Aircraft based four-channel thermal dissociation laser induced fluorescence instrument for simultaneous measurements of NO₂, total peroxy nitrate, total alkyl nitrates, and HNO₃
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Abstract. A four-channel thermal dissociation laser induced fluorescence (TD-LIF) instrument has been developed for simultaneous measurements of nitrogen dioxide (NO₂), total peroxy nitrate (∑PNs), total alkyl nitrates (∑ANs) and nitric acid (HNO₃). NO₂ is measured directly by LIF at 532 nm, whereas organic nitrates and nitric acid are thermally dissociated at distinct temperatures in the inlet to form NO₂, which is then measured by LIF. The concentrations of each dissociated species are derived by the differences in measured NO₂ relative to the reference colder inlet channel. The TD-LIF was adapted to fly on board the UK Facility for Airborne Atmospheric Measurements (FAAM) BAe 146-301 atmospheric research aircraft in summer 2010, and to date has successfully flown in five field campaigns. This paper reports novel improvements in the TD-LIF instrumentations, including (1) the use of a single wavelength laser, which makes the system compact and relatively cheap; (2) the use of a single beam laser that allows easy alignment and optical stability against the vibrational aircraft environment; and (3) the optical assembly of four detection cells that allow simultaneous and fast (time resolution up to 0.1 s) measurements of NO₂, ∑PNs, ∑ANs and HNO₃. Laboratory-generated mixtures of PNs, ANs and HNO₃ in zero air are converted into NO₂ and used to fix the dissociation temperatures of each heated inlet to test the selectivity of the instrument and potential interferences due to recombination reactions of the dissociated products. The effectiveness of the TD-LIF was demonstrated during the RONOCO aircraft campaign (summer 2010). A chemiluminescence system that was measuring NO₂ and a broadband cavity enhanced absorption spectrometer (BBCEAS) that was measuring one of the PNs (N₂O₅) were installed on the same aircraft during the campaign. The in-flight intercomparison of the new TD-LIF with the chemiluminescence system for NO₂ measurements and the intercomparison between ∑PNs measured by the TD-LIF and N₂O₅ by the BBCEAS are used to assess the performance of the TD-LIF.

1 Introduction

Atmospheric peroxy nitrates (PNs or RO2NO2), alkyl nitrates (ANs or RONO2) and nitric acid (HNO₃) are high oxides of nitrogen formed as intermediate or final products in the oxidation chains of volatile organic compounds (VOC) that, in the presence of nitrogen oxides (NOx = NO + NO₂), may produce ozone (O₃). The main branch of the reaction between RO₂ and NO produce NO₂, which upon photolysis generates O₃, while a minor branch makes ANs; therefore the alkyl nitrates concentrations are generally considered as a
good indication of ozone production. PNs are generated from the reaction of RO$_2$ with NO$_2$, and their lifetime is strongly controlled by atmospheric temperature. Consequently, because $\sum$PNs are stable at low temperature and they have a lifetime of several months in the colder regions of the atmosphere (mid to upper troposphere or high latitudes), they are reservoirs of NO$_3$ and they can undertake long-range transport. This is one of the mechanisms for transporting NO$_3$ from emission sites to remote places across long distance.

HNO$_3$ is one of the effective sinks for NO$_3$ and for the main atmospheric oxidant hydroxyl radical (OH + NO$_2$ HNO$_3$), owing to its high stability in the atmosphere. HNO$_3$ is very water soluble, whereas organic nitrates (PNs and ANs) are weakly water soluble. Despite these different characteristics, PNs, ANs and HNO$_3$ are important components of the total reactive nitrogen (NO$_x$ = NO + NO$_2$ + PN + AN + HNO$_3$ + NO$_3$ + 2 × N$_2$O$_5$ + HONO + other nitrates) and they may act as a sink or a reservoir of NO$_x$. Due to their tight connection with the production of a pollutant and greenhouse compound like O$_3$, organic nitrates and HNO$_3$ have been studied in detail in recent years, but various aspects of their atmospheric chemistry are still not completely understood, for example the products of the OH-initiated degradation of organic nitrate. In fact it is still uncertain if the reaction of organic nitrates with OH leads to a release of NO$_3$, retention of the nitrate group, or additional NO$_3$ sequestration (Monks et al., 2009). Field campaigns and chamber experiments have shown that the oxidation of isoprene, the most emitted biogenic VOC, generates nitrates that can be the main fraction of alkyl nitrates, at least in sites dominated by natural emission of VOC (Chen et al., 1998; Beaver et al., 2012). Model simulations have shown that uncertainties in the amount of nitrates produced by isoprene oxidation can impact ozone production by about 10% in the southeastern United States (Xie et al., 2012). The natural release of organic nitrates is also an area of uncertainty, in fact there are regions where the ocean seems to be a source of ANs and others where it acts as a sink (Blake et al., 2003; Chuck et al., 2002).

