

(11)

where \(T_{3.7\mu m}\) is the measured 3.7 µm brightness temperature, \(T_{12\mu m}\) is the measured 12 µm brightness temperature, \(\mu_0\) is the cosine of solar zenith angle, \(S_{3.7\mu m}\) is the solar constant at 3.7 µm (3.47 Wm\(^{-2}\)µm\(^{-1}\)), \(\varepsilon_{3.7\mu m}\) is the clear snow emittance, \(B_{3.7\mu m}(BT)\) is the Planck function at 3.7 µm for some temperature BT.

It is important to note that in case of surface being a perfect black body, the term \(\rho_{def}(3.7)\) in Eq. (9) is equal to zero. However, snow emissivity \(\varepsilon_{3.7\mu m}\) may be not constant throughout the scene, therefore surface contamination can be still possible and is included in Eq. (9). As can be seen from Figs. 3 and 4, aerosol reflectance in the nadir direction is negligibly small. Within this assumption, relatively high nadir values of calculated \(\rho_{TOA}(3.7, \mu_0, 0, \phi)\) would mean that emissivity \(\varepsilon_{3.7\mu m}\) used in the calculation is different from the real emissivity of the scene, and \(\rho_{TOA}(3.7, \mu_0, 0, \phi)\) is nothing but the surface contamination which needs to be subtracted from the forward TOA reflectance \(\rho_{TOA}(3.7, \mu_0, 55, \phi)\) in order to get the forward aerosol reflectance \(\rho_{aer}(3.7, \mu_0, 55, \phi)\).

Within the assumption of no angular variability of snow emissivity, we can get the surface-free aerosol reflectance:

\[
\rho_{aer}(3.7, \mu_0, 55, \phi) = \rho_{TOA}(3.7, \mu_0, 55, \phi) - \rho_{TOA}(3.7, \mu_0, 0, \phi)
\]  

(12)

This \(\rho_{aer}(3.7, \mu_0, 55, \phi)\) is used for the LUT interpolation (Sect. 4.3).

Our particular case of low sun angles requires careful accounting for airmasses, different from that usual to plane-parallel atmosphere model. For RT calculations of LUTs, we use pseudospherical model of the atmosphere. The pseudospherical mode of SCIATRAN is calculating the light paths for the direct solar beam in a spherical atmosphere, and then solves the plane-parallel RT equation. To correct AATSR measured quantities, we use approximation described in Kas ten, Young (1989). To perform the comparison of the retrieved AOTs to the ground based measurements, we bring all the AOTs at 3.7 µm to 500 nm using a fixed Ångström parameter equal to 1.0.

In order to check the performance of Eqs. (10) and (11), we performed RT simulations with the forward model SCI ATRAN. For this we simulated 3.7 µm and 11 µm brightness temperatures for both nadir and forward views for a surface with the temperature 263 K and atmosphere with the AOT from 0 to 1 at 550 nm. The illumination angle is equal to 65°, observation angles are 0° and 55° respectively, the relative azimuth angle is equal to 0°. We also calculated the TOA reflectance at 3.7 µm for the same conditions. The difference between the simulated TOA reflectance at 3.7 µm and the one reconstructed from the BT using Eq. (10) is shown in Fig. 5 for the both viewing geometries. The color filled areas represent the error in the reconstructed TOA reflectance at 3.7 µm, which occurs due to calibration error of AATSR BT product of ±0.1 K. The relative error of such a reconstruction is around 1% for the forward view and around 12% for the nadir view, which appears to be reasonable as the nadir view reflectance has much smaller order of magnitude in comparison to the forward view reflectance.

Then, these reconstructed TOA reflectances at 3.7 µm were used for the AOT retrieval according to Eq. (10). The relative error of the retrieved AOT depending on the input AOTs is shown in Fig. 6. Again, the color filled areas represent the error in the retrieved AOT which occurs due to the calibration error in the retrieved TOA.
error in AATS R BT product of ±0.1 K. As our simulations did not include any surface, the simulated retrieval was performed without the surface correction introduced in Eq. (12). The simulation shows that the sensitivity of retrieved AOT to the AATS R BT calibration error of ±0.1 K is around several percent.

