A semi-automated system for quantifying the oxidative potential of ambient particles in aqueous extracts using the dithiothreitol (DTT) assay: results from the Southeastern Center for Air Pollution and Epidemiology (SCAPE)
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Abstract. A variety of methods are used to measure the capability of particulate matter (PM) to catalytically generate reactive oxygen species (ROS) in vivo, also defined as the aerosol oxidative potential. A widely used measure of aerosol oxidative potential is the dithiothreitol (DTT) assay, which monitors the depletion of DTT (a surrogate for cellular antioxidants) as catalyzed by the redox-active species in PM. However, a major constraint in the routine use of the DTT assay for integrating it with large-scale health studies is its labor-intensive and time-consuming protocol. To specifically address this concern, we have developed a semi-automated system for quantifying the oxidative potential of aerosol liquid extracts using the DTT assay. The system, capable of unattended analysis at one sample per hour, has a high analytical precision (coefficient of variation of 15 % for positive control, 4 % for ambient samples) and reasonably low limit of detection (0.31 nmol min$^{-1}$). Comparison of the automated approach with the manual method conducted on ambient samples yielded good agreement (slope $= 1.08 \pm 0.12$, $r^2 = 0.92$, $N = 9$). The system was utilized for the Southeastern Center for Air Pollution & Epidemiology (SCAPE) to generate an extensive data set on DTT activity of ambient particles collected from contrasting environments (urban, roadside, and rural) in the southeastern US. We find that water-soluble PM$_{2.5}$ DTT activity on a per-air-volume basis was spatially uniform and often well correlated with PM$_{2.5}$ mass ($r = 0.49$ to 0.88), suggesting regional sources contributing to the PM oxidative potential in the southeastern US. The correlation may also suggest a mechanistic explanation (oxidative stress) for observed PM$_{2.5}$ mass-health associations. The heterogeneity in the intrinsic DTT activity (per-PM-mass basis) across seasons indicates variability in the DTT activity associated with aerosols from sources that vary with season. Although developed for the DTT assay, the instrument can also be used to determine oxidative potential with other acellular assays.

1 Introduction

Epidemiological studies have associated increases in particulate matter (PM) levels with exacerbation of cardiovascular diseases (Zanobetti et al., 2014; Sun et al., 2010; Pope et al., 2004; Samet et al., 2000) and elevated incidence of respiratory disorders such as airway inflammation, bronchial muscle contraction, and asthma (Harkema et al., 2004; Schaumann et al., 2004; Aust et al., 2002; Norris et al., 1999). The mechanisms underlying these associations are not entirely clear, but reactive oxygen species (ROS) have been identified as a class of molecules that induce oxidative stress, causing cell damage (Nel, 2005; Gurgueira et al., 2002). ROS can either be adsorbed on inhaled particles or generated in vivo by target cells such as airway epithelial cells and macrophages (Li et al., 2003; Nel et al., 1998). These findings imply that aerosol oxidative potential, i.e., the ability of ambient particles to generate ROS, may be a more relevant measurement than PM mass concentration, or concentrations of specific aerosol
chemical components, when attempting to link aerosols and health endpoints.