Individual organic nitrates have been measured with high sensitivity in several ground-based and aircraft campaigns, using gas chromatography (GC), which has the advantage of giving the concentrations of individual organic nitrates, but requires calibration for each of them. The time resolution is also quite low (Hao et al., 1994; Flocke et al., 2005; Reeves et al., 2007). GC thermal dissociation of organic nitrates followed by chemiluminescence detection of NO$_2$ is a way to circumvent the need for calibration and to improve the time resolution of the GC up to 1 min (Hao et al., 1994; Marley et al., 2004). Thermal dissociation–chemical ionization mass spectrometry (TD-CIMS) technique has also been used to observe some organic nitrates like peroxacylnitrate nitrate (PAN), peroxymethyl nitrate (MPAN), the sum of N$_2$O$_5$ and NO$_3$, and HNO$_3$ at high time resolution (less than 0.3 s), fast enough to retrieve their flux using the eddy covariance technique (Slusher et al., 2004; Turnipseed et al., 2006).

In the last decade a new approach has been tested and used in several field campaigns; this takes advantage of the fact that all compounds of the form RO$_2$NO$_2$ have a similar thermal decomposition temperature into NO$_2$ and that this temperature is significantly different from the dissociation temperature of all the species of the form RONO$_2$ and both are distinct from that of HNO$_3$ (Day et al., 2002). Cohen’s group at the University of California, Berkeley (USA), has developed a system that heats atmospheric air at three separate temperatures to thermally dissociate total PNs (PNs), total ANs ($\sum$ANs) and HNO$_3$ into NO$_2$, whose concentration is measured by a laser induced fluorescence (LIF) system (Day et al., 2002). Recently it has been shown that, at a temperature sufficient to thermally dissociate $\sum$ANs into NO$_2$, also nitryl chloride (ClNO$_2$) can be dissociated into NO$_2$ (Osthoff et al., 2008; Thaler et al., 2011). This species represents another NOx reservoir in the troposphere, especially during nighttime when $\sum$ANs can also include ClNO$_2$. Although this method does not allow the quantification of individual organic nitrates, the division of the NO$_x$ into 3 groups has been used to assess the role of $\sum$ANs in the NO$_x$ budget. The sensitive measurements (ppt level) and high temporal resolution (< 1 s) allow the measurement of organic nitrates at remote sites and in the free troposphere, and enable their fluxes to be estimated using the eddy covariance technique (Farmer and Cohen, 2008; Perring et al., 2009). More recently, this method has been applied using a similar scheme to thermally dissociate organic nitrates followed by different techniques to detect NO$_2$ concentrations. A thermal dissociation cavity ring-down spectrometer (TD-CRDS) has been successfully tested in the lab and during a field campaign by Osthoff’s group at the University of Calgary (Alberta, Canada) with a similar detection limit and time resolution to Cohen’s TD-LIF (thermal dissociation laser induced fluorescence), with the potential advantage of not needing external calibration (Paul et al., 2009). The TD-LIF technique has been validated via intercomparison exercises with instruments using different detection techniques like CIMS (Beaver et al., 2012) or with instruments that measure single PANs species (Wooldridge et al., 2010).

