The ToF-ACSM: a portable aerosol chemical speciation monitor with TOFMS detection
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Abstract. We present a new instrument for monitoring aerosol composition, the time-of-flight aerosol chemical speciation monitor (ToF-ACSM), combining precision state-of-the-art time-of-flight mass spectrometry with stability, reliability, and easy handling, which are necessities for long-term monitoring operations on the scale of months to years. Based on Aerodyne aerosol mass spectrometer (AMS) technology, the ToF-ACSM provides continuous online measurements of chemical composition and mass of non-refractory submicron aerosol particles. In contrast to the larger AMS, the compact-sized and lower-priced ToF-ACSM does not feature particle sizing, similar to the widely-used quadrupole-ACSM (Q-ACSM). Compared to the Q-ACSM, the ToF-ACSM features a better mass resolution of \( \frac{M}{M} = 600 \) and better detection limits on the order of \(< 30 \text{ ng m}^{-3}\) for a time resolution of 30 min. With simple upgrades these limits can be brought down by another factor of \(\sim 8\). This allows for operation at higher time resolutions and in low concentration environments. The associated software packages (single packages for integrated operation and calibration and analysis) provide a high degree of automation and remote access, minimising the need for trained personnel on site. Interrcomparsions with Q-ACSM, C-ToF-AMS, nephelometer and scanning mobility particle sizer (SMPS) measurements, performed during a first long-term deployment (> 10 months) on the Jungfrau-joch mountain ridge (3580 m a.s.l.) in the Swiss Alps, agree quantitatively. Additionally, the mass resolution of the ToF-ACSM is sufficient for basic mass defect resolved peak fitting of the recorded spectra, providing a data stream not accessible to the Q-ACSM. This allows for quantification of certain hydrocarbon and oxygenated fragments (e.g. \(\text{C}_3\text{H}_7^+\) and \(\text{C}_2\text{H}_3\text{O}^+\), both occurring at \(m/Q = 43\) Th), as well as improving inorganic/organic separation.

1 Introduction

Over the last decades, ongoing research efforts have solidified the knowledge base about the significant role aerosols play in Earth’s ecosystem (Gu et al., 2003; Mercado et al., 2009; Mahowald, 2011) and climate (Lohmann and Feichter, 2005; Forster et al., 2007; Carslaw et al., 2010). Furthermore, evidence for severe adverse effects of aerosols on human health has been reported (Seaton et al., 1995; Laden et al., 2000; Cohen et al., 2005; Pope and Dockery, 2006), though the mechanisms of action and effect of aerosol composition remain largely unclear. To assess and address these issues a large number of air quality monitoring endeavours are needed. Essential to this are instruments capable of gathering in situ information about the chemical properties and composition of the ambient particles on a long-term basis. Such instruments can provide valuable insights into many attributes of the aerosol, e.g. source or toxicity, with higher time resolution (minutes to hours) than conventional filter sampling with subsequent post-processing. Effects on ecosystem and climate mainly occur on large temporal and spatial scales, therefore it is similarly important to be able to collect these data over long-term periods. In addition, this facilitates the
conduct of epidemiological studies useful in assessing links between health and aerosols.

The various types of the Aerodyne aerosol mass spectrometer (hereafter denoted AMS; quadrupole-AMS: Jayne et al., 2000, compact time-of-flight (C-ToF)-AMS: Drewnick et al., 2005, high resolution time-of-flight (HR-ToF)-AMS: DeCarlo et al., 2006) have proven to be very productive and powerful tools in terms of recording aerosol mass spectra with high sensitivity. An overview of numerous AMS campaigns demonstrating the importance of organics in the total ambient PM$_1$ aerosol budget is shown in Canagaratna et al. (2007), and Jimenez et al. (2009) used AMS data to unravel the chemical evolution of organic aerosol in the atmosphere. However, the monetary and manpower investments associated with AMS measurements and data analysis make this instrument impractical for long-term, widespread, semi-autonomous monitoring initiatives.

The Aerodyne quadrupole aerosol chemical speciation monitor (Q-ACSM, Ng et al., 2011) is built upon the same sampling and detection technology as the AMS but with reduced complexity (e.g. no particle size measurement) and performance. The ACSM is specially designed for unattended monitoring applications with minimal user intervention to close the gap between AMS and filter sampling. It is able to record mass spectra of ambient non-refractory submicron aerosol with unit mass resolution (UMR) up to mass to charge ratios of 200 Th, although the region above 140 Th is usually omitted because of its negligible contribution to aerosol mass and a decreasing transmission of the quadrupole. To date it has been used successfully by more than 40 research groups all over the world (cf. Sun et al., 2013, 2012; Budisulistiorini et al., 2013; Seto et al., 2013; Takahama et al., 2013) and has inspired some very fruitful international cooperations like the ACSM subgroup of the European ACTRIS (Aerosols, Clouds, and Trace gases Research Infrastructure Network) project (www.psi.ch/acsm-stations). Besides the individual scientific output of every instrument, the unique databases produced with the ACSM by such monitoring networks comprise a combination of chemical information, high time resolution, long-term measurements, and more, like the ability to measure semivolatile nitrate and organics without filter artefacts or offline analysis. This provides invaluable opportunities for the modelling community.

In this manuscript, we present a new instrument based on AMS and ACSM technology, the time-of-flight ACSM (ToF-ACSM). This instrument retains the advantages of the Q-ACSM such as compact design, semi-autonomous operation, and relatively low cost, while greatly improving mass resolution and detection limits. It is equipped with a Tofwerk ETOF (economy time-of-flight) ion mass spectrometer. Upgrades are possible since the hardware is compatible with any Tofwerk TOF platforms. Here we discuss the operation, testing, and initial deployment of a ToF-ACSM for a period of > 10 months at the high altitude research station, the Jungfraujoch (JFJ, 3580 m a.s.l.), in the Swiss Alps. This deployment demonstrated the instrument stability, sensitivity, and enabled quantitative comparison with other aerosol mass spectrometers and particle instruments.

2 Apparatus

The components of the ToF-ACSM are mounted in a rectangular rack with edge lengths of 65 cm × 51 cm × 60 cm, it weights 75 kg and consumes approximately 330 W when the inlet valve is open (to compare Q-ACSM: 48.3 cm × 53.3 cm × 83.8 cm, 63.5 kg, 300 W; HR-ToF-AMS: 104 cm × 61 cm × 135 cm, 170 kg, 600 W). This compact size facilitates transport and enables a simpler integration into existing monitoring stations or places where space is limited, e.g. aeroplanes.

Figure 1 shows a scheme of the ToF-ACSM with the main components. A primary difference between the ToF-ACSM and the Q-ACSM and AMS is the different vacuum system design. The vacuum chamber, which has a total length of 43 cm (Q-ACSM: same; AMS: 59 cm) is divided into four differentially pumped sections. A Pfeiffer SF270 4-stage turbomolecular pump (www.pfeiffer-vacuum.com) is mounted directly to the vacuum chamber, and backed by the same Vacuubrand MD1 diaphragm pump (www.vacuubrand.com) utilised in all AMS systems. The analyser is evacuated through a direct opening to the vacuum chamber and thus does not require an additional pump. The pressure is reduced over the stages from ∼ 5 × 10$^{-2}$ mbar at the exit of the aerodynamic lens to ∼ 10$^{-7}$ mbar in the ionisation chamber containing vaporiser and ioniser (for a closer description of inlet and vaporiser/ioniser see Sect. 2.1). The electronics required for operation of the system, the acquisition PC and the data acquisition (DAQ) card are all mounted within the instrument rack.

