Development of a photochemical source for the production and calibration of acyl peroxynitrate compounds
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Abstract. A dynamic system for the calibration of acyl peroxynitrate compounds (APNs) has been developed in the laboratory to reduce the difficulty, required time, and instability of laboratory-produced standards for difficult-to-synthesize APN species. In this work we present a photochemical source for the generation of APN standards: acetyl peroxynitrate (PAN), propionyl peroxynitrate (PPN), acryloyl peroxynitrate (APAN), methacryloyl peroxynitrate (MPAN), and crotonyl peroxynitrate (CPAN). APNs are generated via photolysis of a mixture of acyl chloride (RC(O)Cl) and ketone (RC(=O)R) precursor compounds in the presence of O₂ and NO₂. Subsequent separation by a prep-scale gas chromatograph and detection with a total NOₓ instrument serve to quantify the output of the APN source. Validation of the APN products was performed using iodide ion chemical ionization mass spectroscopy (I⁻ CIMS). This method of standard production is an efficient and accurate technique for the calibration of instrumentation used to measure PAN, PPN, APAN, MPAN, and CPAN.

1 Introduction

Peroxy carboxylic nitric anhydrides, or acyl peroxynitrates (APNs), have long been considered important atmospheric constituents (Roberts, 1990, 2007; Stephens, 1987). Often the most abundant odd nitrogen species, e.g., NOₓ (NO + NO₂ + NO₃ + 2N₂O₅ + HNO₃ + HONO + HO₂NO₂ + APNs + organic nitrates +...), in the atmosphere, APNs play an integral part of ozone photochemistry in remote regions (Singh et al., 1992; Roberts, 1990, 2007) as byproducts of the reaction of NOₓ (NO + NO₂) and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). Thermal decomposition of APNs, on a timescale of hours to months, is often the most dominant atmospheric sink and results in release of NOₓ. APNs, as a NOₓ reservoir, therefore serve as an important pathway for transport of NOₓ to remote regions (Moxim et al., 1996; Horowitz and Jacob, 1999).

The most atmospherically abundant and well-understood of the APNs is acetyl peroxynitrate (PAN, CH₃C(O)O₂NO₂) formed from the oxidation of VOCs (Roberts, 2007; Fischer et al., 2014; Singh et al., 1992; LaFranchi et al., 2009; Moxim et al., 1996; Phillips et al., 2013; Roberts et al., 2004, 2007; Williams et al., 1997). Recent research has become increasingly focused on less-well-studied APNs due to their involvement in several key atmospheric processes. Of these, interest has been generated for acryloyl peroxynitrate (APAN, CH₂CHC(O)O₂NO₂), a product of acrolein oxidation, where the dominant atmospheric source is understood to be from aged biomass burning emissions (Yokelson et al., 2009). Methacryloyl peroxynitrate (MPAN, CH₂C(CH₃)C(O)O₂NO₂) has received recent attention due to its involvement as an intermediate in secondary organic aerosol formation from isoprene (Surratt et al., 2010), propionyl peroxynitrate (PPN) – formed from precursors such as propanal, 1-butene, and alkanes (Williams et al., 1997; Roberts et al., 2001) – is understood to be a tracer for anthropogenic photochemistry, as biogenic precursors are expected to be a negligible source.

A multitude of techniques have been employed for routine measurement of atmospheric APNs since the middle of the 20th century. The oldest and most widely used of these
techniques is gas chromatography (GC) coupled to a detection method such as (i) electron capture (Darley et al., 1963; Williams et al., 2000; Flocke et al., 2005; Schrimpf et al., 1995; Roberts et al., 2006; Roberts, 1990), (ii) chemiluminescence (Gaffney et al., 1998), or (iii) mass spectrometry (Tanimoto et al., 1999). Liquid chromatography has also been used for the measurement of PAN, with limited results (Grosjean et al., 1991). Optical techniques such as Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR; Tuazon et al., 1978) and thermal-dissociation laser-induced fluorescence (TD-LIF; Wooldridge et al., 2010) have been employed with more recent developments, such as cavity ring-down spectroscopy (Paul et al., 2009), showing promise as fast-response measurement techniques.

