Instrument concept of the imaging Fourier transform spectrometer GLORIA
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Abstract. The Gimballed Limb Observer for Radiance Imaging of the Atmosphere (GLORIA) is an imaging limb emission sounder operating in the thermal infrared region. It is designed to provide measurements of the upper troposphere/lower stratosphere with high spatial and high spectral resolution. The instrument consists of an imaging Fourier transform spectrometer integrated into a gimbal. The assembly can be mounted in the belly pod of the German High Altitude and Long Range research aircraft (HALO) and in instrument bays of the Russian M55 Geophysica. Measurements are made in two distinct modes: the chemistry mode emphasises chemical analysis with high spectral resolution, and the dynamics mode focuses on dynamical processes of the atmosphere with very high spatial resolution. In addition, the instrument allows tomographic analyses of air volumes. The first measurement campaigns have shown compliance with key performance and operational requirements.

1 Introduction

The upper-troposphere/lower-stratosphere (UTLS) region plays a crucial role in the climate system. Surface temperature is sensitive to changes in the dynamic structure and composition of this region (Solomon et al., 2010; Riese et al., 2012). The goal of the Gimballed Limb Observer for Radiance Imaging of the Atmosphere (GLORIA) project is to achieve substantially improved spatial resolution and spatial coverage in observing the UTLS by airborne remote sensing. Scientific applications for the improved spatial performance range from addressing questions of atmospheric dynamics to understanding chemical fine structures (Riese et al., 2014). GLORIA also serves to demonstrate the potential of this technique for observations from space.

Limb emission sounding in the thermal infrared region is a mature technique that has been used on airborne and spaceborne platforms for earth observation for more than 3 decades. Radiometers such as LIMS (Gille and Russell, 1984) and HIRDLS (Gille and Barnett, 1996), Fourier transform spectrometers (FTS) such as SIRIS, MIPAS and TES
(Kunde et al., 1987; Oelhaf et al., 1994; Beer et al., 2001; Fischer et al., 2008), and dispersive spectrometers such as CRISTA (Offermann et al., 1999) have been employed. The majority of these instruments scan the atmospheric limb in a vertical direction with the aid of a mirror system. TES is designed to observe part of the atmospheric limb simultaneously with a linear detector array with 16 elements. Larger linear and two-dimensional infrared detector arrays have become available in the last 2 decades and were used in a nadir sounding operational grating spectrometer (Aumann et al., 2003) and in a prototype imaging FTS nadir sounder for atmospheric research (Elwell et al., 2006). Development of an operational imaging FTS nadir sounder has commenced (Rodriguez et al., 2009). In parallel, commercial imaging FTSs for military and security applications (Vallieres et al., 2005; Sabbah et al., 2012) based on large focal plane arrays have been realised in recent years. However, none of these instruments has the spectral coverage, sensitivity and spectral resolution that are needed for an imaging limb sounder for atmospheric research. Accordingly, a development of an instrument optimised for the requirements of limb sounding from an airborne platform had to be undertaken.

Section 2 discusses the requirements, and Sect. 3 outlines the main design trade-offs. A condensed description of the instrument design follows. Section 4 shows essential characterisation results and discusses to what extent the most important requirements can be achieved.

2 Instrument requirements

A brief summary of the science at the beginning of the section will outline the background for the discussion of the instrument requirements. A detailed discussion of the scientific aims and associated references can be found in Riese et al. (2014).

The scientific focus of GLORIA is on the region of the UTLS, due to its immense importance for the climate system. Composition and dynamic structure of this region are governed by the complex interaction of various physical and chemical processes, operating at a wide range of temporal and spatial scales. Currently, there is a gap between global satellite observations and airborne in situ measurements in terms of spatial resolution and coverage. Greenhouse gases such as water vapour and ozone exhibit steep vertical and horizontal concentration gradients in the UTLS, which give rise to small-scale variability. A prominent process is stratosphere–troposphere exchange (STE), including convective uplift of moist air in the tropics as well as quasi-horizontal (isentropic) exchange between the upper tropical troposphere and extra-tropical lowermost stratosphere. These transport processes are accompanied by pronounced trace gas structures such as tropopause folds or filaments. Filamentary trace gas structures typically exhibit a horizontal diameter of the order of 100 km and a vertical extension of a few hundred metres (see, e.g., Bacmeister et al., 1999; Pan et al., 2009; Weigel et al., 2012; Barré et al., 2012; Ungermann et al., 2013).