In this paper a new TD-LIF system for measurements of NO$_2$, $\sum$PNs, $\sum$ANs and HNO$_3$ concentration on board an atmospheric research aircraft is described. The TD-LIF uses an inlet at ambient temperature to directly measure ambient NO$_2$, and heated inlets to measure the abundance of $\sum$PNs, $\sum$ANs and HNO$_3$. It is similar to that used by Cohen’s group (Day et al., 2002) but in this work a simpler laser with a fixed wavelength is used to make the system easier to align, stable under in-flight vibrations and to supply enough power to be used in a series of 4 distinct cells to simultaneously measure NO$_2$, $\sum$PNs, $\sum$ANs and HNO$_3$ with high time resolution. Results of laboratory tests to check the performance of the TD-LIF and to quantify possible interferences were also
presented. Since the TD-LIF is included in the suite of the instruments on board the Facility for Airborne Atmospheric Measurements (FAAM) BAe 146-301 research aircraft, and in one of the campaigns it was used concurrently with other systems, we are able to show in-flight measurements and comparisons with a couple of different instruments that were measuring NO$_2$ and $\sum$PNs.

2 The TD-LIF Instrument

The TD-LIF uses the laser induced fluorescence technique with a single-wavelength laser for direct detection of NO$_2$, which has previously been applied in ground-based systems (Matsumoto et al., 2001; Dari-Salisburgo et al., 2009). Organic nitrates and HNO$_3$ are thermally dissociated, allowing them to be detected as NO$_2$ (Day et al., 2002; Paul et al., 2009). The optical layout and the inlet assembly are shown schematically in Fig. 1.

2.1 Optical layout and LIF system

The light source is a pulsed YAG-laser (Spectra-Physics, model Navigator I) that emits light at 532 nm with a power of 3.8 W, a repetition rate of 15 kHz and 20 ns pulse-width. The laser beam is sent to the first cell (for ambient NO$_2$ detection) using high reflectivity mirrors (> 99 % at 532 nm and 45 degree). The laser light that emerges from the NO$_2$ cell is directed to the $\sum$PNs cell by another high reflectivity mirror; an identical optical scheme is used to steer the laser beam from the exit of the $\sum$PNs cell to the $\sum$ANs cell and finally to the HNO$_3$ cell. All the cells are identical with a cubic core of 8 cm length and two arms on the opposite faces of the cube to hold a sequence of baffles to reduce the laser scattering. The walls of the cell, arms and baffles are coated with a low fluorescent optical black paint (MH2200, IIT Research Institute). All the cells are fixed on an optical table fitted in the middle of the FAAM rack to fly on board the BAe 146-301 research aircraft using anti-vibration mounts (Fig. 2). The TD-LIF system, including the FAAM rack, weighs 224 kg and the power consumption is less than 1.5 kW. The laser head is attached below the optical table to be joined with the cells and to benefit from anti-vibration mounts. The air flow is ensured by a modified automobile supercharger (Lysholm 3300), used as a roots blower backed by a rotary pump (LEYBOLD D25B), is perpendicular to the laser beam and the fluorescence light emitted by excited NO$_2$ molecules is collected perpendicular to both using a photomultiplier (PMT) made by Hamamatsu (model 7421-50). To increase the photon collection efficiency, two lens (5 cm-diameter; 60 mm focal length and 10 mm focal length, respectively) are placed before the PMT and an aluminum coated concave mirror is mounted below the center of the cell to send fluorescent photons in the direction of the PMT (Dari-Salisburgo et al., 2009). Before the PMT a series of low fluorescence optical filters is used to separate fluorescent light from non-fluorescence photons that are collectively considered background: (1) two long pass filters (cut wavelengths 620 and 640 nm, 25 mm diameter, transmission more than 85 % above 640 nm) and (2) two filters to reject the laser Rayleigh scatter (a razon 532 nm and a notch 532 nm filter of 25 mm diameter, 10$^6$ attenuation at 532 nm and transmission about 95 % above 532 nm) (Dari-Salisburgo et al., 2009). To further reduce the background, the photon detection is temporarily activated after each laser pulse for a few µs and then deactivated until the end of the subsequent laser pulse (Dari-Salisburgo et al., 2009). The laser power is monitored with four photodiodes (UDT55) at the entrance of each cell.