Among the newer methods gaining traction are mass spectrometric techniques, such as chemical ionization mass spectrometry, CIMS (Hastie et al., 2010; Hansel and Wisthaler, 2000; Huey, 2007). An increasingly popular method is thermal-dissociation chemical ionization mass spectrometry (TD-CIMS) for the measurement of APNs (Slusher et al., 2004; Phillips et al., 2013; Zheng et al., 2011). Comparisons of the TD-CIMS method with other techniques, such as GC and TD-LIF, show reasonably good agreement (Wooldridge et al., 2010; Tyndall et al., 2005). However, there exists the potential for various interferences, such as peroxy acetic acid and carboxylic acids, requiring additional consideration (Phillips et al., 2013).

Detection sensitivity is not inherently deducible from the TD-CIMS method; rather it is a function of the thermal-dissociation efficiency, ion transmission, and inlet losses; therefore calibration is a necessary requirement in order to perform accurate, quantitative measurements. A multitude of APN calibrations procedures have been developed over the years, with the earliest examples focused exclusively on the synthesis and calibration to PAN, with later methods expanded to include additional APN species. Currently there exist two widely used synthesis methods, wet chemical synthesis (Kravetz et al., 1980; Nielsen et al., 1982) followed by purification (Gaffney et al., 1984; Holdren and Spicer, 1984; Ciccioli et al., 1992) and online photoproduction (Furgeson et al., 2011). In fact, the production of PAN and PPN used here has been described previously using the respective precursor compounds acetone and 3-pentanone (Furgeson et al., 2011; Warneck and Zerbach, 1992a; Volz-Thomas et al., 2002). In this work we show a new method for the online synthesis of APAN, MPAN, and CPAN using acryloyl chloride, methacryloyl chloride, and crotonyl chloride precursor compounds, respectively. Precursor compounds are diluted with tridecane, in the ratios reported in Table 1 according to their volatility, and placed into a glass diffusion cell with a headspace flow of 20 sccm zero air. The outflow of the diffusion cell is mixed with approximately 5 sccm of a 5 ppmv NO2 mixture. The mixture is photolyzed using a 254 nm Pen-Ray® lamp in a fused silica cell, with a residence time of approximately 6 min. Photolysis of acyl chloride precursors in the presence of O2 and NO2 proceed via the following reactions:

\[
\text{RC(O)Cl} \xrightarrow{\Delta} \text{RC(O)}^+ + \text{Cl}^-, (R1)
\]
\[
\text{RC(O)}^+ + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{RC(O)O}_2^-, (R2)
\]
\[
\text{RC(O)O}_2^- + \text{NO}_2 \rightarrow \text{RC(O)O}_2\text{NO}_2^-. (R3)
\]

Resulting APN products are subsequently separated using a prep-scale GC similar to that described by Flocke et al. (2005). Flow from the photolysis cell is injected onto an Rtx-200 gas chromatography column (15 m, 0.53 mm inside diameter, 1.5 µm) and held at a temperature of 30 °C with a helium carrier gas flow of 10 sccm. The column effluent is analyzed using both a NOy analyzer and I− CIMS.

NOy was quantified using a laboratory-built analyzer that converts PAN to NO on a molybdenum tube followed by chemiluminescence detection (Ridley and Howlett, 1974; Fehsenfeld et al., 1987). The conversion efficiency of the NOy instrument used in this study was approximately 96% with a sensitivity of 4.07 ± 0.08 Hz pptv−1.

A detailed description of the I− CIMS is presented elsewhere (Slusher et al., 2004). Briefly, APNs are dissociated in a heated inlet, subsequently react with iodide ions (I−), and are detected as carboxylate anions using a quadrupole mass...
Table 1. Summary of calibration factors (CFs, Hz pptv\(^{-1}\)) relative to PAN using results from the calibration mixture. The mix ratio represents the volumetric mixing ratio of precursor compound to tridecane used and is held constant for both single-component mixtures and multicomponent mixtures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analyte</th>
<th>Precursor</th>
<th>Mix</th>
<th>CF(^{a})(_{\text{norm}})</th>
<th>CF(^{b})</th>
<th>DL, pptv(^{c})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PAN</td>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>1 : 45</td>
<td>13.3 ± 0.4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APAN</td>
<td>Acryloyl chloride</td>
<td>1 : 45</td>
<td>12.8 ± 1.4</td>
<td>0.97 ± 0.08</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPN</td>
<td>3-Pentanone</td>
<td>1 : 11</td>
<td>13.1 ± 2.3</td>
<td>0.95 ± 0.15</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPAN</td>
<td>Methacryloyl chloride</td>
<td>1 : 11</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.10 ± 0.01</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cis-CPAN</td>
<td>Crotonyl chloride</td>
<td>1 : 11</td>
<td>3.9 ± 0.6</td>
<td>0.29 ± 0.04</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trans-CPAN</td>
<td>Crotonyl chloride</td>
<td>1 : 11</td>
<td>7.1 ± 0.4</td>
<td>0.53 ± 0.04</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Normalized CF is reported relative to a primary ion count rate of \(10^6\) I\(^-\) (m/z 127). \(^b\) The relative CF is defined as the instrumental sensitivity of each APN relative to the PAN sensitivity. \(^c\) Detection limits determined from the standard deviation in the instrument background are reported at the 3\(\sigma\) level.