In addition to STE, long-range transport of pollutants significantly influences UTLS composition, in particular the ozone budget in the upper troposphere. Long-range transport of pollutants involves a large number of scientifically interesting chemical compounds and is also associated with pronounced small-scale structures. Finally, the investigation of important dynamical processes such as gravity-wave excitation and propagation or the formation of the tropopause inversion layer (TIL) require three-dimensional observations of structures in temperature, radiatively active trace gases and cloud parameters with a horizontal resolution of a few tens of kilometres and a vertical resolution of a few hundred metres.

To address the scientific questions outlined above, GLORIA was designed to employ two distinct measurement modes. The first one, called the chemistry mode (CM), focuses on high spectral resolution and thus maximises the number of retrieved trace species with sufficient spatial sampling for the aforementioned structures. The second one, called the dynamics mode (DM), has lower spectral resolution but provides further increased horizontal resolution and is able to undertake three-dimensional sounding of temperature and a limited number of species. The geometric and spectral requirements of the two modes are summarised in Tables 1 and 2. In order to improve the long-term benefit of GLORIA, instrument requirements include the ability to choose other compromises between spectral and spatial resolution and the ability to perform nadir viewing.

The first group of requirements (Table 1) deals with observation geometry, observation coverage and spatial and temporal sampling of the measurements. The nature of the requirements dictated the principal design decisions for the instrument. The quest for high vertical resolution directly leads to the concept of a limb sounder. The demanding requirements concerning simultaneous vertical coverage and temporal sampling compel us to use an imaging optical system with very high throughput. The goal of performing tomographic measurements could only be achieved with angular agility of the instrument in yaw direction. The use of a research aircraft as a carrier, with its inevitable pitch, roll and yaw movements during flight, made a three-axis stabilisation of the field of view (FOV) during measurement recording indispensable.

The specific values for the above-mentioned requirements (Table 1) are motivated by the following considerations: scientific interest in the free troposphere requires us to set the value for the lower boundary of the vertical coverage to approximately 4 km altitude. Viewing by up to 0.8° above the horizon provides some information on trace gas column amounts above the aircraft (Woiwode et al., 2012).

Infrared limb sounding is capable of providing vertical resolutions in the 300 to 400 m range (Ungermann, 2011; Ungermann et al., 2011). Consequently, the vertical sampling should be in the range of a few hundred metres at the tangent
Table 1. Instrument specifications – geometry.
Translation from angular values to distance is made for an observer altitude of 15 km and a tangent altitude of 10 km.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirement</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vertical spatial coverage</td>
<td>−3.3° below local horizon, typ. equal to 4 km altitude</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower boundary</td>
<td>0.8° above local horizon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper boundary</td>
<td>Required: &lt; 3 arcmin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertical sampling</td>
<td>Achieved: 1.9 arcmin, equal to 140 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horizontal spatial coverage</td>
<td>Typically 1.5°, equal to 6.7 km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yaw pointing range</td>
<td>45 to 135°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal sampling</td>
<td>Required: &lt; 3 s/30 s for DM/CM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Current parametrisation:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.2 s/12 s interferogram length for DM/CM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 s/12.8 s temporal sampling for DM/CM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pointing stability (vertical)</td>
<td>0.7 arcmin, equal to 50 m (1σ)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Instrument specifications – geometry.