Both cellular (Xia et al., 2006; Kubátová et al., 2006; Bonvallot et al., 2001; Hiura et al., 1999; Antonini et al., 1998) and acellular methods (Zomer et al., 2011; Mudway et al., 2011; Jung et al., 2006; Cho et al., 2005; Venkatachari et al., 2005; Frampton et al., 1999) have been developed to measure the oxidative potential of PM. Both types of methods have advantages and disadvantages. Acellular methods require less-controlled environments and provide faster readouts of PM oxidative potential. One of the most widely used cell-free measures of particles oxidative potential is the DTT (dithiothreitol, \( \text{HSCH}_2(\text{CH(OH)})_2\text{SH} \)) assay (Charrier and Anastasio, 2012; Verma et al., 2012, 2009a; Cho et al., 2005; Kumagai et al., 2002; Delfino et al., 2013). Typically, ROS in vivo are mainly produced in mitochondria and endoplasmic reticulum (ER) where molecular oxygen \( (O_2) \) are reduced to superoxide anion \( (O_2^-) \) by accepting electrons from cellular reductants, such as NADPH, or during the ER protein folding process (Alfadda and Sallam, 2012). The DTT assay simulates this electron-transfer mechanism based on the catalytic ability of redox-active species to transfer electrons from DTT to oxygen, and thus can be considered a surrogate measure of the in vivo capacity of PM to induce ROS. The rate of the reaction, commonly called DTT activity, is determined by measuring the consumption of DTT over time, which is proportional to the concentration of redox-active species in PM extracts. Researchers have identified various chemical components that may participate in the reaction, including polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) (Li et al., 2003), quinones (Chung et al., 2006; Kumagai et al., 2002), transition metals (Charrier and Anastasio, 2012), water-soluble organic carbon (WSOC) (Verma et al., 2009a; Cho et al., 2005), and HUmic-LIke Substances (HULIS) (Verma et al., 2012; Lin and Yu, 2011). However, a consensus on the relative contributions of these species in the overall DTT activity of ambient particles is currently lacking.

Studies have also reported the association between DTT activity of ambient particles and cellular biomarkers such as fractional exhaled nitric oxide (\( \text{FE}_{\text{NO}} \)) – a marker of airway inflammation (Delfino et al., 2013); heme oxygenase (HO-1) – an enzyme responsive to oxidative stress (Li et al., 2003); and 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT)-reduction activity (Steenhof et al., 2011). These studies suggest a plausible mechanistic link between DTT-assessed oxidative potential and adverse health effects of ambient particles. Routine DTT analysis as part of large-scale toxicological and health studies involving aerosol exposure is needed to further establish the health relevance of the DTT assay (and other chemical assays in general).

One major limitation in conducting the DTT assay on a large scale is its laborious and time-intensive analytical protocol, which requires precise handling practices at each step of the reaction for an accurate determination of the rate of DTT oxidation. It generally takes at least 5 h for a two-person team to analyze five samples manually, limiting the total number of samples that can be analyzed daily. Researchers have also developed alternative approaches to the traditional DTT protocol (Cho et al., 2005) for new applications. For example, a paper-based analytical device (\( \mu \text{PAD} \)) DTT assay was developed for personal exposure studies (Sameenoi et al., 2012b), and a microfluidic electrochemical sensor coupled with a particle-into-liquid sampler (PILS) was developed for real-time measurement of aerosol DTT activity (Koehler et al., 2014; Sameenoi et al., 2012a). We have developed a semi-automated system using programmable syringe pumps with selector valves for conducting the DTT assay on various extracts. The system is based on a simplified protocol in which the aerosol extract oxidizes DTT in a single vial. A small aliquot is withdrawn at various time intervals to determine the remaining DTT concentration and calculate the rate of DTT consumption. Particulate samples are extracted to an aqueous state and analyzed in batches using an autosampler at roughly 1 h per sample. The semi-automated system can run for 24 h unattended and can also be monitored remotely. Extraction liquids can be either deionized water or organic solvents; in the latter case the solvent is evaporated and reconstituted in deionized water. The system was validated against the manual protocol (Cho et al., 2005) using both positive controls and ambient filter samples. Detailed comparisons of the semi-automated system and traditional manual method are shown in Table S1 in the Supplement. The DTT activity of more than 500 samples collected from the southeastern United States as part of the Southeastern Center for Air Pollution and Epidemiology (SCAPE) were analyzed for this study. Here we provide a detailed description and characterization of the automated system and an overview of aerosol oxidative potential in the southeastern United States. Sources and components of PM that produce the DTT activity are presented in Verma et al. (2014).