2.1 Operational principle

Aerosol enters the instrument over the inlet system on the frontal face of the vacuum chamber. This inlet system consists of an automatic 3-way valve switch system, the aerodynamic lens and a critical orifice. Aerodynamic lens as well as the vaporiser/ioniser system are identical to those used in both the Q-ACSM (Ng et al., 2011) and the research grade AMS (Jayne et al., 2000) instruments, except that vaporiser and ioniser are divided into two parts to allow the filament flange to be removed easily.

With the valve switching system a filter is interposed periodically into the flow of ambient air to the instrument in order to measure the background signal. The particle signal is then obtained by taking the difference between the total signal measured without a filter (“sample mode”) and the background signal measured with filter (“filter mode”). This follows the principle applied in the Q-ACSM and utilises the
same switching hardware. The sample flow into the instrument is controlled by a critical orifice. The orifice diameter for operation under normal pressure conditions is 100 µm, admitting a flow of 1.4 cm$^3$ s$^{-1}$.

The aerodynamic lens (Liu et al., 1995a; b; Liu et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2004), which consists of a series of apertures with decreasing diameter, focuses the submicron particles in the aerosol in a narrow beam into the vacuum chamber while the gases diverge. The lighter air molecules are preferentially stripped from the aerosol beam as it passes through skimmers separating the 4 differentially-pumped chambers. Position and design of the skimmers are particularly important for ACSM instruments because of the shorter vacuum chamber compared to the AMS. High signals caused by atmospheric gases reduce the lifetime of the detector significantly and contribute to interferences in the aerosol mass spectrum.

At ambient pressure (1013 mbar) the lens system has a close to 100% transmission at vacuum aerodynamic diameters between $d_{ca} = 150$ nm and $d_{ca} = 450$ nm and an upper cut-off (< 15% transmission) around $d_{ca} = 1$ µm (Liu et al., 2007). The transmission for smaller particles (< 100 nm) is somewhat reduced compared to the Q-ACSM, which is a result of the different vacuum systems and pumping speeds at the lens exit chamber. A recently developed aerodynamic lens extends the particle transmission to several micrometre (Williams et al., 2013) and is compatible with the ToF-ACSM.

At the end of the chamber, the particle beam impacts on a resistively heated porous tungsten surface ($T \approx 600 ^\circ$C). There the non-refractory constituents in the particles flash vaporise and are subsequently ionised by electron impact. The electrons used for the ionisation ($E_{kin} = 70$ eV) are emitted by a tungsten filament arranged perpendicular to the particle beam in the vapourisation region. The ioniser flange, unlike on existing AMS systems, may be directly removed from the vacuum chamber, allowing a quick and easy replacement of the filaments. The same principle applies to the detector flange.

Numerous experiments with AMS and Q-ACSM have shown that a fraction of the non-refractory particles does not flash vaporise at the vaporiser but bounces off the oven and subsequently is not detected (Canagaratna et al., 2007; Matthew et al., 2008). A collection efficiency (CE) factor was introduced to correct for this effect. In the majority of cases the CE is approximately CE = 0.5. The CE caused by particle bounce increases with increasing particulate water content, acidity, and nitrate fraction (Middlebrook et al., 2012). Because it is not possible to quantitatively determine the water content, a drying system (e.g. Nafion membrane driers from Perma Pure MD, www.permapure.com) is typically installed on the sampling inlet. The campaign and instrument specific CE can be assessed for example by correlation to co-located measurements (e.g. SMPS + aethalometer) or by theoretical considerations using the measured chemical composition, as described by Middlebrook et al. (2012).

### 2.2 Time-of-flight mass analyser

The ToF-ETOF mass analyser is based on the CTOF analyser used by the first-generation ToF-AMS systems (Drewnick et al., 2005), and shares the same housing and interface connections. However, the fine grids used to develop the electric fields which guide the ions are replaced with metallic plates, providing a more robust, economical solution at the expense of resolving power and sensitivity. Fewer voltages (drift tube: ~3000 V, reflector backplane and grid: 200–800 V, pulser: ~800 V, detector: ~3500 V) are required to operate the analyser, reducing the complexity of the power supply and potential for failure during long-term operation. The ions are detected using an SGE dynode detector (www.sge.com), which are much more robust than the micro-channel plates (MCP) used in standard AMS systems and can handle exposure to atmospheric pressure and humidity. The detector lifetime of the instrument deployed at the Jungfraujoch for this study was 1 yr. Together, the use of the ETOF+SGE system gives an 8-fold (4-fold SGE × 2-fold ETOF) reduction in sensitivity as compared to the CTOF + MCP (for detection limits see Sect. 3.2), and a mass resolving power ($R_M$) of ~600 instead of ~1000. It is noted that a specially-designed flange, which bolts directly onto the end of the vacuum chamber, is used to hold the detector mount, providing unconstrained access and allowing for fast replacement of an old detector or quick swap-out to a higher-sensitivity MCP detector for specific time periods of interest. This is in contrast to the Q-ACSM where the quadrapole head has to be disassembled to replace the detector or the AMS where the entire mass analyser must be removed.

---

**Fig. 1.** ToF-ACSM schematic. Ioniser/vaporiser as well as detector are easily accessible through two vacuum flanges at the backside of the chambers. This enables an easy interchange of the ioniser filaments or between the various suitable detector types.
2.3 Data acquisition and analysis

The signals are digitised using a USB-based acquisition card connected to a compact PC. The 14-bit analogue-to-digital converter digitises the analogue signal into 2\(^{14}\) discrete levels at 0.8 GS\(\text{s}^{-1}\) with a maximum mass spectrum acquisition rate of 200 Hz and maximum averaging depth of 65 535 extractions/spectrum. The 64-fold increase in the resolution of the digitisation with respect to the Acqiris AP240 card used in the AMS allows for a much more accurate setting of both the electronic baseline and noise suppression threshold. The increased dynamic range also affords higher fidelity recording of single ion signals, and thus improved linearity in instrument response.

Data are recorded using the TofDaq data recorder from Tofwerk AG, which are fed into presentation and analysis using the Tofwerk “IgorDAQ” package running under the Igor environment (WaveMetrics Inc., OR, USA).

The background and total air measurements are alternated through automated, synchronised switching of an inlet valve such that the resulting time series data resemble that of “fast-mode” MS of the AMS (Kimmel et al., 2011). In this mode of operation, the background is assumed stable over the timescale of a single data point (10 min), and recorded for only 1 min before switching to total air sampling for the remaining 9 min. To ignore transients in the inlet, the first 20 s of data after a valve switch must be discarded.