The second group of requirements (Table 2) deals with the spectrometer itself: the goal of covering, at least, emission bands of carbon dioxide, ozone, water vapour, nitric acid, some chlorofluorocarbons, nitrous oxide, chlorine nitrate and methane in the thermal infrared region leads to a broad spectral coverage requirement of the instrument. Chlorine nitrate determines the spectral wave number cut-on to be approximately 780 cm$^{-1}$; water vapour and methane force to measure the spectral range up to 1400 cm$^{-1}$. The spectral sampling requirement of 0.0625 cm$^{-1}$ for the chemistry mode is based on experience with the MIPAS FTS (von Clarmann et al., 2009; Friedl-Vallon et al., 2004); the requirement of 0.625 cm$^{-1}$ for the dynamics mode is based on experience with the CRISTA dispersive spectrometer (Riese et al., 1997, 1999) and on studies performed for a satellite-borne imaging FTS (ESA, 2012). The sensitivity requirements of target (threshold) 5 nW (cm$^2$ sr cm$^{-1}$)$^{-1}$ (10 nW (cm$^2$ sr cm$^{-1}$)$^{-1}$) for the dynamics mode and 15 nW (cm$^2$ sr cm$^{-1}$)$^{-1}$ (30 nW (cm$^2$ sr cm$^{-1}$)$^{-1}$) for the chemistry mode are derived from the comparable performances of the precursor instruments. Experience with these precursor instruments has also proven that the radiometric and spectral accuracy requirements of a 1 % target (2 % threshold) and 10 ppm respectively are necessary and sufficient.

A third group of requirements, not mentioned in the tables, is of a technical nature. The instrument needs to operate on aircraft that are able to reach the lower stratosphere. GLORIA is primarily designed for use on the German High Altitude and Long Range research aircraft (HALO), which is based on a commercial Gulfstream G550 business jet (see Fig. 1). However, the use of the instrument on other aircraft, notably on the Russian M55 Geophysica research aircraft, has to be provided for. Accordingly, environmental requirements for temperature inside the instrument compartment as low as −50 °C and for ambient pressure as low as 70 hPa have to be taken into account. The instrument will be exposed to vibrations excited by the aircraft turbines and by aerodynamics. Precise quantification of excitation levels is difficult before flight, since the instrument itself, its mounting position and the aerodynamic fairing influence the vibration environment. Finally, the instrument has to be certified for operation on the aircraft. In particular, the certification for the HALO is demanding, since HALO in the long term will be able to operate as a civil aircraft, without flight restrictions imposed by the instrumentation.

3 Instrument concept

3.1 Overview

The GLORIA spectrometer is a cooled imaging Fourier transform spectrometer (IFTS) with a large cryogenic HgCdTe detector array for detection of infrared (IR) radiation. The spectrometer is mounted in a gimbal that allows agility in pitch, roll and yaw direction. The instrument is designed to be mounted outside of the aircraft cabin. Calibration sources are two large-area blackbodies and a “deep space” view for radiometric and spectral calibration.
A graphical overview of the instrument is given in Fig. 2, and a picture of the instrument mounted in the HALO aircraft is found in Fig. 3. Table 3 provides a summary of key properties.

An IFTS was chosen as the baseline spectrometer concept in order to benefit from the massive total throughput advantage of nearly two orders of magnitude that this kind of instrument offers in comparison to a conventional FTS. This advantage is essential in achieving the required spatial and temporal sampling. Any scanning system, FTS or grating, would need a very large entrance aperture to achieve the same throughput. Such a large opening would be difficult to implement on an aircraft. Further arguments for the imaging FTS are its flexibility in spectral and spatial resolution and the non-availability of infrared detector arrays that support a high spectral resolution dispersive system.

Although state-of-the-art IR detector systems still suffer from significant electronic noise, photon noise in our case substantially contributes to the noise performance. Furthermore, a high photon background limits integration time since the full well capacity of the integration capacitors in the readout integrated circuit is finite. This limitation has a direct impact on the sensitivity. Thus, a reduction of the optics temperature from room temperature to 220 K leads to a signal-to-noise advantage of roughly a factor of 3 for a spectrometer using the infrared detector arrays available to the project (see Sect. 3.2). This fact led us to design an actively cooled spectrometer.