2 Methods

2.1 Chemicals

Dithiothreitol (DTT), Tris base, dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), 5,5′-dithiobis-(2-nitrobenzoic acid) (DTNB), and 9,10-phenanthraquinone (PQN) were obtained from Sigma Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). Trichloroacetic acid (TCA, 10% \( w / v \)) was obtained from LabChem Inc. (Pittsburgh, PA, USA). Monopotassium phosphate (\( \text{KH}_2\text{PO}_4 \)), dipotassium phosphate (\( \text{K}_2\text{HPO}_4 \)), and ethylenediaminetetraacetate (EDTA) were obtained from VWR International LLC (West Chester, PA, USA). Details of the chemical preparation and storage are provided in the Supplement.
The DTT-buffer-sample mixture is incubated at 37°C. Then 0.5 mL of DTT (1 mM) is added to the incubation vial (Fig. 2, pump A) (Kloehn, Inc., Las Vegas, NV, USA) using a programmable syringe pump (sterile polypropylene centrifuge tube, VWR International LLC, Suwanee, GA, USA) with an optical path length of 100 mm. The waveguide is coupled to an online spectrophotometer (Ocean Optics, Inc., Dunedin, FL, USA), which included a ultraviolet–visible (UV–Vis) light source (Ocean Optics DT-Mini-2), and a multi-wavelength light detector (USB4000 Miniature Fiber Optic Spectrometer). Absorbance intensity at 412 and 700 nm (chosen as the baseline absorbance for TNB) are recorded every 2 s using data acquisition software (SpectraSuite). The system then performs a self-cleaning using deionized water (DI water, > 18 MΩ cm⁻¹) to eliminate any residual liquid in the reaction vial, tubing, syringes, and LWCC. This second step is repeated four more times, at specific time intervals (13, 23, 30, and 41 min), generating a total of 5 data points of remaining DTT concentration with time. Following this, the automated system again performs a self-cleaning routine (third step) to ensure no carry-over in the incubation vial due to catalytic reaction of DTT-active components of the aerosol extract.

In the second step (DTT determination step), at a specified time (4 min) following the completion of step one, a small aliquot (100 µL) of the incubated mixture is withdrawn and transferred to another centrifuge tube (referred to as “reaction vial”, wrapped in aluminum foil to prevent possible light interference), using pump B. This is mixed with 1 mL TCA (1 % w/v; the quenching agent), which had previously been added to the reaction vial by pump A. The quenched mixture with residual DTT is further mixed with 2 mL of Tris buffer (0.08 M with 4 mM EDTA) and 0.5 mL DTNB (0.2 mM) added by pump A. Reaction between the residual DTT and DTNB forms a light-absorbing product, 2-nitro-5-thiobenzoic acid (TNB), which has a high extinction coefficient of 14 150 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ at 412 nm wavelength. Pump A then withdraws the final mixture from the reaction vial and pushes it through a Liquid Waveguide Capillary Cell (LWCC-M-100; World Precision Instruments, Inc., FL, USA) for absorbance measurement at 412 nm. The absorbance of the quenched mixture is measured over time for a filter blank (panel a) and sample (panel b), obtained from the data acquisition software. The time intervals (4, 13, 23, 30, and 41 min) represent the incubation duration of DTT and sample in potassium phosphate buffer for each measurement. Withdrawal of the mixture containing TNB and pushing it through the LWCC (DTT determination step) causes the corresponding jumps in light absorbance at 412 nm (A₁, A₂, A₃, A₄, A₅ in Fig. 3a). After the absorbance measurement, pump A pushes DI water through the LWCC, which returns the absorbance back to the baseline value (i.e., absorbance at 412 nm equals zero).
Figure 3. Example of an absorbance plot for a filter blank (a) and an ambient aerosol sample (b).