2.2 Sampling and inlet system

Ambient air is sampled from a common rear-faced inlet (PFA tube of 120 cm, 6.4 mm OD and 3.8 mm ID) at a flow rate of $\sim$8.4 L min$^{-1}$. The first part of the common inlet is heated (Fig. 1) to minimize HNO$_3$ wall loss (Neuman et al., 1999; Day et al., 2002). The air flow is split into four channels and passes through U-shaped quartz tubes (60 cm length, 6 mm OD, 3.8 mm ID). The first quartz tube is kept at ambient temperature and the sampled air goes to the first cell for ambient NO$_2$ measurements, whereas the other three are heated at different temperatures to thermally dissociate $\sum$PNs, $\sum$ANs and HNO$_3$ into NO$_2$. Concentrations of the resultant NO$_2$ are measured in the last three distinct cells. Aerosol nitrates can be thermally dissociated like gas phase nitrates, therefore they can contribute in the measured total nitrates (Day et al., 2002).
The air is heated using a 132 W wire (Watlow) coiled around the first 20 cm of the quartz tubes. Each tube temperature is monitored with a K-type thermocouple used also for the feedback of the power controller (STOM 1, United Automation) of the wire heater. The thermocouples are fixed on the external surface of the quartz tubes in order not to perturb the sampled air; therefore their temperatures are different from the air temperatures inside the tubes and their settings are identified by sampling synthetic organic nitrates and HNO₃ and scanning the heater temperatures to find those that guarantee the complete dissociation of these species into NO₂. Figure 3 shows an example of the temperature scan while the TD-LIF was sampling synthetic PAN, ethyl nitrate and HNO₃. PAN was generated in the laboratory by acetone photolysis at 285 nm in the presence of O₂ and NO (Flocke et al., 2005); a dilute mixture of ethyl nitrate was generated using liquid ethyl nitrates (SelectLab Chemical, 5 % in ethanol), diluted and flushed with a small flow of zero air; and finally HNO₃ was generated by flowing zero air through a heated permeation tube (Kin-Tek) (McKinley and Merriman, 1996). The 3 distinct temperatures (150–250 °C), (350–450 °C) and above 550 °C when complete dissociation of $\Sigma$PNs, $\Sigma$ANs and HNO₃ takes place are evident in Fig. 3. These setting temperatures may need to be re-adjusted upon changing the assembly of the heater wire and of the thermocouple, and therefore are indicative and must be checked periodically, particularly when parts (mainly the heater wire or the thermocouple) are replaced. Laboratory tests carried out to check the selectivity of the TD-LIF and for possible interferences are described in a dedicated section below.

The flow rate and the residence time through the quartz tubes where the heater is mounted are 2.1 L min⁻¹ and 30 ms, respectively. Sample air at the exit of the quartz tube undergoes a pressure drop of 93 % from ambient pressure to about 50 torr, passing through a pinhole. This pressure drop reduces the residence time of the sample gas between the heater region and the detection cell minimizing the occurrence of recombination reactions. From the pinhole (after the quartz tube) and the detection cell, the sample air traverses a PFA tube of the same size as the inlet tube and about 100 cm long (residence time in this tube is 90 ms). This PFA tube delivers sample air to a stainless steel nozzle in the detection cell, where the pressure drops to about 4 torr. Considering that the residence time of the first part of the sampling tube (from the inlet to the heaters) is 400 ms, the total residence time from the inlet to the detection cell is about 490 ms.