4.3 Calculation of LUTs for Arctic conditions

Unlike e.g. Rayleigh scattering, the phase function of which is well known, aerosol reflectance $\rho_{\text{aer}}(\lambda, \mu_0, \mu, \phi)$ is variable due to different particle size distribution, particle shape and chemical composition, which affects single scattering albedo and extinction of aerosol layer. All this makes aerosol reflectance highly dependent on illumination-observation geometry and variable from one aerosol type to another. This makes it impossible to create one atmospheric LUT, which would represent aerosol properties well on global scale. As current work is dedicated to AOT retrieval in Arctic region, we took the advantage of ground based aerosol measurements and created a LUT using phase function and single scattering albedo values measured in situ during one of the regular pollution events in Arctic (Treffeisen et al., 2007; Stohl et al., 2007). It has been measured on 23 March 2000 at Spitsbergen, Ny Ålesund, Svalbard, 78.923° N 11.923° E, by the Alfred Wegener Institute for Polar and Marine Research at 867 nm.

The AOT range was from 0 to 1 (at 500 nm). The LUT has been calculated with the single scattering albedo equal to 0.90 at 500 nm and 0.71 at 3.7 μm, which corresponds to the accumulation mode of the dust-like aerosol (see Tables 1 and 2). It is important to note that the current phase function corresponds to a certain particle size distribution (Angström alpha value of 1.46). While this spectral slope of aerosol is quite common (as seen from AERONET data for Arctic stations), larger or smaller particles can cause large scatter of the reflectance data, which would cause similar scatter in the retrieved AOTs. This scatter can be reduced only with aerosol-type sensitive retrieval, which requires multispectral observations. Over snow this task is quite challenging and will be solved in the next versions of the retrieval.

5 Validation of the aerosol optical thickness retrieval

The algorithm has been validated against AERONET measurements for the four high Arctic stations. The stations have been chosen to feature frequent AATS R overflights and therefore provide statistically significant amount of data. These stations are OPAL (79°59′24″ N, 85°56′20″ W, altitude 0 m), PEARL (80°03′14″ N, 86°25′01″ W, altitude 615 m), Thule (76°30′57″ N, 68°46′08″ W, altitude 225 m), Kangerlussuaq (66°59′45″ N, 50°37′15″ W, altitude 320 m). All these stations provide relatively continuous and long datasets of ground based data, however they are located in the areas of complicated terrain. The first three stations are standing at the fjords of Queen Elisabeth Islands and Knud Rasmussen Land, and the last one is located at W-Greenland about 200 km from the coast. None of these stations are located on a permanently snow covered terrain, and depending on season they feature different surface types, from clear
Fig. 8. Analysis of the validation dataset for station OPAL which contains nearly 200 points spring and summer of years 2007–2009. Only cloud free snow flagged data were taken into account. The dependence of TOA reflectance at 550 nm on BT at 12 µm shows the evolution of surface type with the time of the year. Most of the dataset represents melting sea ice surface type (summer months), whereas data from April and May represent ice covered with snow.

Fig. 9. Comparison of the retrieved AOTs derived from AATSR product as 3.7 µm and AERONET AOTs for station OPAL. The dashed line represents the linear regression of the data. RMSD = 0.0283, \( R^2 = 0.53 \).

Our validation dataset for stations PEARL contain approximately 200 points and for station OPAL 130 points from spring and summer of years 2007, 2008, 2009. Stations Thule and Kangerlussuaq gave less cloud free observations overlapping with AATSR overflights – around 40 points from years 2007 and 2008 for Thule, and 20 points from years 2008 and 2009 for Kangerlussuaq.