As mentioned in the requirement section, stabilisation of the FOV in altitude and orientation is essential. This can either be achieved by mounting the spectrometer in a gimbal or by fore-optics with a set of controlled scanning mirrors. Two aspects led to the adoption of a gimbal mount: first, an imaging system has an extended FOV (accordingly, not only elevation and azimuth but also image rotation needs to be stabilised); second, the combination of an image rotation device and azimuth and elevation scanner would have led to a complex optical set-up with many optical elements, a long optical path and, subsequently, large optical elements. It should be noted that a downside of the decision in favour of the gimbal is the very strict limitation on size and mass of the spectrometer.

On HALO, the whole instrument is mounted outside the aircraft in the unpressurised belly pod to avoid a large IR window in the pressurised aircraft cabin. Such a window would have been outside the calibration path, and it would have caused severe flight certification problems. On the M55 Geophysica there is no choice: all instruments have to be mounted in unpressurised compartments. An insulating window is implemented in the spectrometer enclosure to avoid water contamination and reduce aeroacoustic excitation within the spectrometer.

The agility of the gimbal yaw frame allows for in-flight calibration by turning the spectrometer towards the apertures of the two large-area blackbody radiation sources. Thus, the whole optical chain including the spectrometer window is in the calibration path. Due to the high spectral resolution of the spectrometer, a posteriori spectral calibration using atmospheric lines is possible.
Table 4. Basic spectrometer properties. LFPA stands for “large focal plane array”.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mass of spectrometer</td>
<td>48 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating temperature of spectrometer</td>
<td>200–220 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entrance window diameter</td>
<td>100 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. optical path difference</td>
<td>90 mm double-sided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camera focal length</td>
<td>72.2 mm at 218 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aperture ratio</td>
<td>f/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detector type</td>
<td>256$^2$ HgCdTe-LFPA by AIM GmbH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pixel pitch</td>
<td>40 µm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating temperature of detector</td>
<td>50 K</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. Schematic diagramm of the GLORIA instrument. The different gimbals are colour-coded: blue indicates the pitch frame including spectrometer, red the roll frame, green the yaw frame and gold the mounting frame used to fix the instrument to the aircraft. The transparent orange bar represents the field of view of the instrument. The grey box at the left-hand side is the power electronics; the pink boxes at the right-hand side are the blackbodies for radiometric calibration.

3.2 Spectrometer

The GLORIA spectrometer needs to be as compact and rigid as possible. Size and mass are limited within the gimballed frame, and the cooled volume must be kept small. The vibration environment of an aircraft demands a sturdy design with as few optical elements as possible. The optical setup of the interferometer is straightforward and simple (see Fig. 4). The radiation enters through an insulating double germanium window with wedged plates. Amplitude splitting is performed by a dielectric beam splitter on a potassium chloride substrate. Two Zerodur cube corners – one fixed and one linearly moveable – act as retroreflectors. After recombination, the radiation is focused on the focal plane array with an achromatic infrared doublet. The interferometer needs to be enclosed since it is cooled and has hygroscopic components in the infrared optics. The insulating window solution is compact and allows simple testing of the cooled instrument on the ground under ambient conditions.

Since the task of imaging the limb scene on the detector can be solved without a telescope in front of the interferometer, a telescope was omitted to reduce complexity, volume and mass. Transfer optics between interferometer and detector was avoided to reduce the number of optical components and the spatial envelope. The downside of a larger beam diameter in the interferometer was an acceptable trade-off given the benefits. A linear interferometer set-up was chosen since it was considered as the most compact and mechanically robust solution. The spectral resolution requirement does not impose a movement of both retroreflectors. The moving cube corner is mounted on a dovetail slide that is driven by a lead screw with a direct-drive motor controlled by an angular high-resolution optical shaft encoder. The approach leads to a system with high-resonance frequencies (Piesch et al., 2014). However, the slide construction requires regular servicing. The interferometer provides a maximum optical path difference (OPD) of up to 9 cm. Two-sided interferograms with 0.8 cm or with 8 cm maximum OPD are recorded in dynamics and chemistry mode, respectively.