The software then interpolates and optionally smoothes a running background trend between the filter data points, which is then subtracted from the individual total measurements. The ionisation efficiency (IE), \(m/Q\) and flow calibrations, baseline subtraction, sensitivity correction and fragmentation patterns (Jayne et al., 2000; Jimenez et al., 2003; Allan et al., 2004; Drewnick et al., 2005) are applied, yielding the integrated species time trends at a maximum 20 s temporal resolution. The saved data products thus consist of Tofwerk-format HDF files, containing the full mass spectra and associated diagnostics, and of 10 min and 1 h timescale tab-delimited text files of mass loading time trends and important diagnostics, and organic species spectrum matrices suitable for analysis by tools such as the multilinear engine ME-2 (Paatero, 1999; Canonaco et al., 2013) and positive matrix factorisation (PMF; Paatero, 1997; Paatero and Tapper, 1994). In addition, IgorDAQ is designed to operate with the application programming interface from Google Inc. (www.google.com), allowing for the automated upload of data to a dedicated, password-protected location and subsequent parsing and display of data products in Web browsers and on mobile devices using publicly-available JavaScript widgets. The DAQ may also be configured to read parameters from the server before the start of each measurement cycle, for example if a change in the filament emission current were desired. This alleviates the need for remote-desktop applications for which the Internet connection may, particularly at remote sites, not be sufficient. Finally, the instrument start-up operation is automated, including pumping down of the vacuum chambers, making it suitable for operation in the absence of trained personnel.

For further, more detailed analysis of the data, the HDF files may be analysed using the Igor-based “Tofware” package, which offers the usual analysis features employed by the atmospheric science community such as mass calibration, peak integration and high-resolution peak fitting. A dedicated plug-in to the Tofware base package is employed to deal with the application of ACSM-specific corrections, filter subtraction and other instrument-specific requirements.

3 Quantification of aerosol mass

The ToF-ACSM provides mass spectra of non-refractory submicron particulate material that vaporises at \(\sim 600^\circ\text{C}\) and \(\sim 10^{-7}\) mbar. Further speciation (e.g. into nitrate, sulphate, chloride, ammonium, and organic compounds) is attained through analysis of fragmentation patterns (Allan et al., 2004).

3.1 Calibrations

For the mass spectra to become quantitative, a number of calibrations are necessary to relate raw detector signals to quantitative mass spectra and to account for changes inside (detector signal decay) or outside (pressure) the instrument.

3.1.1 Inlet flow

The dependency of the pressure measured after the critical orifice on the flow has to be calibrated to detect and eventually correct for changes in the inlet flow during operation. This can be done by connecting a sensitive needle valve to the inlet and recording the pressure and flow while opening it stepwise.

3.1.2 Baseline and detector

In the ToF-ACSM the retrieval of the conversion factor from a signal amplitude at the detector measured in \(\text{mV} \times \text{ns}\) to ions s\(^{-1}\), the so-called single ion calibration, is fully automated. The same applies to the determination of the spectrum’s appropriate baseline and the detector gain. In regular intervals the system checks and, if necessary, readjusts the baseline and gain settings autonomously.

Analogously to all AMS systems, variations of the nitrogen signal at \(m/Q = 28\) Th which is assumed to be constant due to its abundance in the atmosphere can be used to correct for intrinsic changes in the instrument like a decay of the ion detector signal in the ToF module occurring between the automatic gain adjustments.
3.1.3 \( m/Q \)

Easily identifiable ions from the chamber background are used to determine \( m/Q \) as a function of ion time-of-flight. As highlighted in Fig. 2, for ambient sampling, ions selected at the low \( m/Q \) end of the spectrum typically include nitrogen (N\(_2^+\): 28.0067 Th), oxygen (O\(_2^+\): 31.9904 Th), argon (Ar\(^+\): 39.9629 Th) and carbon dioxide (CO\(_2^+\): 43.9904 Th). Since the calibration function is non-linear, one also needs a calibration point in the heavy end of the spectrum. As long as one uses tungsten filaments in the ioniser, ions of the four stable isotopes of tungsten \(^{182}\)W\(^+\), \(^{183}\)W\(^+\), \(^{184}\)W\(^+\) and \(^{186}\)W\(^+\) will always be visible and can be used for the \( m/Q \) calibration. In the \( m/Q \) calibration depicted in Fig. 2, the isotope with the nucleon number \( A = 184 \) (\(^{184}\)W\(^+\): 183.9509 Th) was used. The \( m/Q \) calibration is dynamically adjusted every 10 min by the software to account for potential drifts in instrument performance during deployment, e.g. in response to changes in room temperature.

3.1.4 Signal-to-mass

To quantify the mass concentrations measured by the ToF-ACSM, the signal to mass relation of the device has to be determined. A mass-based calibration method using the mass-based ionisation efficiency mIE (Onasch et al., 2011) given in ions measured per picogram of aerosol particles entering the instrument is applied. Equation (1) yields the mass concentration \( \gamma_i \) of a species \( i \) derived from the measured signals \( I_{i,j} \) of its mass fragments \( j \).

\[
\gamma_i = \frac{1}{(\text{mIE}_i \cdot qV)} \cdot \sum_j I_{i,j}
\]

with \( \gamma_i \) in units of \( \mu g \cdot m^{-3} \), mIE\(_i\) in ions pg\(^{-1}\), \( I_{i,j} \) in ions s\(^{-1}\) and the volumetric sample flow \( qV \) in \( cm^3 \cdot s^{-1} \). As the mIE\(_i\) is different for every ambient species, it is convenient to express the different mIE\(_i\) in terms of mIE\(_{NO_3}\) (i.e. the mIE of the sum of the main nitrate fragments: NO\(^+\) at \( m/Q = 30 \) Th and NO\(_2^+\): \( m/Q = 46 \) Th) determined in the calibration (see Eq. 3 below and Jimenez et al., 2003). To this end, a relative ionisation efficiency RIE is defined:

\[
\text{RIE}_i = \frac{\text{mIE}_i}{\text{mIE}_{NO_3}}.
\]

The RIE\(_i\) of a species \( i \) with respect to the mass-based ionisation efficiency of NO\(_3\) is unitless. Commonly used RIE values are 1.4 for organics and 1.3 for chloride. The RIE values of NH\(_4\) and SO\(_4\) should be calibrated at the beginning of each deployment and then be reviewed on a regular basis. Typically they lie between 2.5–5 and 0.6–1.2, respectively. During the long-term measurement at the Jungfraujoch, the ToF-ACSM RIE\(_i\) were RIE\(_{NH_4}\) = 3.23 ± 0.42 and RIE\(_{SO_4}\) = 0.65 ± 0.05.

For the mIE calibrations, ammonium nitrate (NH\(_4\)NO\(_3\)) particles of known size and concentration are needed, similar to the calibrations of the Q-ACSM (Ng et al., 2011). Hence, the same calibration equipment is required. NH\(_4\)NO\(_3\) is mainly used because it is easily accessible and atomised, evaporises with 100% efficiency to ions from the ammonium and nitrate species. NH\(_4\)NO\(_3\) is also well focused by the aerodynamic lens and does not experience particle bounce at the vapouriser. Particles of NH\(_4\)NO\(_3\) can be produced from an aqueous solution by a nebuliser, size selected with a DMA after being dried by, e.g. a silica gel drier and then fed simultaneously to the ToF-ACSM and a CPC for counting.