2.3 Data acquisition

A PXI unit 1033 (National Instruments, NI) equipped with fast (16 bit) multifunction modules (NI PXI 6259 and NI PXI 6608) is used to control the gate of the PMTs, the acquisition of the PMT signals and their digitalization (Dari-Salisburgo et al., 2009). A C-RIO (NI model 9002) is mainly dedicated to the control of the inlet box. It includes a thermocouple module (NI 9211) and analog and digital modules (NI 9263, NI 9474) to control valves, pumps and all the other components of the TD-LIF. The software that controls the instrument and allows periodical calibrations and zeroing is written in LabVIEW (NI), held by a supervision software, written in Lockout (NI), that allows on-line historical data trends of all the variables acquired during the measurements.
2.4 Data retrieval and calibration

The TD-LIF measures NO$_2$ directly and by subtraction organic nitrates and HNO$_3$ can be calculated. All the four cells measure NO$_2$ concentrations with the following differences: the first, which samples air at ambient temperature, gives ambient NO$_2$ concentrations; the second that samples air heated to temperatures between 150 and 250 °C measures ambient NO$_2$ plus the NO$_2$ generated from the thermal dissociation of ΣPNs; the third cell, since sample air is heated in the inlet channel to temperatures between 350 and 450 °C, detects ambient NO$_2$ plus the NO$_2$ generated from the thermal dissociation of ΣPNs and ΣANs; and finally the last cell samples air heated to more than 550 °C and so measures ambient NO$_2$ plus the NO$_2$ generated from the thermal dissociation of ΣPNs, ΣANs and HNO$_3$. The heated cells dissociate all the gas phase nitrates, but also any aerosol phase nitrates (Day et al., 2002). The concentrations of ΣPNs are calculated by subtracting the NO$_2$ measured in the second cell from that of the first cell, ΣANs concentrations by subtracting the NO$_2$ measured in the third cell from that of the second cell, and HNO$_3$ concentrations are calculated by subtracting the NO$_2$ measured in the fourth cell from that of the third cell. Since organic nitrates and HNO$_3$ are calculated as the difference between the total NO$_2$ observed by each cell and that of the colder one, an intercomparison between cells was performed to check if there is a substantial difference in the behavior of each cell because the detection limit and accuracy of the measurements of the dissociated compounds depends on the detection limit and accuracy of the NO$_2$ measured by every cell. Figure 4 shows the intercomparison of the second, third and fourth cells with the first one when a known amount of NO$_2$ is sampled by the common manifold. As expected, the performance decreases from the second to the fourth cell since the laser energy decreases and its divergence increases, but all of them show a slope very close to unity and a bias that goes from 29 to 92 ppt. The detection limits are 9.8, 18.4, 28.1 and 49.7 pptv (1 s, S/N = 2) for detection of NO$_2$ by the NO$_2$ cell, ΣPNs cell, ΣANs cell and HNO$_3$ cell, respectively. The accuracy depends on the uncertainty of the standards and mass flow controller used for the calibration, which in this configuration is 10, 22, 34 and 46 % for NO$_2$ cell, ΣPNs cell, ΣANs cell and HNO$_3$ cell, respectively. As mentioned, ΣPNs, ΣANs and HNO$_3$ are calculated by subtracting the signal of two channels. Therefore the detection limit of each of them depends on the signal and uncertainties of these two channels (Day et al., 2002):

\[(B - A) \pm (\sigma_A^2 + \sigma_B^2)^{1/2},\]

where A and B are the signals from adjacent channels (NO$_2$ cell and ΣPNs cell to retrieve ΣPNs concentrations or ΣPNs cell and ΣANs cell to calculate ΣANs concentrations) and σ is the associated uncertainties in each channel. For example, for about 90 pptv of background NO$_2$ the detection limit of ΣPNs is 120 pptv (10 s, S/N = 2), for about 300 pptv of background ΣPNs it is 240 pptv (10 s, S/N = 2), and for ΣANs and for about 70 pptv of background ΣANs it is 420 pptv (10 s, S/N = 2) for HNO$_3$.