absorbance at 700 nm; B₁, B₂, B₃, B₄, B₅ in Fig. 3a), thus generating a series of roughly square bars. A decreasing absorption intensity between successive measurements (A₁ > A₂ > A₃ > A₄ > A₅) for a sample reflects the DTT oxidation over time. During each specified DTT measurement time interval, absorbance decreases (angled top of square wave in Fig. 3); the average of the initial five absorbance data is taken as characteristic absorption for each interval. The rate of DTT consumption (σDTT, nmol min⁻¹) was determined from the slope and intercept of linear regression of measured absorbance versus time as follows:

\[ \sigma_{DTT} = -\sigma_{Abs} \cdot \frac{N_0}{Abs_0}, \]

where \( \sigma_{Abs} \) is the slope of absorbance versus time; \( Abs_0 \) is the initial absorbance calculated from the intercept of the linear regression of absorbance versus time; and \( N_0 \) is the initial moles of DTT added in the reaction vial. A steeper slope corresponds to a higher rate of DTT consumption. The final DTT activity for a sample was calculated by subtracting a blank value from the sample and normalized by sample air volume or particle overall mass (or mass of a specific component) represented by the sample in the incubation vial, expressed in the units of nmol min⁻¹ m⁻³ for volume-normalized DTT activity (DTTv), or nmol min⁻¹ µg⁻¹ for mass-normalized DTT activity (DTTm). DTTm represents the intrinsic property of particles linked to sources, while DTTv accounts for the emission rate, dilution, etc., and characterizes exposure to the aerosol. Thus,

\[ DTT \text{ activity} = \frac{\sigma_{DTT_{sample}} - \sigma_{DTT_{blank}}}{V_{\text{air}} (\text{or } M_{\text{particle}})} , \]

where \( V_{\text{air}} \) and \( M_{\text{particle}} \) are the ambient air volume (m³) and total PM mass (µg) represented by the sample in the incubation vial, respectively. For example, the total PM mass in the incubation vial for a sample with liquid concentration of 40 µg mL⁻¹ would be 140 µg (3.5 mL × 40 µg mL⁻¹).

2.3 Ambient sample collection and preparation

2.3.1 Sampling

For this study, ambient PM₂.₅ samples were collected over 23 h on prebaked quartz filters (Pallflex® Tissuquartz™, 8 × 10 inches) using high-volume (Hi-Vol) samplers (Thermo Anderson, flow rate normally 1.13 m³ min⁻¹) as part of SCAPE. One sampler was fixed at a stationary site, Jefferson Street (JST), a central site representative of the Atlanta urban environment, while the other sampler (Trailer) was rotated among a roadside (RS), a near-road (Georgia Tech – GT), and a rural site (Yorkville – YRK), sampling in parallel with the fixed monitoring station (JST). Site characteristics are as follows:

1. RS site was situated within 5 m of the interstate highway (I75/85) in midtown Atlanta and was chosen for capturing immediate traffic emissions;

2. GT site was located on the rooftop of the Ford Environmental Science and Technology building at Georgia Tech, Atlanta, roughly 30 m above ground level, 840 m from the RS site, providing an intermediate location between RS and the central urban site (JST);

3. YRK site was situated in an agricultural region located approximately 70 km west of JST, representative of a rural environment.

Other sites also include an urban site in Birmingham (BHM), Alabama, and its paired rural site, Centerville (CTR), Alabama. JST, YRK, BHM, and CTR are all part of the Southeastern Aerosol Research and Characterization Study (SEARCH) network sites (Hansen et al., 2003). A map of sampling sites is provided in the Supplement. Ambient particles were collected from June 2012 to September 2013. For two periods (November 2012 and April 2013), the trailer was located at the stationary JST site for side-by-side comparisons. Table 1 provides the sampling schedule and number of filters collected at each site. In total, 503 Hi-Vol filters were collected over 15 months. Three seasons are defined based on...
Table 1. Sampling schedule and number of Hi-Vol filters collected at each site from June 2012 to September 2013.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year/month season</th>
<th>Stationary site</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Trailer site</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2012/Jun–Jul summer</td>
<td>JST</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>YRK</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012/Jul–Aug summer</td>
<td>JST</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>GT</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012/Sep–Oct fall</td>
<td>JST</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>RS</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012/Nov</td>
<td>JST</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>JST</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012/Dec winter</td>
<td>JST</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>YRK</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013/Jan–Feb winter</td>
<td>JST</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>RS</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013/Mar winter</td>
<td>JST</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>GT</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013/Apr</td>
<td>JST</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>JST</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013/Jul–Aug summer</td>
<td>CTR</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>BHM</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013/Jul–Aug summer</td>
<td>GT</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>RS</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of filters</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>503</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The measured components include elemental carbon (EC; Sunset Laboratory OCEC analyzer), organic mass (OC \cdot 1.6; Turpin and Lim, 2001), water-soluble metals (measured by X-ray fluorescence method, XRF), and ammonium sulfate (assuming sulfate and ammonium are all (NH₄)₂SO₄ (Zhang et al., 2010), where sulfate was calculated from sulfur measured by XRF). Further description of the analytical procedure for each method will be reported in subsequent publications on the chemical data (Verma et al., 2014; Fang et al., 2015).