With the equipment described above, a fixed amount (between 300 and 1500 cm\(^3\)) of NH\(_4\)NO\(_3\) calibration aerosol particles with a uniform mobility diameter in the range between \( d_m = 300–350 \) nm are selected with the DMA and sampled by the instrument. This diameter and concentration range is recommended because there the lens still has unit transmission and the error caused by doubly charged particles is minimised. Great care should be taken in the set-up of DMA and CPC. Uncertainties in number concentration or particle size will obviously reduce the accuracy of the mIE calibration. The software then automatically calculates the mIE\(_{NO_3}\) only from the signal of the NO\(^+\) and NO\(_2^+\) fragments of the nitrate using Eq. (3):

\[
\text{mIE}_{NO_3} = \frac{I_{NO_3, m/Q=30} + I_{NO_3, m/Q=46}}{n \cdot \rho V \cdot f \cdot qV}.
\]

Here \( I_{i,j} \) are the ion signals in ions s\(^{-1}\), \( n \) is the number of particles measured with the CPC in cm\(^3\), \( \rho \) the density of NH\(_4\)NO\(_3\) in g cm\(^{-3}\), \( V \) the volume of one NH\(_4\)NO\(_3\) particle in cm\(^3\), \( f \) the fraction of NH\(_4\)NO\(_3\) that is nitrate and \( qV \) the sampling flow in cm\(^3\) s\(^{-1}\). At the same time the RIE\(_{NH_4}\) is determined from the signal of the ammonium fragments at \( m/Q = 15, 16 \) and 17 Th via the mass fraction of NH\(_4\) in NH\(_4\)NO\(_3\).
Figure 3 shows the summed ToF-ACSM signal of nitrate (blue, left axis) and of ammonium (orange, right axis) at several mass concentrations of the respective species sampled by the ToF-ACSM. For both ions, a linear response of the signal to mass concentration is observed over a wide range of concentrations. Note that the higher ammonium signal (despite less mass) results from the high RIE\(_{NH_4}\) (2.99).

The final RIE\(_{NO_3}\), which later is applied to the ambient data, is slightly higher than one (RIE\(_{NO_3,ambient} = 1.1\)) because the two fragments of nitrate used in the calibration only account for about 90 % of the total nitrate signal. The part of nitrate that fragments into separate nitrogen or oxygen atoms is not monitored in the calibration because of the low signal to background ratio at the corresponding \(m/Q\) ratios.

Once RIE\(_{NH_4}\) has been measured, the RIE of sulphate (RIE\(_{SO_4}\)) can easily be determined by sampling (NH\(_4\))\(_2\)SO\(_4\) particles and adjusting RIE\(_{SO_4}\) to yield ion balance between ammonium and sulphate.

It is recommended to repeat the signal-to-mass calibration at least every 8 weeks during normal operation and with increased frequency following a venting of the vacuum chamber.

### 3.2 Detection limits

The chemical species detectable with the ToF-ACSM (organics, ammonium, nitrate, sulphate and chloride) are retrieved by a recombination of ionic signals of the single fragments that the species break down to during ionisation and vapourisation (Allan et al., 2004). In the following, detection limits are defined as three times the standard deviation (3-\(\sigma\)) from zero at a 1 min time resolution. They can be scaled to different averaging times using the formula

\[
DL_t = DL_{1\text{ min}} \sqrt{\frac{60s}{t}},
\]

with the detection limit of 1 min DL\(_{1\text{ min}}\) and DL\(_t\) being the detection limit for a given averaging time \(t\). Detection limits are largely governed by the extent to which background signal originating from ions of ambient gases (mainly O\(_2\), N\(_2\), Ar, H\(_2\)O, and CO\(_2\)) interferes with measurement of a selected species. Species with significant signal at \(m/Q\) affected by such background signal have higher detection limits.

To measure the detection limits, an additional filter was placed on the ToF-ACSM inlet before the standard filtered/unfiltered switching valve, yielding a constant stream of particle-free air. Figure 4a shows the time series of the difference signal (difference between the signal at the two positions of the switching valve) of this particle-free air. This signal is centred at zero by definition, with the observed fluctuations determining species-dependent detection limits. Each data point in Fig. 4 was obtained from 20 s of averaging, with the background signal recorded every 360 s (visible as gaps in the data stream). The higher interferences of water vapour and other atmospheric gases with the ammonium and organic signals are evident, yielding variability of \(\pm 0.2 \mu g m^{-3}\), while nitrate, sulphate, and chloride vary only \(\pm 0.025 \mu g m^{-3}\).

The table in Fig. 4b compares published 3-\(\sigma\) detection limits of each species in ng m\(^{-3}\) for the Q-ACSM (Ng et al., 2011; Sun et al., 2012) and ToF-AMS (DeCarlo et al., 2006) instruments, scaled by Eq. (4), with those for the ToF-ACSM equipped with the SGE detector. At 1 min time resolution they are 182 ng m\(^{-3}\) for ammonium, 198 ng m\(^{-3}\) for organics, 18 ng m\(^{-3}\) for sulphate, 21 ng m\(^{-3}\) for nitrate, and 11 ng m\(^{-3}\) for chloride. In addition we report the detection limits for a Q-ACSM measured simultaneously at the Jungfraujoch (for more information we refer to Sect. 4.1) to those reported for the ToF-ACSM. In this context it is important to note that the detection limits are not absolute but will vary as a function of the background mass spectral signal (Drewnick et al., 2009). Aim of future work is to further reduce the impact of the airbeam on the recorded signals. First tests have demonstrated that an order of magnitude reduction in the air-to-aerosol signal ratio compared to the current configuration presented in this manuscript is feasible while keeping the total aerosol throughput high enough. This corresponds to improvements of 3 times in organic species sensitivity.

An upgrade from the currently used SGE detector to the less-robust and shorter-lived MCPs will decrease the shown detection limits of all species by another factor of about 4.

With the two modifications described above, the ToF-ACSM would advance into the domain of the C-ToF-AMS whose detection limits are currently still lower by factors between 10 and 20, except for the chloride whose detection limit with 11 ng m\(^{-3}\) already lies at a similarly low level like in the C-ToF-AMS (4 ng m\(^{-3}\)) and in the V-mode HR-ToF-AMS (12 ng m\(^{-3}\)). The V-mode HR-ToF-AMS has a better mass resolution but also slightly higher detection limits than...
Fig. 4. (a) Signals of filtered air (in µg m⁻³) recorded with an averaging time of 20 s. During the gaps in the time series the background signal was monitored. Green: organics, red: sulphate, blue: nitrate, orange: ammonium, pink: chloride. (b) Overview over the 1 min detection limits in ng m⁻³ (3-σ) of the ToF-ACSM equipped with the SGE detector (red box), Q-ACSM, HR-ToF-AMS in V-mode and C-ToF-AMS.