The quadrature reference system for the optical-path determination is based on a laser diode in the visible spectral domain whose temperature is controlled by a thermoelectric element. The spectral stability of the diode is enhanced by the
3.3 Calibration

The radiometric calibration system consists of two identical large-area high-precision blackbody radiation sources, which are independently stabilised at two different temperatures with the help of thermoelectric coolers (Olschewski et al., 2013). The achievable temperatures of the blackbodies depend on the ambient temperature and pressure, with the colder of the two reaching at least 10 K below ambient. The blackbodies are cavities with aluminium pyramids on the baseplate. The emissivity of the blackbodies is required to be better than 0.997 and the temperature uncertainty to be better than 0.1 K in order to reach the radiometric calibration uncertainty target of 1% (Monte et al., 2014). In addition, the gimballed frame allows us to direct the centre of the FOV to 10° upwards at the 90° position of the yaw gimbal. Scene spectra taken at this position can be used as proxies for deep-space spectra. They serve as complementary calibration input for direct offset estimation after the removal of residual atmospheric emission lines.

Spectral calibration is achieved by the analysis of the spectral position of selected CO₂ lines in the range of 940 to 972 cm⁻¹. The scene spectra of the upward measurements are best suited for this purpose because they are usually free of clouds over the whole FOV.

3.4 Gimballed frame and pointing

As scientifically required, the gimbal mount provides agility on all three axes. For all gimbal angles set to 0°, the gimballed coordinate system is congruent with the aircraft system. When looking across track, the gimbal pitch frame compensates aircraft roll movements. The gimbal yaw level is free to move from −20 to 140° relative to the aircraft longitudinal axis. Thus, it grants a view of the atmospheric limb in a range from 45 to 135° and access to the two blackbodies that are mounted at gimbal yaw angles of about −10 and 20°. Depending on the carrier aircraft and mounting position of the instrument, angular views above 128° on all three axes. For all gimbal angles set to 0°, the gimbal roll level is free to move from −20 to 140° relative to the aircraft longitudinal axis. Thus, it grants a view of the atmospheric limb in a range from 45 to 135° and access to the two blackbodies that are mounted at gimbal yaw angles of about −10 and 20°. Depending on the carrier aircraft and mounting position of the instrument, angular views above 128° may be obstructed by the aircraft wing and, accordingly, will not be used during measurements. The gimbal roll level allows compensating for aircraft movements that would otherwise rotate the FOV between 0 and 10°. The gimbal pitch level is agile from 14° for deep-space calibration measurements down to −98° for nadir observation.

The pointing accuracy requirements can only be fulfilled with high-precision sensors. Measurements from an inertial measurement unit (IMU) with laser gyroscopes on the gimbal yaw frame and a three-axis microelectromechanical (MEMS) gyroscope on the pitch frame as well as information from a GPS and inductive angular encoders are fused to provide both fast attitude changes and stabilisation of the FOV. The navigation and gimbal control system was developed in collaboration with iMAR Navigation GmbH, Germany. All gimbal levels are powered by direct-drive motors.
and independent drive controller units. An additional camera operating in the visible spectral domain is mounted on the interferometer. This camera covers a wide FOV, thereby completely enclosing the FOV of the spectrometer. For most of the interferograms, correlated images of the scene or video sequences are taken. The information provided by this camera can be used for cloud identification and for pointing quality analysis.