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Automated system performance

Performance of the automated system was assessed by conducting tests to determine instrument response, limit of detection (LOD), precision, and accuracy using both positive controls and ambient particles.

3.1.1 Automated system response to individual compound

PQN has been shown to be capable of catalyzing the oxidation of DTT (Li et al., 2009; Kumagai et al., 2002), although it is not highly water-soluble. The automated system was assessed for linearity with PQN (Fig. 4). The x axis intercept (0.11 nmol mL⁻¹ in the incubation vial) represents the minimum concentration of PQN required to produce a measurable signal on the system with a pure water blank subtracted. As shown, the response of the system to PQN is highly linear with a correlation coefficient (r²) of 0.95. At least one PQN per ambient sample batch (typically 12 samples) is used as a positive control to ensure the consistency of the system.
3.1.2 Limit of detection

The limit of detection (LOD) of the system, defined as 3 times the standard deviation of DI water blanks (N = 37), is 0.31 nmol min\(^{-1}\). Expressing the LOD in terms of the PM concentration of the sample extract (µg mL\(^{-1}\)) is not straightforward as it depends on many factors, including extraction efficiency and the relative fractions of DTT-active components in ambient particles. Based on the analysis of 503 Hi-Vol samples in the present study from different sites (urban, rural, and roadside), approximately 100 µg of PM\(_{2.5}\) mass loading on the filter, extracted in 5 mL of DI water, was sufficient to have reliable results above LOD. The upper limit of the PM concentration is also constrained such that the DTT consumption remains less than 50 % of the initial concentration to ensure the pseudo-1st-order reaction of the DTT oxidation. For most of the PM samples collected, roughly 1/28 of the area (typical PM\(_{2.5}\) mass loading = 0.2–1 mg) of a Hi-Vol filter, extracted in 15 mL of DI water, yielded a DTT consumption rate within these limits (0.8–2.6 nmol min\(^{-1}\)). In rare cases (< 10 %) of the DTT consumption exceeding 50 %, only the initial consumption data points (at least 3) were used for the rate calculation.

3.1.3 Precision

The precision of the automated system for ambient samples was assessed by separately extracting seven different equal sections (1/28 each) of the same Hi-Vol filter in 15 mL of DI water and analyzed for DTT activity. A low standard deviation of 0.081 nmol min\(^{-1}\) (coefficient of variation, CV = 4 %) indicates sufficiently high precision of the system for ambient samples.

3.1.4 Accuracy

The system was validated for accuracy by comparing the DTT activity of both positive controls and ambient PM samples obtained from the automated approach with that from the same experimental protocol performed manually (Cho et al., 2005).