All the data has been cloud screened and snow flagged. AERONET data with extreme values of Ångström coefficient for 440–870 nm (less than 0.5 and more than 2.0) were not taken into account. The maximum allowed time difference between the AATSR overflight and the AERONET measurement was equal to 15 min. We applied spatial averaging of the AATSR data within 0.1 degree of latitude and 1 degree of longitude. The range of sun zenith angles of the entire validation dataset is from approximately 55° to 75°, with the vast majority of the values around 60°. Average relative azimuth angle is approximately 30°. As AERONET gives the best temporal coverage at 500 nm, the comparison has to be performed at this wavelength. To estimate the AOT at 500 nm with the retrieval which utilizes AATSR channel at 3.7 µm, we use a fixed Ångström parameter equal to 1.0. The correlation plot for each station is showed in Fig. 9 (OPAL), Fig. 10 (PEARL), Fig. 11 (Thule), Fig. 12 (Kangerlussuaq). The dashed line represents the linear regression of the data. It is visible that each of the correlation plots shows a larger scatter in the retrieved AOTs, the larger the AERONET AOT is. This is explained by a greater effect of the aerosol type and its spectral slope for larger AOT values. As the values of AERONET Ångström coefficient for 440–870 nm are ranging from 0.5 to 2.0, and our LUT has been created for 1.0 only, this large scatter is expected and will be corrected in the next version of the algorithm. At AOTs less than 0.1 the retrieval works satisfactory with no significant over- or underestimation. As the vast majority of AOT values in the Arctic are below 0.1, the retrieved AOT maps can give a good estimate of the AOT distribution over snow.
6 Case study: dust formation and transport on Greenland during 4–5 July 2008

A noticeable dust event has been observed on the 4 July 2008, in the vicinity of Kangerlussuaq, W-Greenland. The dust event was triggered by a northeasterly wind breezing up during the morning and mobilising mineral dust from open soils along the Søndre Strømfjord (see Fig. 13). The dust cloud developed during the morning of a clear, sunny day. The maximum occurred in the early afternoon and gradually declined with decreasing wind speed in late afternoon. Maximum temperature was 22 °C on this day. The relative air humidity during the day ranged below 35%. The dust cloud was observed about 15 km west of Kangerlussuaq reducing horizontal visibility down to about 10 km. The dust layer vertically grew during the day with increasing wind speed, reaching a maximal thickness of estimated 500 m above ground at early afternoon.
The following day, 5 July, was clear again but showed only weak winds. As expected no dust mobilisation was observed. However, a katabatic wind of a limited range was experienced when approaching the ice sheet, but this wind did not reach highly erodible periglacial landforms down-slope the fjord. Those landforms comprising sandar, terraces, moraines, banks of braided streams or dunes act as particular source areas of coarse mineral dust.

The visible particle transport by katabatic winds in the Søndre Strømfjord can be assumed as part of a circulation system including a downstream flow at ground level and an upstream flow at higher elevations. The downstream wind can be supposed governing the particle transport near the surface away from the ice sheet. Still unknown is the question to what extent mobilized particles are able to raise up during transport possibly due to turbulent mixing and thereby reaching the opposite directed flow at higher elevation. In this case at least the finer fraction of windblown particles (2–3 µm) may be transported backwards towards the ice sheet.

Near Kangerlussuaq, W-Greenland, the existence of such a backflow was confirmed by balloon measurements of Oerlemans and Vuugs (1993) and by investigation flights of Heinemann (1999). By these authors, the backflow was found ranging between 150 m (Oerlemans and Vuugs, 1993) and 1000 m a.g.l. (Heinemann, 1999).

The above mentioned dust cloud originating on 4 July was expected to significantly raise AOT. This enhanced AOT should allow us to detect the dust cloud by using a variation of the Koschmieder equation suggested by Bäumer et al. (2008). The equation can be written as follows:

\[ V = 3.912 \tau^{-1} \]  

where \( V \) is the horizontal visibility, \( z \) is the height of the pollution layer, \( \tau \) is the AOT at 550 nm. For above mentioned parameters of the pollution event, AOT takes a value of approximately 0.4.

For the satellite retrieval we used the AATSR overflight on 5 July 2008, 14 h 46 min 02 s, and orbit 1 number 33187. The map of retrieved AOTs is shown in Fig. 14, left panel. Arrows show increased AOT east of Kangerlussuaq (left bottom corner), and on the opposite side of Greenland (right upper corner), where the same mechanism of dust mobilisation is supposed. AATSR reflectances at visible wavelengths do not show any evidence of the increased aerosol load or different surface type at these points (see Fig. 14, left panel for location near Kangerlussuaq and right panel for the opposite shore of Greenland). It is visible that the retrieved AOTs (Fig. 15, left panel) resemble the same pattern as calculated TOA reflectances at 3.7 µm (Fig. 15, right panel).