The lower detection limits of the ToF-ACSM allow for the operation at higher time resolutions (multiple data points per minute) while achieving detection limits needed for ambient sampling. This makes it well suited for situations where fast changes in concentration like short-term plumes are expected. The ToF-ACSM also provides significant advantages in measurement locations with slower temporal variability but lower concentrations due to its lower detection limits. For example, the ToF-ACSM detection limit for organics at a 15 min time resolution is 51.1 ng m⁻³. For such a low concentration to fall above the Q-ACSM detection limit, approximately 9 h of integration would be required (based on the Jungfraujoch detection limits scaled by Eq. 4).

4 First data set and intercomparisons

The initial deployment of the ToF-ACSM took place at the Sphinx Observatory of the High Altitude Research Station Jungfraujoch. The (ongoing) measurements proved the instrument’s stability and suitability for unattended long-term monitoring operation. To date it has produced more than ten months of quantitative mass spectra of the alpine ambient aerosol. Here we discuss ToF-ACSM performance during this initial deployment and intercomparisons with co-located instrumentation.

4.1 General information

The Sphinx Observatory of the High Altitude Research Station Jungfraujoch is located in the high Alps of the Bernese Oberland (Switzerland) on top of an exposed rock formation (3580 m a.s.l.) in the ridge between the mountains Jungfrau and Mönch (coordinates: 07°59′02″ E, 46°32′53″ N). It is accessible by cog railroad year-round and connected to the Internet, which facilitates the unattended operation of instruments by enabling remote access.

While the temperature inside the laboratory is kept more or less constant above 20 °C and the inlet is constantly heated to 25 °C, the monthly average outside temperature on the Jungfraujoch stays below the freezing point of water all year (between −1.2 °C in July and August and −14.2 °C in February). The temperature difference between inside and outside and the generally low relative humidity at that altitude guarantee dry aerosol without the need for additional drying equipment. Because of the low atmospheric pressure (on average around 650 mbar), a 130 µm critical orifice was used in place of the standard 100 µm orifice to retain the normal sample mass flow rate. To minimise losses in the 5 mm copper tubing of the ~2 m inlet line, an additional flow of about 50 cm³ s⁻¹ (sample flow ~2.4 cm³ s⁻¹) is used.

The aerosol concentrations at the Jungfraujoch are low (average PM₁₀ concentration in 2012: ~3 µg m⁻³; BAFU, 2012), approximately 25% of which is mineral dust (Collaud Coen et al., 2004) originating to a considerable part
from occasional Saharan dust events. These refractory particles are not detectable with the ACSM. These low concentrations occur because the station lies within the clean continental free troposphere most of the year, especially in winter, while in summer aerosol generated at lower altitudes reaches the Jungfraujoch due to vertical exchange processes (Lugauer et al., 1998; Henne et al., 2004). The Jungfraujoch site is a famous tourist destination and the Sphinx Observatory can also be visited by tourists, leading to occasional local emission plumes from, e.g., helicopters, snow crawlers, restaurants or cigarette smoke.

Local time on the Jungfraujoch is Central European Time (UTC + 01:00), using daylight saving time in summer (UTC + 02:00). All data reported in this manuscript is given in UTC.

4.2 Intercomparisons

The High Altitude Research Station Jungfraujoch is integrated into several monitoring networks, including the Swiss national air pollution monitoring network NABEL (Nationale Beobachtungsnetz für Luftfremdstoffe), the GAW (Global Atmosphere Watch) programme of the WMO (World Meteorological Organization) and the European ACTRIS (Aerosols, Clouds, and Trace gases Research InfraStructure Network) network. As such, a large number of colocated long-term measurements of both gas- and aerosol-species are conducted. For example, continuous long-term measurements of the aerosol scattering coefficient (with a nephelometer) and the particle number size distribution (with a scanning mobility particle sizer, SMPS) are performed within the framework of the GAW program, and a Q-ACSM was operated at the JFJ as part of the ACTRIS monitoring project during the second half of 2012. In addition, during the intensive INUIT-JFJ/CLACE (Ice Nuclei Research Unit/CLoud and Aerosol Characterization Experiment) campaign of February 2013, additional instruments were deployed at the Jungfraujoch site, including a C-ToF-AMS. Data from these were used to intercompare with those recorded by the ToF-ACSM.

4.2.1 Q-ACSM

The Q-ACSM was operated for five months alongside the ToF-ACSM at the Jungfraujoch site, utilising a shared inlet line. In Fig. 5a, the mass concentration of the organic fraction measured by the two instruments over the course of the 10 August 2012 is shown. This day provides the opportunity to study the output mass spectra at three different interesting situations circled in the figure. These situations are (1) concentrations well above the detection limit of the Q-ACSM between 14:00 and 16:00 (yellow, organic mass concentration $y_{\text{org}} \approx 1.90 \mu g \text{m}^{-3}$), (2) concentrations close to the Q-ACSM detection limit between 04:00 and 06:00 (green, $y_{\text{org}} \approx 0.35 \mu g \text{m}^{-3}$), and (3) during one of the peaks at 10:00 (blue).

The Q-ACSM (black line) operated with a time resolution of 30 min and the ToF-ACSM (red line) with a 10 min time resolution. The two time traces follow the same trend and show good agreement in terms of absolute concentration but the ToF-ACSM observes several short-term signal peaks. A closer look at one of the peaks (3, Fig. 5b) in the ToF-ACSM at 20 s time resolution demonstrates that this plume actually consists of two plumes, each of less than 3 min duration. There are two reasons why the Q-ACSM does not measure these plumes. First, the time resolution of the Q-ACSM measurements (30 min) decreases ability of the instrument to resolve rapidly changing concentrations. More importantly, a fundamental difference exists between Q-ACSM and ToF-ACSM mass spectral acquisition, in that the ToF-ACSM acquires the entire mass spectrum simultaneously (i.e. with each extraction, see Fig. 1), while the Q-ACSM sequentially scans $m/Q$, with each scan cycle lasting several minutes. Thus, if the Q-ACSM is not scanning the relevant part of the mass spectrum during the plume, such a plume may go undetected or yield only a partial mass spectrum, biasing the measurement. Such a bias is evident in Fig. 5a in that averaging the ToF-ACSM data to the 30 min timescale of the Q-ACSM
does not cause the instruments to agree, with the aerosol mass here significantly underestimated by the Q-ACSM. The veracity of the short duration peaks measured by the ToF-ACSM was verified by comparison with nephelometer data collected at 5 min time resolution (see Sect. 4.2.3 and Fig. 8).

Figure 6 shows Q-ACSM and ToF-ACSM mass spectra averaged over the periods identified in Fig. 5a, arranged as follows. The top panel shows the raw difference spectrum (calculated from the difference between the unfiltered and filtered raw spectrum) for the Q-ACSM during period 1, while the second panel shows the organic fraction of this spectrum integrated to integer \( m/Q \) (“organic stick spectrum”). Panels 3 and 4 show the corresponding raw and organic stick spectra for the ToF-ACSM. The same plots are displayed for period 2 in panels 5 through 8, while panel 9 gives the organic stick spectrum for period 3. To make the spectral patterns more visible, only the region between \( m/Q = 40 \) Th and 100 Th is shown and in some plots the \( y \) axis is interrupted to show the high signals at \( m/Q = 43 \) Th and \( m/Q = 44 \) Th. Coefficients of determination \( (R^2) \) given in the following are calculated only for the part of the organic stick spectra between \( m/Q = 45 \) Th and 100 Th; otherwise the correlation is dominated by the high signals at, e.g. \( m/Q = 28 \) Th or \( m/Q = 44 \) Th.