Five replicates of the PQN solutions (0.21 nmol mL\(^{-1}\) in the incubation vial) were run both on the automated system and manually. The DTT consumption rate obtained from the automated system (mean ± SD of 0.77 ± 0.03 nmol min\(^{-1}\), CV = 4.24 %) was very close to that from the manual operation (0.74 ± 0.03 nmol min\(^{-1}\), CV = 3.97 %). As further validation, nine ambient PM samples were analyzed for DTT activity by both manual and automated approach. As shown in Fig. 5, an orthogonal fit yielded a slope (automated/manual) of 1.08 ± 0.12, intercept close to 0 (−0.02 ± 0.03 nmol min\(^{-1}\) m\(^{-3}\)), and correlation coefficient \((r^2)\) of 0.92. Further, a paired test shows no significant difference between the results obtained by the two methods \((t(8) < t_{\text{critical}}, p = 0.05)\).

These tests demonstrate the robustness of the instrument as a viable alternative to the manual DTT assay, making it useful for rapid and high-throughput sample analysis for large-scale studies.

3.2 Field evaluation of the automated system

SCAPE was a coordinated multi-investigator effort to characterize ambient gas–particle mixtures in the southeastern US, to elucidate their sources, and to assess their impacts on human health. The automated system was used to measure DTT activity on the set of samples (N = 503) collected from multiple sites during SCAPE. Multiple DI water blanks (N = 37) and PQN solutions (N = 55), in addition to field blanks (N = 63) collected during the sampling, were analyzed along with the PM samples. This project provided an ideal opportunity for the field evaluation of the semi-automated DTT assay instrument. Table 2 summarizes the performance of the sys-
Table 2. Performance of the automated system as assessed by consistency of DTT consumption rate for blanks and positive controls.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Standard deviation, nmol/min</th>
<th>%CV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DI blank</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>28.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field blank extracted in DI water</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>31.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive controls (9,10-phenanthrenequinone)</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Coefficient of variation (%CV) = SD/Avg · 100

The overall precision of the paired ambient sampling method utilized in this study was further assessed by comparing the DTT activity of PM$_{2.5}$ samples collected simultaneously at JST using two Hi-Vol samplers in November 2012 and April 2013 (shown in Fig. 6). The orthogonal regression yields a slope of 1.03 ± 0.05, with an intercept of 0.02 ± 0.01 nmol min$^{-1}$ m$^{-3}$, and $r^2 = 0.96$. Considering the combined uncertainties from sample collection, pretreatment, and extraction, the good agreement between the two sampling systems demonstrates a high overall precision of DTT measurement for ambient samples.

3.2.2 DTT activity of ambient samples

The time series of both water-soluble, volume-normalized (DTTv) and water-soluble, mass-normalized (DTTm) DTT activity are shown in Fig. 7a and b, respectively. Comparing the time series of DTTv (Fig. 7a) between paired sites shows that there is generally little divergence between sites, with the exception of the JST–YRK (urban–rural) pair in winter. Some of this uniformity is due to the 23 h integrated sampling time of the Hi-Vol filters, which dampens any diurnal variability in the emission sources contributing to PM oxidative potential. Figure 8 shows the distribution of water-soluble DTTv and DTTm data of ambient PM$_{2.5}$ obtained in our study in comparison with those from other studies (Charrier and Anastasio, 2012; Verma et al., 2009b; Hu et al., 2008; Ntziachristos et al., 2007; Cho et al., 2005). Our DTTv data (Fig. 8a) are in the range reported in other studies, with the exception of RS (measurements made adjacent to a high-traffic highway), which is lower in the present study. However, comparing the DTTm levels, RS DTTm are well within the typical range of other studies, and thus our lower DTTv levels are most probably attributed to higher PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations reported in other studies sampling by roadways. Our study generally shows a broader span for urban and rural sites than reported previously, which is likely due to the much larger data set collected over a yearlong period that captured a wider range of sources and ambient conditions.