3.5 Data acquisition concept

The general data acquisition concept is straightforward and simple but technically demanding. All scientific raw data are stored on a fast solid-state disk array in the aircraft. No attempt is made to process a significant part of the data during flight since adequate telemetry bandwidth to send the data to ground is not available on civil aircraft. The most important goals of the electronics design were to provide high-bandwidth storage capabilities and to allow semi-automatic instrument control by supplying meaningful housekeeping and data quality parameters. A modular scalable design allowed for the parallel development of the different functional units and facilitates repair in the case of the malfunction of units.

The command and control communication infrastructure for the main functional units (interferometer electronics, pointing control, the different power control units, additional devices such as the visual cloud camera and the central control computer) is realised by Ethernet. Communication is based on a custom-made slim and flexible software architecture and protocol. On the ground, operators can connect to the instrument via a wireless interface. During flight, a low-bandwidth connection with an Iridium link provides limited access to command and control of the instrument. On HALO an optional in-flight operator computer can be directly connected to the instrument local area network (LAN). The operator can use the Iridium link for low-bandwidth text-based communication with the ground crew.

The IR data is digitised with 14 bit analogue–digital converters in the detector front-end electronics; each IR image is tagged with a time stamp issued from an 80 MHz clock within the interferometer data acquisition electronics and then sent to storage via the Camera-Link interface. This additional high-speed link, dedicated to the transfer of the measured interferograms, guarantees continuous data throughput and avoids congestion of the command and control LAN. The reference laser zero crossings are detected with high temporal resolution, and the time stamps of these events, issued from the same clock as for the IR images, are sent to storage via LAN.

3.6 Data processing

IR interferograms are reconstructed post-flight for each pixel on a common, spatially equidistant sampling grid using Brault’s interpolation method (Brault, 1996). Spectral calibration parameters are derived from atmospheric signatures in a pre-processing step. Apparent image distance, the position of the optical axis on the array and a linear spectral scaling factor are determined. These parameters feed a simple model that is used in the reconstruction process of the interferograms (Kleinert et al., 2014). The first processing step also includes a non-linearity correction based on a common correction curve for all pixels derived from laboratory characterization of the detector module (Sha, 2013). The interferograms are then Fourier-transformed into complex spectra.

Three different data sets are available for radiometric calibration: a deep-space view, with the instrument looking 10° upwards, and two blackbody views with different temperatures. Since the instrument optics are thermally drifting, with a rate of approximately 1 K h⁻¹, calibration measurements are performed every 30 to 45 min. The deep-space measurements are contaminated by atmospheric lines that are removed by the subtraction of forward-calculated spectra using climatological parameterisation and a preliminary estimate of the spectrometer gain. In the next step, the spectrometer gain is determined from the cold blackbody measurement and the offset estimate. The spectra are calibrated in the complex domain following the approach introduced by Revercomb et al. (1988). The resulting hypercubes of calibrated data are an interim product with full spatial and spectral resolution. They can be used for image analysis, e.g. cloud determination.

After the application of a bad-pixel mask that eliminates pixels with radiometric gain errors larger than 1.5 %, the calibrated data are spatially co-added to row averages. The final data product is a column of 128 calibrated spectra per measurement and is fed into different retrieval codes (Ungermann et al., 2010; Woiwode et al., 2012; Kaufmann et al., 2014), depending on the scientific focus of the measurement. Figure 5 shows a sequence of CM spectra over the full spectral range measured by the instrument, and Fig. 6 is a zoom in the spectral range of 830 to 900 cm⁻¹. The spectra are apodised with the Norton–Beer strong function (Norton and Beer, 1976).