A comparison of both total raw difference spectrum and stick organic spectrum between Q-ACSM and ToF-ACSM for the case with the higher concentration (1) shows reasonable agreement \( (R^2 = 0.62) \) except at the mass to charge ratios of naphthalene fragments \( (m/Q = 61–64 \) Th, \( m/Q = 74–78 \) Th, \( m/Q = 86–89 \) Th and \( m/Q = 98–102 \) Th). This is expected because the Q-ACSM (for calibration reasons) has a source of naphthalene (\( C_{10}H_8 \)) incorporated inside the vacuum chamber (Ng et al., 2011), constantly releasing molecules and therewith causing a larger background signal at the corresponding \( m/Q \) ratios. Additional differences in the spectra originate from two short-lived plumes observed during the averaging period (cf. Fig. 5a), not being captured by the Q-ACSM due to the scanning of the quadrupole and lower temporal resolution. The correlation between Q-ACSM and ToF-ACSM becomes much worse when the low concentration case 2 is compared. While the ToF-ACSM spectrum of case 2 remains similar to the ToF-ACSM spectrum of case 1 \( (R^2 = 0.81) \), the Q-ACSM spectrum of case 2 now looks very different and even has some negative values at \( m/Q \)’s influenced by naphthalene fragments. A \( R^2 \) of 0.19 between Q- and ToF-ACSM at case 2 confirms this observation. Looking at the raw difference spectra, one can easily recognise that while the ToF-ACSM spectrum still exhibits nicely separated, Gaussian-shaped signals, the Q-ACSM raw difference spectrum is noisy. The peaks are not as easily separable as in the raw difference spectrum of the Q-ACSM in case 1. In summary these observations confirm that when sampling from the same inlet, the mass spectra of both instruments show a good agreement as long as the concentrations are well above the detection limit of the Q-ACSM. Approaching the detection limit (\( DL_{\text{org,30min}} = 0.136 \mu g \text{m}^{-3} \)), the quality of the Q-ACSM’s mass spectrum deteriorates. The quality of the mass spectrum is crucial for the application of statistical source apportionment methods like positive matrix factorisation (PMF; Paatero, 1997; Paatero and Tapper, 1994) and the multilinear engine (ME-2; Paatero, 1999).

The organic stick spectrum of case 3 shown at the bottom of Fig. 6 looks significantly different to the other two rather similar ToF-ACSM spectra in cases 1 and 2. The pronounced signals at the mass-to-charge ratios 41 Th, 55 Th, 57 Th and 69 Th point towards a significant fraction of hydrocarbon-like organics (HOA) in the aerosol. A comparison to an ambient mass spectrum of HOA from a campaign in Paris (Crippa et al., 2013) yields a good correlation with an \( R^2 \) of 0.78 for case 3, while the ToF-ACSM spectra in cases 1 and 2 do not correlate with the HOA spectrum \( (R^2_1 = 0.42 \text{ and } R^2_2 = 0.22) \). This result illustrates the utility of highly time resolved measurements even for monitoring applications, as here the local activity of machinery can be identified and distinguished from the rest of the data set.

The correlation in terms of absolute concentrations measured with the ToF- and Q-ACSM is illustrated in Fig. 7. On the top, the time series of organics, nitrate, sulphate, ammonium, and chloride measured with the ToF-ACSM during the first six months of the deployment are shown together with the corresponding inlet pressures and airbeam signal. These two parameters are used to account for changes in flow or detector gain as described in Sect. 3.1. It is noted that much of the variation in the airbeam signal observed in this time series arises from purposeful changes in settings for the optimisation of signal. The gaps in the time series have different reasons: planned interruptions to install hardware upgrades, software development, extended calibration and testing, or unplanned replacement of failed prototype power modules. The prototype stage has now concluded and the system has been running continuously for several months without interruption.

Figure 7c shows a two-week period (3 to 15 August) selected for further analysis of the ToF-ACSM/Q-ACSM intercomparison. The organic mass concentrations measured by the Q-ACSM (black line) and by the ToF-ACSM (red line, averaged to 30 min time resolution) are plotted on the same axis. Both instruments report approximately the same concentrations and exhibit good temporal correlation. The gap in this case was caused by a temporary interruption of the ioniser current due to a resolved hardware issue.

At the bottom left of Fig. 7, scatter plots of the mass concentrations of the four main species (chloride is not plotted because measured concentrations always were below detection limit at the Jungfraujoch) are shown. The data recorded with the ToF-ACSM are drawn on the \( y \) axis and the data from the Q-ACSM on the \( x \) axis. Slope and coefficient of determination retrieved with a least orthogonal distance fit to the scatter data for each species are given in the plots. There is a very good correlation for organics \( (R^2 = 0.95, \text{...} \),
Fig. 6. Average spectra of the regions highlighted in Fig. 5. The spectra are grouped into four pairs of raw difference spectrum (calculated from the difference between the unfiltered and filtered raw spectrum) and the corresponding integrated UMR stick spectrum. The raw difference spectra show the total signal while the UMR stick spectra only show the organic fraction. Spectra recorded with the Q-ACSM are shown in blue and ToF-ACSM spectra in red. The first two pairs are from the afternoon period with the higher concentrations (1), the following two pairs are from the morning period with the lower concentrations (2) and the last (9th) spectrum is from the peak, which was only seen with the ToF-ACSM (3). Coefficients of correlation were calculated for the spectral region between \( m/Q = 45 \text{ Th} \) and 100 Th. Between ToF-ACSM spectrum and Q-ACSM spectrum they are \( R^2 = 0.62 \) for case 1 and \( R^2 = 0.19 \) for case 2. The \( R^2 \) given in the last plot shows the correlation to a reference HOA spectrum from (Crippa et al., 2013).

slope = 1.06), nitrate (\( R^2 = 0.94, \text{ slope} = 0.95 \)) and sulphate (\( R^2 = 0.87, \text{ slope} = 1.16 \)) between ToF- and Q-ACSM. The largest difference is found for ammonium, which still has a good correlation (\( R^2 = 0.74, \text{ slope} = 1.30 \)) but compared to the other species the scatter is larger and the ToF-ACSM measures higher values. This may be caused by additional noise in the scatter plot due to the low ammonium concentrations (maximum 0.5 µg m\(^{-3}\)) typical of high altitude sites (Beig and Brasseur, 2000) and/or to the sensitivity of ammonium RIE to instrument tuning, where small errors in the
Fig. 7. Long-term time series and correlation of the ToF-ACSM to a Q-ACSM and a C-ToF-AMS. The two time series on the top show inlet pressure and airbeam variation during the ~6 months measurement period. These two parameters are used to correct for changes in the inlet flow or detector gain. Below the time series of the organics (green), \(\text{SO}_4\) (red), \(\text{NO}_3\) (blue), \(\text{NH}_4\) (orange) and chloride (pink) measured on the Jungfraujoch with a time resolution of 10 min are depicted. The periods 3–15 August 2012 and 9–18 February were enlarged to illustrate the correlation of the ToF-ACSM to Q-ACSM (left) and C-ToF-AMS (right). In each case the time trace of the organics measured with the two instruments (30 min time resolution) are shown together with the scatter plots of the other species. Coefficient of determination and slope in the comparison of the two ACSM are as follows: \(R^2 = 0.95\), slope = 1.056 (organics), \(R^2 = 0.87\), slope = 1.159 (\(\text{SO}_4\)), \(R^2 = 0.94\), slope = 0.953, (\(\text{NH}_4\)) \(R^2 = 0.74\), slope = 1.298. In the comparison to the C-ToF-AMS they are as follows: \(R^2 = 0.81\), slope = 1.456 (organics), \(R^2 = 0.84\), slope = 1.220 (\(\text{SO}_4\)), \(R^2 = 0.95\), slope = 1.211 (\(\text{NO}_3\)), \(R^2 = 0.54\), slope = 1.329 (\(\text{NH}_4\)). Note the difference in the scale (dynamic range) between the comparison to the Q-ACSM in summer and the comparison to the C-ToF-AMS in winter.