The TD-LIF is routinely calibrated against standard NO$_2$ using a cylinder of 5 to 8 ppm of NO$_2$ in zero air (SIAD SIT certificate, NIST traceable) diluted in zero air (Dari-Salisburgo et al., 2009). Since all the cells measure NO$_2$ they are calibrated only for NO$_2$ since in the heated inlets ΣPNs, ΣANs and HNO$_3$ achieve a complete dissociation into NO$_2$ (Hao et al., 1994; Zabel, 1995; Kirchner et al., 1999; Day et al., 2002).
were delivered to the common inlet and the temperature of the ΣANs inlet channel was kept at 200°C, which is the set temperature for the thermal dissociation of ΣPNs into NO₂. In this first part of the experiment the ΣANs cell measured exactly the same NO₂ concentration observed by the NO₂ cell even if it was sampling 0.5 ppbv of ethyl nitrate. This gives two insights: (1) At the temperature of ΣPNs thermal dissociation, ethyl nitrate, which is one of the ΣANs, is not dissociated into NO₂ and therefore the ΣPNs channel does not suffer interference due to the presence of ethyl nitrate, and since all the ANs compounds have the same dissociation temperature of ethyl nitrate it does not suffer interference due to the presence of ΣANs (Day et al., 2002). (2) The ΣANs channel, when not heated to the right temperature to dissociate ΣANs, measures only ambient NO₂ and at exactly the same concentrations detected by the cell that samples from the inlet at ambient temperature without bias or interferences due to the presence of one AN. After some time sampling with the ΣANs inlet channel kept at 200°C, this temperature was increased up to 450°C, and kept at this temperature for a while. As the temperature rose the NO₂ concentration detected by the ΣANs cell increased, and when the heater temperature reached 450°C the cell detected about 0.9 ppbv of NO₂ from the background NO₂ sent to the inlet plus that converted from 0.5 ppbv of ethyl nitrate. Later, after switching off the heater, the temperature of the ΣANs inlet channel dropped back to 200°C and again the ΣANs cell detected the same amount of NO₂ as measured by the first cell. At around day 343.52 (day of the year) the amount of ethyl nitrate was doubled and the temperature of the ΣANs inlet channel rose again to 450°C. At this temperature, as expected, the ΣANs cell measured the NO₂ sent plus twice the previous NO₂ measured due to the dissociation of twice the concentration of ethyl nitrate. Also in this situation, when the heater of the ΣANs inlet channel was switched off the ΣANs cell detected the same amount of NO₂ as measured by the NO₂ cell. Figure 6 (lower panel) shows another experiment to further check the selectivity of the TD-LIF: During this test a synthetic mixture of about 0.9 ppbv of PAN and 2.4 ppbv of ethyl nitrate was supplied to the common inlet of the TD-LIF. The temperature of the ΣPNs inlet channel was kept at 200°C for the whole experiment and its cell detected about 0.9 ppbv with a slight decline due to a small decrease in the concentration of PAN sent. At the beginning the temperature of the ΣANs inlet channel was kept at 450°C and, as expected, the ΣANs cell detected about 3.3 ppbv of NO₂ that was the result of the thermal dissociation of 0.9 ppbv of PAN and 2.4 ppbv of ethyl nitrate. Later, reducing the temperature of the ΣANs inlet channel from 450 to 200°C, the ΣANs cell detected exactly the same concentrations as the ΣPNs cell. This experiment was concluded with another rise and drop of the ΣANs inlet channel to confirm again that at 450°C the ΣANs cell measured PAN plus ethyl nitrates, whereas at 200°C only PAN. This is further proof that the inlet system set at two distinct temperatures is able to separate

3 Results

3.1 Laboratory tests: zeroing and selectivity

Figure 5 shows a time series of NO₂, PAN and ethyl nitrates detected by the first three cells of the TD-LIF. A mixture of about 4 ppbv of NO₂, 800 pptv of PAN and 3 ppbv of ethyl nitrates was generated in the laboratory and sent to the common inlet, with the ΣPNs inlet channel heated at 200°C, the ΣANs inlet channel at 450°C and that of NO₂ at ambient temperature. A high-purity zero air is periodically sent to the common inlet to check if all the cells, including those that sample heated air, reach the zero level. All the cells go to zero when zero air is sampled with no residue of the organic nitrates and NO₂ sent previously, and they reach zero with no lag-time and all simultaneously.