4 Instrument performance

The first flights of GLORIA took place within the ESA Sounder Campaign (ESSenCe) on the M55 Geophysica from Kiruna in northern Sweden in December 2011. Two successful technological flights into the Arctic were performed. In late summer 2012, GLORIA was embedded in the Transport and Composition in the upper Troposphere and lowermost Stratosphere (TACTS) and Earth System Model Validation (ESMVal) campaigns. Scientific flights over Europe and the Atlantic were performed with the HALO aircraft from Oberpfaffenhofen in southern Germany. In addition, HALO circled Africa and performed an excursion
Figure 5. Calibrated spectra measured in chemistry mode during the flight on 26 September 2012 above the North Atlantic Ocean. The spectra cover the full spectral range of the instrument. The labels indicate the row number on the detector array. To improve readability, not all 128 rows are shown. Row 1 corresponds to the lowermost tangent altitude of approximately 5 km, row 96 corresponds approximately to the flight altitude of 14 km, and row 120 corresponds approximately to a viewing angle of 0.8° above horizon. The spectra are generated by co-adding all spectra of one row; total integration time is 12 s.

Figure 6. Calibrated spectra measured in chemistry mode during the flight on 26 September 2012 above the North Atlantic Ocean. A zoom of the data set of Fig. 5 in the spectral region of between 830 and 900 cm⁻¹ is shown.

towards Antarctica. GLORIA encountered various climatological conditions ranging from mid-latitude summer to tropics and Antarctic winter. Thirteen scientific flights with more than 100 flight hours in total were carried out. GLORIA delivered data from all but one flight. It was operated in all scientific operation modes including tomography mode. The following section concentrates on the verification of the compliance with the overarching scientific requirements of flight data. Detailed characterisation and performance analysis on a subsystem level will be described in future publications.

Figure 7. Upper panel: retrieval result for temperature for the flight on 26 September 2012 above the North Atlantic Ocean. The flight altitude of HALO is indicated by black crosses. The lower boundary of the retrieval is determined by clouds. Lower panel: temperature difference between the retrieval result and the ECMWF analysis.

Figure 7 depicts an overview of the result of the dynamics mode temperature retrieval for the flight over the North Atlantic on 26 September 2012. Good-quality temperature information is available from the ECMWF. We used the analysis model with a horizontal resolution of 16 km and interpolated the model data linearly in space and time to the location of the measurement points, i.e. to the tangent points. The comparison of the retrieved data with this interpolated ECMWF data provides an opportunity for an overall quality check on the product level.

Compliance with geometrical instrument requirements, i.e. the vertical extension and the correct placement of the FOV at the horizon can be verified with this data set. Figure 8 illustrates the agreement of the retrieval result with the ECMWF field. The retrieval has been performed without using the model data for the construction of the a priori information. The retrieval for a nominal FOV of 4.08° as derived from engineering data and focal-length determination during spectral calibration is compared to a retrieval where the FOV was assumed to be 1% larger and smaller. The nominal FOV shows the smallest average difference between
retrieved temperature and ECMWF field. A negative bias of approximately 0.5 K is seen over most of the altitude range. Due to the limb-observing geometry, the largest sensitivity to a FOV scaling error is seen in the lower altitudes. The results indicate that an erroneous reconstruction of the retrieval grid is detectable. This inherently verifies other geometrical requirements such as sampling size in vertical and horizontal direction. The mean pointing offset for this particular flight is determined to be approximately 0.05° by minimising the variance of the deviation.

Vertical resolution of the instrument can be directly deduced from IR images showing cloud structures. Figure 9 shows an IR image of a subvisible cirrus cloud taken during a flight over the North Atlantic Ocean on 26 September 2012. It illustrates the in-flight imaging quality of the IR system. In parts, the observed cirrus layer is as thin as one pixel row. Vertical resolution of the retrieval can be derived from retrieval diagnostics. Figure 10 depicts the achieved vertical resolution for the trace gas HNO₃ and demonstrates the agreement with the scientific requirement. The retrieval diagnostics in chemistry mode indicates a vertical resolution of the product of 280 to 400 m. The difference in mixing ratio and vertical resolution are based on the retrieval characteristics of the codes used, in particular on the selection of the spectral windows and on the regularisation scheme.