Figure 1. Shown is a schematic of the photochemical calibration system used in this work for the production of APNs with subsequent detection using a total NO\(_y\) detector and an iodide ion TD-CIMS analyzer:

\[
\text{RC(O)O}_2\text{NO}_2 \xrightarrow{\Delta} \text{RC(O)O}_2 + \text{NO}_2, \quad (R4) \\
\text{RC(O)O}_2 + I^- \rightarrow \text{RC(O)O}^- + \text{IO}. \quad (R5)
\]

Iodide ions are generated via the electron attachment reaction of CH\(_3\)I in a 210\(^{10}\)Po ionizer. A critical orifice was used to maintain an inlet flow of 2.2 slpm at a flow tube pressure of 50 mbar. A switchable inlet was used for sampling through a heated dissociator to allow detection of APNs via Reactions (R1) and (R2), or a “cold” (30 \(\degree\)C) inlet, to serve as an instrumental background. Unless otherwise stated, the inlet dissociator temperature was set to 150 \(\degree\)C for the experiments presented in this work. In response to a well-known dependence of PAN detection on the water concentration in the flow tube (Slusher et al., 2004), a 100 % relative humidity, 10 sccm N\(_2\) flow was added directly to the flow tube. The instrument was tuned to minimize the detection of cluster ions such that the observed I\(^+\)H\(_2\)O\(^-\) : I\(^-\) ratio was 0.002.

3 Results

3.1 Acyl peroxynitrate (APNs) synthesis and calibration

Precursor compounds were individually diluted with tridecane in the ratios reported in Table 1 and placed into glass diffusion cells. As discussed in Sect. 2, flow from the diffusion cell is mixed with NO\(_2\), photoreacted, GC-separated, and sampled with the NO\(_y\) instrument and I\(^-\) CIMS. An example chromatogram, detected using I\(^-\) CIMS, is shown in the bottom four panels of Fig. 2 for each single-precursor mixture. The data are displayed as m/z observed with respect to retention time.

In all four single-precursor mixtures, PAN production was also observed as a product. One plausible explanation for the observation of PAN formation is derived from the sample preparation methods employed. Acetone is applied as a rinsing and drying reagent for the glass diffusion cells of which there is likely a residual amount in the cell after cleaning. This residual acetone will react with NO\(_2\) in the presence of oxygen to form PAN when irradiated by a UV light source (Warneck and Zerbach, 1992b; Volz-Thomas et al., 2002).

The presence of a similar amount of PAN in each single-component mixture, chloride and ketone precursors alike, is consistent with PAN production via the contaminant.

According to the expected I\(^-\) CIMS ion chemistry, PAN (m/z 59), APAN (m/z 71), and PPN (m/z 73) are detected at unique m/z ratios. MPAN (m/z 85) and CPAN (m/z 85), however, yield isobaric products and are therefore inseparable using I\(^-\) CIMS. Aside from the previously discussed PAN production, photoproduction of PPN, APAN, and MPAN all yield a single product ion as expected. However, in the case of the CPAN source, via crotonyl chloride, two peaks are observed at m/z 85 eluding at different retention times (\(t_r \sim 9\) and 14 min). It is our belief that these peaks represent the cis-CPAN (m/z 85, \(t_r = 9\) min) and trans-CPAN (m/z 85, \(t_r = 14\) min) isomers. Considering the NO\(_y\) obser-
The data contained in the top panel of Fig. 2 can be used to determine the sensitivity of $\Gamma^-$ CIMS to APN detection. The area of each observed peak, measured using CIMS and NO$_y$, was determined by applying an exponentially modified Gaussian peak-fitting routine. After accounting for dilution, the $\Gamma^-$ CIMS sensitivity (Hz ppbv$^{-1}$) was determined as the ratio of the CIMS-measured signal to the NO$_y$ concentration for each corresponding peak. Normalized (10$^6$ cps $\Gamma^-$, $m/z$ 127) calibration factors calculated in this manner are reported in Table 1 for each of the APN products observed. Also included in Table 1 are calibration factors reported relative to the measured PAN sensitivity and instrumental detection limits (3$\sigma$).