3.2.3 Seasonal and spatial variability

The spatiotemporal variability of DTTv can be used to assess the exposure to total water-soluble DTT-active species in different seasons and sites. Since DTTm is represented by DTTv divided by PM$_{2.5}$ mass concentration, which is the intrinsic property of aerosol independent of exposure levels, the spatiotemporal variability of DTTm provides information on the variation of DTT-active chemical components. Seasonal and spatial variability of aerosol oxidative potential (both DTTv and DTTm) in the southeastern US was assessed by analysis of variance (ANOVA) tests and coefficient of divergence (COD), respectively. The ANOVA tests were used to assess differences between seasons at a given site (Supplement, Tables S2 and S3), and the COD (Wilson et al., 2005) was calculated to assess spatial variability (see Table 3). The COD is calculated as follows:

$$
\text{COD} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( \frac{c_{ij} - c_{ik}}{c_{ij} + c_{ik}} \right)^2},
$$

where $c_{ij}$ and $c_{ik}$ are the 23h averaged DTT activity (nmol min$^{-1}$ m$^{-3}$) measured at site $j$ and $k$, respectively, and
Table 3. Spatial heterogeneity of DTTv and DTTm assessed by coefficient of divergence (COD).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Season</th>
<th>Paired sites</th>
<th>CODv (DTTv)</th>
<th>CODm (DTTm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Summer</td>
<td>JST–YRK</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer</td>
<td>JST–GT</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall</td>
<td>JST–RS</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winter</td>
<td>JST–YRK</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winter</td>
<td>JST–RS</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winter</td>
<td>JST–GT</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer 2013</td>
<td>BHM–CTR</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2013</td>
<td>GT–RS</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N is the sample size. COD ranges from 0 to 1, with values close to 0 representing a homogenous distribution and those near 1 indicating heterogeneity.

Based on ANOVA tests, there was high heterogeneity across seasons for DTTv at JST ($p = 0.01$; see Supplement, Table S2), with the highest DTTv in winter (December/winter/June (summer) = 1.51), while there was no significant seasonal variation observed at YRK, GT, or the RS site ($p > 0.01$). In comparison to DTTv, there are greater seasonal variations in DTTm; for example, average DTTm at most sites showed much higher levels in winter than summer and fall (winter/summer = 1.4, 1.2, and 2.2 for YRK, GT, and JST, respectively). ANOVA tests also validated the pronounced seasonal differences for DTTv and DTTm at JST and DTTm at other sites ($p < 0.01$; see Supplement, Tables S2 and S3). The higher seasonal differences in DTTm may suggest that the specific chemical components that contribute to the oxidative potential of particles vary between seasons and originate from different sources.

Relatively low levels of the CODs ($< 0.25$) (Table 3) found for both DTTv and DTTm at paired sites indicate spatial
homogeneity of water-soluble aerosol oxidative potential in the region, suggesting dominant DTT activity sources are regional in nature, such as SOA (secondary organic aerosol) or a well-mixed atmosphere of emissions from distant sources (e.g., long-range transport). Note that JST–RS and GT–RS pairs, in both fall seasons, show slightly lower CODs (more uniformity) in DTTv than in DTTm (COD for DTTv = 0.13 and 0.18 compared to COD for DTTm = 0.23 and 0.31 for JST–RS and GT–RS, respectively). This indicates that, although there may be unique local sources at the RS site, for example primary vehicle emissions and re-suspended dust, their overall contribution was not substantial compared to the regional DTT signal and so was not clearly resolved.

3.2.4 Oxidative potential and PM$_{2.5}$ mass

Figure 9 shows the correlation between DTT activity (DTTv) and PM$_{2.5}$ mass concentrations for all the sites and seasons. DTTv correlates with PM concentration in various degrees (Pearson’s $r = 0.49$–0.88), but generally the correlations are high for most sites. For JST, the representative urban site, Pearson’s $r$ is particularly high ($r = 0.76$–0.82) in all seasons. Lowest DTTv–PM$_{2.5}$ mass correlations were at the roadside site, probably due to the influence of unique RS sources that contributed to mass but not significantly to DTT activity (average PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations are 8.7 and 8.2 µg m$^{-3}$ at JST compared to 10.4 and 9.5 µg m$^{-3}$ at RS in fall and winter, respectively). It is important to note that, despite the significant correlation, the slope for DTT activity versus PM$_{2.5}$ mass varies among different sites and seasons. Overall, we attribute the variation in degree of association between DTT activity and PM$_{2.5}$ mass to the varying PM chemical composition.