Calibration may propagate to biases in the ambient data. In the shown case the calibrations yielded \(\text{RI}_{\text{NH}_4} = 3.4\) in the ToF-ACSM and \(\text{RI}_{\text{NH}_4} = 5.4\) in the Q-ACSM.

### 4.2.2 C-ToF-AMS

ToF-ACSM and C-ToF-AMS were operated in parallel and connected to the same total inlet for slightly more than one week (9–18 February) during the INUIT-JFJ/CLACE2013 campaign at the Jungfraujoch site. However, the sampling line for the C-ToF-AMS was significantly longer than the one for the ToF-ACSM. Figure 7d shows the time series of sulphate (the dominant species at Jungfraujoch in winter).

A good correlation in both qualitative \((R^2 = 0.84)\) and quantitative (slope = 1.220) terms was observed.

On the bottom right of Fig. 7, the correlation plots for the main species from this comparison are shown. Note that the (winter) concentrations for this intercomparison are much lower than the (summer) data investigated in the comparison with the Q-ACSM. Nevertheless, both instruments show a good correlation of the organics \((R^2 = 0.81\), slope = 1.456\), nitrate \((R^2 = 0.95\), slope = 1.211\) and the aforementioned sulphate. The ammonium concentrations are below 0.05 \(\mu\text{g m}^{-3}\) most of the time, again leading to more noise in the scatter plot \((R^2 = 0.54\), slope = 1.329\). Chloride is omitted due to concentrations below the detection limit during the whole period.
4.2.3 Scanning mobility particle sizer and nephelometer

Nephelometer and SMPS examine different particle characteristics than those of the ToF-ACSM. The nephelometer measures the total and hemispheric scattering intensity of the aerosol at a given wavelength (Anderson and Ogren, 1998), while the SMPS measures the particle number size distribution via the size dependent mobility of charged particles in an electric field (Wang and Flagan, 1990). Nephelometer and aethalometer measurements often complement AMS or ACSM measurements for additional information on the refractory black carbon component of the aerosol and to provide indications on the presence of significant coarse particle concentrations. SMPS data are frequently used to estimate the collection efficiencies (CE) of ACSM or AMS. On these grounds it is important to investigate the correlation of the ToF-ACSM to these two instruments.

Typically, SMPS data are recorded bin-wise in $dN/d\log D_p$ bin units. Here, $dN$ is the particle concentration and $D_p$ the midpoint mobility diameter of the particles in one logarithmic size bin. At the Jungfraujoch, the scanning range was 20–650 nm. The specific set-up of the instrument is described by Jurányi et al. (2011). After a conversion of the number size distribution into total particle volume, the aerosol total mass concentration can be estimated by applying the correct density $\rho_p$ of the particles. This $\rho_p$ changes with time and can be estimated from the chemical composition measured by the ToF-ACSM (Salcedo et al., 2006; DeCarlo et al., 2004) under the condition that the refractory fraction of the aerosol (especially black carbon, BC) is either known or negligibly low, as is the case at Jungfraujoch. Based on the method specific uncertainties in the number concentration and diameter measurements, a propagated uncertainty of 20–30% is estimated for the calculated mass concentrations. Aside from the occasional helicopter flyby or temporary construction work, there are no local sources of BC and the refractory mineral dust particles blown over the Jungfraujoch during Saharan dust events are too large to be detected by either ToF-ACSM or SMPS. SMPS volume was converted to mass using an estimated density based on the densities of sulphuric acid ($\rho_{SO_4^{2-}} = 1.84 \, \text{g cm}^{-3}$) and organics ($\rho_{org, aged} = 1.27 \, \text{g cm}^{-3}$; Cross et al., 2007) dependent on their fractional contributions to the total non-refractory PM$_1$ mass, which together is above 80% over the whole year (cf. Fig. 7). The application of the density of sulphuric acid in these calculations (instead of the relatively similar $\rho_{(NH_4)\_2SO_4} = 1.77 \, \text{g cm}^{-3}$ or $\rho_{NH_4HSO_4} = 1.79 \, \text{g cm}^{-3}$) provides the upper limit of the SMPS mass scale. This assumption is additionally justified by the low NH$_4$ concentrations at the JFJ site, especially in winter, and suggests not completely neutralised aerosol during most of the year, as was reported by Cozic et al. (2008) and Lanz et al. (2010). The scatter plot depicted in Fig. 8a shows a good correlation ($R^2 = 0.80$) between ToF-ACSM and SMPS during the whole > 6 months measurement period. The greyed-out data points (one hour averages) were ignored in the displayed linear orthogonal fit. The ToF-ACSM vs. SMPS slope is 1.03, suggesting a CE value close to unity, which is consistent with previous AMS measurements at Jungfraujoch (Lanz et al., 2010; Jurányi et al., 2010).
Figure 8b shows the time series of the ToF-ACSM, the Q-ACSM and a nephelometer (total aerosol scattering coefficient of the green wavelength $\lambda = 550$ nm) on the same day (10 August 2012) as shown in Fig. 5 for ToF- and Q-ACSM. The nephelometer data were corrected for truncation error and non-ideality of the lamp (Anderson and Ogden correction; Bond et al., 2009), it was operated at 5 min time resolution, making it capable of detecting events of short duration. The peaks which were only seen by the ToF-ACSM but not the Q-ACSM are also visible with a nephelometer but without chemically resolving the contributing species.

The nephelometer has no PM$_1$ size cut, i.e. it also detects larger particles although the scattering signal at the Jungfraujoch is usually dominated by the submicron aerosol. This implies that during a Saharan dust event or during other pollution events that produce large particles (e.g. rock blasting or maintenance work in the Jungfraubahn train tunnels), the nephelometer measures higher signals than the ToF-ACSM. Although construction work is usually announced in advance, the days it is taking place can also be discerned with the help of an aethalometer (high absorption by BC from combustion). Saharan dust particles have a higher absorptivity and can be identified by looking at the slope of the Angström exponent, a convolution of nephelometer and aethalometer intensities. Negative slopes indicate Saharan dust events (Collaud Coen et al., 2004). Figure 8c shows the scatter plot between the $\lambda = 550$ nm scattering signal of the nephelometer in Mm$^{-1}$ and the total mass concentration measured with the ToF-ACSM in $\mu$g m$^{-3}$ after the exclusion of all construction work periods and Saharan dust events. The correlation between the two instruments is good ($R^2 = 0.87$) and the slope of the linear regression $(3.66 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1})$ is similar to previously reported AMS/nephelometer comparisons $(3.79 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1};$ DeCarlo et al., 2008). This slope is analogous to the mass scattering efficiency (MSE) which was reported by Shinozuka et al. (2007) to lie around $3.6 \pm 1.3$ m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ under dry conditions.