Compliance with yaw agility and temporal sampling requirements for the tomography mode is illustrated in Fig. 11. The yaw and pitch movement of the gimbal during one tomography sequence is shown. The yaw angle is decremented from 128 to 44° in 4° steps. Every measurement point represents one column of 128 spectra; 42 columns are recorded in 84 s. The pitch angle variation over the measurement sequence is caused by the compensation of the pitch and roll movements of the aircraft. The result of a successful tomographic retrieval is shown by Riese et al. (2014).

Pointing stability is characterised using IR image analysis methods combined with the evaluation of attitude sensor data. The analysis of image motion in the IR data leads to pointing information with high temporal resolution due to the detector frame rate of 6.3 kHz. Various image analysis methods have been implemented and are currently being tested. Housekeeping data of the navigation and gimbal control unit and the three-axis MEMS gyro is used to complement this analysis. Preliminary results indicate compliance with requirements. The detailed analysis will be published elsewhere.

The spectral coverage and sensitivity of the instrument can be deduced from Fig. 12. The given values for the dynamics mode are derived from the variance of a sequence...
Figure 8. A typical retrieval result for HNO₃ of 13 September 2012 between Cape Town and Antarctica. A data cube with chemistry mode spectral resolution has been processed with the chemistry mode retrieval code and for comparison also with dynamics mode spectral resolution and dynamics mode retrieval code.

Figure 10. Typical retrieval result for HNO₃ from 13 September 2012 between Cape Town and Antarctica. A data cube with chemistry mode spectral resolution has been processed with the chemistry mode retrieval code and, for comparison, also with dynamics mode spectral resolution and dynamics mode retrieval code.

Figure 11. Yaw and pitch movement of the gimbal during one tomography sequence. Every measurement point represents one interferogram. Aircraft angle variations are the reason for the variability of the gimbal angles. Azimuth, elevation and image rotation of the FOV are the stabilised quantities.

The consistently good quality of temperature retrievals with an overall bias of approximately 0.5 K (see Fig. 8) is an indicator of a sufficient radiometric performance of the instrument. A detailed analysis using redundant in-flight calibration measurements is ongoing. First results are presented in Kleinert et al. (2014) and indicate a radiometric accuracy of better than 1.5 % over most of the spectral range.

The instrumental line shape (ILS) is determined through modelling of the instrument: the point spread function is calculated with the help of a ray-tracing program and then fed into a numerical ILS model. The applicability of these calculations to the real instrument has been verified by lab measurements with a gas cell. Figure 13 depicts the calculated ILS function for the chemistry mode for a corner pixel of the nominal field of view in comparison to the ideal ILS for a wavelength of 10 µm. The calculated ILS function has been re-centred to correct for the scaling of the wave number axis. The impact of the field position of the pixel on ILS maximum and asymmetry can be seen clearly in this worst-case scenario. The impact on line width is in the range of a few percent. The effects are more than an order of magnitude less pronounced in dynamics mode due to the significantly lower spectral resolution. The modelled ILS will be used in future in the retrieval process both in chemistry and dynamics mode. The current preliminary L2 data set has been produced without the use of the ILS model for practical implementation reasons. Spectral calibration is already performed in L0 processing; the accuracy is regularly checked in the retrieval process.

5 Conclusions and outlook

GLORIA has been deployed in three different scientific campaigns (ESSenCe, 2011; TACTS and ESMVal in 2012) on two different aircraft – Geophysica, HALO – in various climatic conditions. The instrument has demonstrated the
The initial instrument concept has proven to be adequate and the experience of the first year of operation does not force us to make substantial changes to the overall set-up or to essential subsystems. Some areas for further improvement have been identified, though. In particular spatially correlated noise sources in the detection chain, such as electromagnetic compatibility problems and electronic noise, will be addressed to improve sensitivity and radiometric accuracy over the full spectral range. Non-linearity characteristics of the HgCdTe detector arrays will be characterised in depth to allow optimal operation and ease processing. Upgrades to some electronics units will improve performance, reliability and operability of the instrument. In order to reduce acoustic and vibration excitation of the instrument, the opening in the belly pod of the HALO aircraft will be modified to improve aerodynamics.
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