For example, DTT activity correlates with WSOC in summer ($R > 0.67$) but correlates better with brown carbon in winter ($R > 0.78$). Further investigation on identifying the specific sources and aerosol chemical components linked to PM oxidative potential was discussed in Verma et al. (2014). Studies have shown a correlation between PM$_{2.5}$ mass and health endpoints – such as heart rate variability (HRV), blood neutrophils, and lipid changes (Tong et al., 2012) – increased oxidative DNA damage and nucleobases (Peter and Steffen, 2010), and cardiovascular mortality (Gholampour et al., 2014). The overall correlation between water-soluble DTT activity and PM$_{2.5}$ mass concentration observed in this study may help explain, at least in part, some of these associations. However, the varying degree of correlation between DTT activity and PM$_{2.5}$ mass at different sites and seasons suggests that there are additional advantages of including PM oxidative potential in health studies, rather than relying on PM mass alone.
Figure 9. Correlation (Pearson’s $r$) between water-soluble DTT activity (DTTv) and PM$_{2.5}$ mass concentration at JST (urban), YRK (rural), RS (roadside), GT (near-road), CTR (rural), and BHM (urban) sites.

4 Conclusions

An automated analytical system for quantifying the oxidative potential of aerosol liquid extracts using the DTT assay was developed. The system follows the analytical approach developed by Cho et al. (2005) and is capable of one DTT activity measurement per hour. The system response was assessed by PQN, which was used as a positive control when running a series of ambient samples. The method LOD was 0.31 nmol min$^{-1}$. Analytical precision based on both PQN (CV = 15%) and ambient samples (CV = 4%) was high. The instrument was further validated for accuracy by comparing with the manual procedure using ambient PM samples ($r^2 = 0.92$, slope = 1.08 ± 0.12). The suitability of the system for large-scale application was assessed by analyzing more than 500 filters collected in the southeastern US as part of SCAPE, the joint Emory–Georgia Tech Clean Air Research Center. The data show that water-soluble PM$_{2.5}$ DTT activity on a per-volume-of-air basis was spatially uniform and generally correlated with PM$_{2.5}$ mass ($r = 0.49$ to 0.88), indicating DTT activity in the southeast is likely, to a significant extent, related to regional sources and not dominated by a single source or a limited number of species. However, the higher seasonal heterogeneity in the intrinsic water-soluble DTT activity (per-PM-mass basis) may indicate that the dominant regional sources change with season. More in-depth analysis of the extensive data set generated with the instrument will be forthcoming. It is noted that the method can be altered to run smaller sample volumes, for situations involving samples of lower mass loadings. It has also been modified for ROS analysis using other assays (e.g., ascorbate depletion assay). The automated system presents a useful new tool for rapid and high-throughput measurement of the DTT activity of ambient particle extracts. Its application can facilitate routine use of PM oxidative potential in toxicological, panel exposures, and epidemiological studies. More work is required to identify specific compounds that are most sensitive in the DTT probe and test how they may contribute to the observed ambient DTT activity. Application of the DTT probe and other acellular and cellular assays in different regions that have a different mix of emission sources is also needed to better understand the possible links between aerosol oxidative potential and health endpoints. The automated DTT assay analytical instrument described here would facilitate these types of future studies. Finally, although the analytical system can provide new insight on aerosol oxidative properties from large data sets, it does not solve limitations associated with filter-based particle collection approaches, which entail artifacts due to losses of semivolatile DTT-active species during sampling and handling procedures. Adapting the DTT assay to an online system could limit sampling artifacts and provide new insights into DTT sources and atmospheric processing that more highly time-resolved data can provide.
The Supplement related to this article is available online at doi:10.5194/amt-8-471-2015-supplement.
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