4.3 High-resolution peak fitting with low-res mass analyser

In addition to the information provided by applying the standard AMS fragmentation patterns to the integrated unit-mass data, the ETOF mass analyser has sufficient resolution $(dM = d(m/Q))$ for the application of high-resolution peak fitting to determine the magnitude of individual ion signals present in the mass spectrum. It is noted that in this context the term high-resolution describes the possibility to achieve a separation of isobaric ion peaks in the mass spectrum and not the resolving power of the ETOF mass analyser itself.

The AMS electron-impact ionisation technique fragments molecules in a consistent manner and a constrained fitting technique, where the ions of interest are determined a priori and whose $m/Q$, peak shape and width are held constant in the fitting process – a technique that has been widely applied in the AMS literature (DeCarlo et al., 2006; Aiken et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2011). In contrast to the HR-ToF-AMS, whose spectra are recorded with a mass resolving power of between 2000–4000, the ToF-ACSM records at only $M/\Delta M \approx 600$. This precludes a full high-resolution analysis as may be performed on AMS instruments equipped with high-resolution ToF spectrometers; in particular no information on nitrogen- or sulphur-containing organic compounds can be retrieved, owing to their close proximity to more dominant ions in the mass spectrum. Additionally, the ammonium ions are not sufficiently well separated from the air, water and organic background peaks to confidently calculate the ammonium time series from the NH$^+$, NH$_2^+$ and NH$_3^+$ ions. However, the magnitudes of many other ions, in particular the C$_6$H$_7$ and C$_5$H$_8$O$_2$ families, may be successfully retrieved. This retrieval assumes that nitrogen and sulphur-containing organic fragments are insignificant compared to the designated families. This is however predominantly the case (Farmer et al., 2010). Inorganic nitrate and sulphate fragments can be retrieved well with this method.

To demonstrate this, we consider Fig. 9, which shows data taken at the Jungfraujoch during a period in which overnight construction work utilising diesel motors was taking place in the railway tunnel. These local emissions drifted upward over the period of a few hours and were eventually measured by the ToF-ACSM in the morning hours. Several spikes are apparent in the organic time series and the signal at $m/Q = 57$ Th, a well-used marker for the presence of saturated hydrocarbons (Alfarra et al., 2004), is elevated during these times. In contrast, adjacent time periods exhibit organics representative of the oxygenated regional background, with low to negligible $m/Q = 57$ Th signal and elevated $m/Q = 44$ Th. The change in the organic profile is, however, also visible when considering two ions present at $m/Q = 43$ Th, namely the oxygenated organic C$_3$H$_7$O$^+$ and the saturated C$_5$H$_3$. These have been previously used to demonstrate HR fitting on the AMS (DeCarlo et al., 2006; Müller et al., 2011). It is clear in Fig. 9 that the fitting procedure is able to successfully ascertain the elevation of the C$_3$H$_7^+$ aerosol signal, i.e. the difference between the unfilted and filtered measurements, during the period of local emissions. The contrast to the period without local influence is clear, in which the magnitudes of the C$_3$H$_7^+$ fits for the unfilted and filtered data are equal.

Cubison and Jimenez (2013) used simulations of constrained peak fitting of this nature to predict the degree of confidence to which adjacent and overlapping peak fits may be applied. From their conclusions, the uncertainty in the fitted amplitude of the two ions shown in Fig. 9 is less than 5% for the C$_2$H$_3$O$^+$ and less than 25% for the C$_3$H$_7^+$. For the fits shown in Fig. 9 for the period of local emissions, the sensitivity of the fitted amplitudes was probed by perturbing the mass calibration by $\pm 20$ ppm, a realistic expected uncertainty for ToF-ACSM mass spectra. This yielded a change in the fitted
C$_3$H$_7^+$ amplitude of ±8%, in agreement with the predictions of Cubison and Jimenez (2013). By carefully considering other ions of interest, their $m/Q$ separations and expected amplitude ratios, significant further information may be confidently retrieved from ToF-ACSM mass spectra. An example of interest is the nitrate and sulphate ions. These can be confidently separated from the surrounding organic peaks, thus helping to determine the true magnitude of the inorganic signals during periods with high organic loading.

5 Conclusions

We present the ToF-ACSM, a new aerosol mass spectrometer optimised for long-term, stable, semi-autonomous environmental monitoring applications. Based on the Aerodyne aerosol mass spectrometer (AMS), it is built around the same sampling technology as the Q-ACSM but with a different vacuum system. It is equipped with a Tofwerk economy time-of-flight (ETOF) mass analyser. The ToF-ACSM continuously samples ambient particles, which are focused onto a resistively heated surface and flash vaporise, after which the resulting vapour is ionised by electron impact and detected by time-of-flight mass spectrometry. With a mass resolving power of $\frac{M}{\Delta M} = 600$, the ToF provides a better resolution on the $m/Q$ axis and improves the detection limits compared to the Q-ACSM by species-dependent factors of 3 to 8. Improvement by an additional factor of ~8 is expected to result from forthcoming simple upgrades, providing detection limits in the range of the C-ToF-AMS. The ToF-ACSM is specifically designed for unattended long-term monitoring applications. Instrument operation is therefore largely automated, with size, cost, and the need for on-site maintenance minimised.

To demonstrate the suitability of the ToF-ACSM for long-term unattended monitoring applications, a prototype instrument has been deployed for more than 10 months at the remote alpine site Jungfraujoch. Low detection limits are important there as ambient PM$_1$ concentrations (µg m$^{-3}$) usually are in the single digits and below. During this deployment the ToF-ACSM was compared to several co-located instruments. A good agreement with correlation coefficients ($R^2$) above 0.8 to Q-ACSM and C-ToF-AMS was found for the organics, sulphate and nitrate fractions. The correlation of the ammonium fraction was slightly worse due to very low ammonium signals during the intercomparison periods, i.e. bad signal-to-noise ratio ($R^2$(Q-ACSM) = 0.74, $R^2$(C-ToF-AMS) = 0.54). Quantitative agreement is shown by slopes close to unity for all species (C-ToF-AMS: 1.21–1.46; Q-ACSM: 0.95–1.30). Comparison with a scanning mobility particle sizer (SMPS) and nephelometer yield similarly good agreements. We demonstrated the possibility to apply mass defect resolved peak fitting (e.g. separation of C$_3$H$_7^+$ and C$_2$H$_3$O$^+$, both occurring at $m/Q = 43$ Th) to determine the magnitude of individual ion signals present in the mass spectrum.
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