### 3.2 Thermo-dissociation of APNs

In order to probe the sensitivity of the iodide CIMS to the various APN species as a function of dissociation temperature, a series of measurements were made at various inlet dissociator temperatures ranging from 70 to 200°C. In these experiments, the APN mixture (PAN, APAN, MPAN, PPN, CPAN) was photoreacted, injected onto the GC column, and subsequently analyzed via $\Gamma^-$ CIMS at various inlet dissociator temperatures. The total inlet flow was 2.2 slpm, yielding an inlet residence time of 0.25 s in the heated region. Unfortunately the gas temperature in the dissociator is not monitored, which would serve as a better metric for the amount of energy in the dissociator than the external temperature reported here.

Eluting peak areas at a given inlet dissociation temperature for each $m/z$ were calculated (Fig. 3a for PAN, $m/z$ 59), normalized to the maximum observed signal throughout the temperature range, and plotted versus inlet dissociator temperature (Fig. 3b). Full thermal-dissociation profiles for the various APN species measured are shown in Fig. 3b. This dependence of TD-CIMS sensitivity on the inlet dissociator temperature has been previously reported in multiple studies (Mielke and Osthoff, 2012; Furgeson et al., 2011; Zheng et al., 2011). The sensitivity dependence reported in this work is specific to this particular inlet design; is largely controlled by a combination of the residence time, temperature, and pressure field in the inlet dissociator; and is system specific. These experiments should be repeated on each individual instrument to better understand the effect of inlet dissociator conditions on measurement sensitivity.

The information in Fig. 3b serves as an aid for determining optimal inlet dissociation temperatures for various applications. In this system, the most efficient detection of PAN, PPN, and APAN corresponds to an inlet temperature of 150°C. However, for measurement of MPAN and CPAN an inlet dissociation temperature of 130°C would result in improved sensitivity. It is an interesting result that the cis and trans isomers of CPAN show a marginally different temperature optimum. These results are consistent with the relative calibrations factors measured (Table 1), where at a thermal-

Figure 2. $\Gamma^-$ CIMS and baseline-corrected NO$_y$ measurements are shown for a single GC chromatogram of a calibration mixture containing all four precursor compounds (top panel). $\Gamma^-$ CIMS measurements of individual calibration mixtures for each of the precursor compounds included in Table 1 (bottom four panels).
dissociation temperature of 150°C the detection of trans-CPAN is more sensitive than cis-CPAN. Similarly, from the temperature profiles in Fig. 3, one would expect PAN, APAN, and PPN to be detected with similar efficiencies at 150°C, while detection of MPAN should be significantly reduced in comparison. This is clearly reflected in the measured calibration factors displayed in Table 1.

Unfortunately, MPAN is detected at the same product ion as CPAN (m/z 85), preventing the attribution of that mass to either species unambiguously. It may however be possible to utilize the inlet dissociation temperature as a method of discrimination between these species. At the concentrations used in this study, operation at an inlet dissociator temperature of 180°C would reduce the sensitivity of MPAN to levels below the detection limit while allowing for detection of trans-CPAN. It is therefore possible that periodically modulating the inlet temperature during measurement to 180°C could be used as a method of zeroing the MPAN and cis-CPAN contributions to m/z 85. Using this method, and assuming a racemic CPAN mixture in the atmospheric, one could potentially determine both MPAN and CPAN (the sum of the cis and trans isomer) concentrations during ambient measurement. Optimization of the inlet dissociator geometry and operating conditions could potentially improve the thermal separation in the relative sensitivities, further aiding in the separation of MPAN and CPAN.

4 Conclusions

In this work we have detailed a new method for the production and calibration of APNs. This method provides a simplified alternative to liquid-based synthesis of some of the less-well-studied APN species, e.g., APAN, MPAN, and CPAN. Due to the ease of APN production, it may be possible to improve on the methodology presented here to develop a field-deployable calibration system. Unfortunately, due to impurities formed in the photolysis of acyl chloride compounds this method necessitates the use of a prep-scale GC, which may be limiting. However, this ease of operation and ability to generate simultaneous APN calibrations makes this technique ideal for a laboratory setting. Although not shown here, this method should be compatible with GC–ECD (electron capture detector) systems provided that pre-separation is used to prevent exposure of the ECD system to any chlorinated starting materials and associated photoproducts.
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