To determine the response of the TD-LIF as a function of the inlet temperature and its selectivity to separate organic nitrates, a series of experiments were carried out. Figure 6 (upper panel) shows a test where the common inlet sampled a mix of ethyl nitrates and NO₂ while the temperature of the ΣANs inlet channel was changing from 200 to 450°C and the NO₂ inlet channel was at ambient temperature. At the beginning about 0.45 ppbv of NO₂ and 0.5 ppbv of ethyl nitrate...
PAN from ethyl nitrates, and since all the PNs compounds have the same dissociation temperature as PAN and all the ANs the same as ethyl nitrate (Day et al., 2002), the TD-LIF can reliably detect $\Sigma$PNs and $\Sigma$ANs.

### 3.2 Laboratory tests: Conversion efficiency of ANs and PNs in presence of NO$_2$

One of the concerns in the detection of organic nitrates by thermal dissociation is that the potential recombination of dissociated products could be a possible interference. For example, when ANs are thermally dissociated they produce RO and NO$_2$; the latter is detected by the TD-LIF to calculate the amount of ANs, but the first can react with NO$_2$ and reproducing ANs. A series of lab tests were performed to check for possible interference due to the recombination of RO$_2$. RO$_2$ and NO$_2$ are produced during the dissociation of ANs. This experiment was carried out for different initial concentrations of ethyl nitrate.

![Fig. 7. Measurements of $\Sigma$ANs when the TD-LIF sampled a mixture of ethyl nitrate and different concentrations of NO$_2$ to check for possible interference of the recombinant dissociation of compounds produced during the dissociation of $\Sigma$ANs. This experiment was carried out for different initial concentrations of ethyl nitrate.](image1)

In summer 2010, the TD-LIF was installed for the first time on board the UK FAAM BAe 146-301 atmospheric research aircraft during the Role of Nighttime Chemistry in Controlling the Oxidising Capacity of the Atmosphere (RONOCO) campaign. RONOCO was a nighttime campaign aimed to study the role of NO$_3$ and N$_2$O$_5$ in the after-dawn chemistry of the atmosphere, with a few flights carried out during the day. The campaign was based at East Midland airport (UK) to study the chemistry over the UK during two periods: July/August 2010 and January 2011, with 25 research flights.

The BAe 146-301 research aircraft was fitted with several instruments to measure trace gas concentrations, aerosol physical and chemical characteristics and meteorological parameters; among them there was a chemiluminescence (CL) detector which provided measurements of NO$_2$ concentrations with a technique completely different from the TD-LIF. The CL detector uses a photolytic converter (blue-light LED, centered at 395 nm) to convert NO$_2$ into NO, whose concentration is monitored via the detection of the NO + O$_3$ chemiluminescence photons (Kley and McFarland, 1980). Several intercomparisons among NO$_2$ instruments have been executed in recent years: one very comprehensive comparison, using five different NO$_2$ detectors, was carried out in a simulation chamber and showed good agreements between CL detector and some other NO$_2$ instruments, including a LIF system (Fuchs et al., 2010). The BAe-146 CL system undertook regular in-flight calibrations and its inlet was located on the same side and less than 8 m away from the TD-LIF inlet. The upper panel in Fig. 9 shows the time series of NO$_2$ measured by the TD-LIF and by the CL detector during the first flight of the RONOCO campaign on the night of 16/17 July 2010. The TD-LIF and CL data were acquired.
Fig. 9. Upper panel: time series of in-flight measurements of NO$_2$, on board the research aircraft BAe 146-301, detected by a chemiluminescence system and by the TD-LIF. Lower panel: scatter plot of NO$_2$ measured by a chemiluminescence system and by the TD-LIF reported in the upper plot of this figure.

Fig. 10. Upper panel: time series of measurements on board the research aircraft BAe 146-301 of $\sum$PNs and N$_2$O$_5$ detected by the TD-LIF and by the BBCEAS, respectively. Lower panel: scatter plot of $\sum$PNs and N$_2$O$_5$ reported in the upper panel of this figure.

with 0.1 s and 1 s integration time, respectively, but to compare at the same time frequency the TD-LIF data have been averaged over 1 s intervals. The upper panel in Fig. 9 shows that during the whole flight the two instruments detected very similar amounts of NO$_2$ and they tracked the same NO$_2$ variability. The lower panel of Fig. 9 shows the scatter plot of the NO$_2$ concentration from TD-LIF against that from CL for the whole flight. The correlation between the data observed by the two instruments is robust ($R^2 = 0.995$), a slope very close to unity (1.09) and a small intercept (0.22 ppb). This excellent agreement between TD-LIF and an independent and established system like CL validates the TD-LIF system and shows that it can be effectively used in airborne observations.