Figure 16 shows the map of retrieved AOT over Greenland after the discussed dust event, on 15 July 2008, 14 h 32 min 18 s, orbit number 33330. No evidence of pollution is visible in the Kangerlussuaq area, which proves that the increase of AOT near Kangerlussuaq on 5 July 2008 visible in the retrieved AOT map is not a surface feature, but an atmospheric pollution.
Fig. 15. The map of retrieved AOT over Greenland on 5 July 2008, 14 h 46 min 02 s, orbit number 33187 (left panel). Arrows indicate increased AOT near Kangerlussuaq (left bottom corner), where a dust event has been observed on 4 July 2008 (see Fig. 13), and on the NE-Greenland (right upper corner), where the same mechanism of dust mobilization is expected. The 3.7 µm reflectance map of the same scene (right panel) shows the same pattern as the AOT.

Fig. 16. The map of retrieved AOT over Greenland after the discussed dust event, on 15 July 2008, 14 h 32 min 18 s, orbit number 33330. No evidence of pollution is visible in the Kangerlussuaq area (shown with the arrow).

Despite of the obvious mineral dust cloud shown in Fig. 13 with an AOT (500 nm) of 0.028 and an Angström exponent (440–870) around 0.8, AERONET station Kangerlussuaq (66°59′45″ N, 50°37′15″ W) shows no evidence of a dust event neither on 4 July nor on 5 July. This discrepancy is possibly explainable by a non-uniform dust layer of very coarse particles. Due to the inhomogeneous structure and local flow dynamics, the AERONET instrument was not able to detect the higher particle concentrations at its specific location.

7 Conclusions

In current work we performed a comprehensive analysis of aerosol scattering characteristics at 3.7 µm using RT calculations to simulate TOA reflectance and phase functions for coarse and accumulation modes of four main aerosol components. Strong angular dependence of aerosol IR reflectance makes it possible to retrieve AOT of these particles at 3.7 µm at some non-nadir angles. At the same time, aerosol reflectance is low for the nadir observation geometry, which allows us to use AATSR nadir observations at this wavelength for surface correction. Performed sensitivity study showed that AATSR IR calibration error would affect the resulting AOT within the range of several percent. Therefore the formerly known method of inverting the Planck function in order to extract the reflectance part from the BT product can be
used for the AOT retrieval over snow. A simple LUT-based algorithm similar to traditional AOT retrievals over ocean is used to retrieve AOT over snow using calculated TOA reflectances at 3.7 µm. It has been validated against 4 high Arctic AERONET stations and shows good agreement with no systematic over- or underestimation for small AOT values below 0.1.

Therefore the presented retrieval can be used for AOT retrieval over snow in order to study the details of aerosol distribution and transport in Arctic at least at a qualitative level.

We expect the uncertainty of the retrieval to grow for the larger AOTs due to larger effect of aerosol spectral slope on such values. This can be solved with an aerosol type sensitive retrieval, which should make use of several AATSR spectral channels and will be implemented in the next version of the retrieval.

A case study was performed for the dust event observed on 4 July 2008 along the Søndre Strømfjord (Kangerlussuaq) on W-Greenland. Fresh winds mobilized mineral dust from bare soil areas on that day. On 5 July the retrieved AOT map still shows an obvious increase of AOT east of Kangerlussuaq. The upstream position is explained by a small scale circulation transporting the mobilized dust in direction ice sheet by its upper branch. The retrieval also shows increased AOT on NE-Greenland. A similar mechanism of dust transport can be assumed to explain this so far unconfirmed dust event also occurring in the vicinity of a bare soil area. However, the assumed particle transport has to be confirmed by vertical and horizontal aerosol measurement at the margin of the ice sheet in order to confirm the supposed transport of local mineral dust onto the ice sheet.
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