4.2 Peroxy nitrates: TD-LIF measurements of $\sum$PNs vs. BBCEAS measurements of N$_2$O$_5$

Another new system developed by Jones’s group in Cambridge (UK), using a well-established technique to observe NO$_3$ and N$_2$O$_5$ (Kennedy et al., 2011), was deployed on the BAE 146-301 aircraft during the RONOCO campaigns. This new system uses broadband cavity enhanced absorption spectroscopy (BBCEAS) to measure N$_2$O$_5$, which is one of the $\sum$PNs and in particular the dominant one during nighttime (Day et al., 2002). Therefore even if $\sum$PNs include other nitrates compounds and their concentrations are usually higher than N$_2$O$_5$, a comparison between the TD-LIF and the BBCEAS serves as a good test of the performance of the first instrument, at least when $\sum$PNs are dominated by N$_2$O$_5$, for instance during nighttime. The results of NO3Comp 2007 intercomparison exercise, carried out in the SAPHIR chamber, proved that three cavity ring-down instruments and two LIF instruments detect N$_2$O$_5$ with good agreement (Fuchs et al., 2012); here we have reported the intercomparison in troposphere on board the BAE 146-301 aircraft. The upper panel of Fig. 10 shows $\sum$PNs measured by the TD-LIF and N$_2$O$_5$ detected by the BBCEAS during the second flight of the RONOCO campaign on the night of 17/18 July 2010. The TD-LIF and BBCEAS data were acquired with 0.1 s and 0.4 s integration time, respectively, but for the comparison in this figure they have been averaged over 30 s intervals. The upper panel in Fig. 10 shows that in the part of the flight reported here when $\sum$PNs were dominated by N$_2$O$_5$, the two instruments detected very similar concentrations and they tracked the same N$_2$O$_5$ variability. The lower panel of Fig. 10 shows the scatter plot of the TD-LIF and BBCEAS for the same flight reported in the upper panel of Fig. 10. This agreement between TD-LIF measurements and an independent and established technique like BBCEAS is very good: the correlation between the data observed by the two instruments is quite strong ($R^2 = 0.896$), the slope is 0.78 and there is a very small intercept (0.009 ppb). The slope below the unity is due to other PNs species detected by the TD-LIF but not measured by the BBCEAS, like PAN, PPN or MPAN that may be significant fractions of $\sum$PNs (Woolridge et al., 2010). This intercomparison confirms the consistency of the $\sum$PNs measurements and that the TD-LIF can be efficiently used in airborne observations.

5 Conclusions

A TD-LIF system for simultaneous measurements of NO$_2$, $\sum$PNs, $\sum$ANs and HNO$_3$ was built and, after laboratory tests, installed on the UK research aircraft BAe 146-301. The system shows low detection limits for NO$_2$ and organic nitrates, which allows observations in different environments. Laboratory tests show the selectivity of the TD-LIF for the detection of organic nitrates and HNO$_3$. Experiments to identify possible interference due to the recombination of thermal dissociation products show an insignificant effect on the $\sum$ANs detection and a very small effect in the $\sum$PNs. To date the TD-LIF has been successfully operated in five field campaigns. In-flight intercomparison of NO$_2$ measurements between the TD-LIF and a chemiluminescence system shows
an excellent agreement. Comparison of in-flight measurements of $\Sigma$PNs measured by the TD-LIF and N$_2$O$_5$ measured by a BBCEAS system installed on the same aircraft also show a good agreement. TD-LIF has demonstrated that it is not only robust enough for in-flight observations but also sensitive enough to provide accurate measurements of NO$_2$ and organic nitrates.
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