Retrieval of aerosol optical depth in the visible range with a Brewer spectrophotometer in Athens

Henri Diémoz1,2, Kostas Eleftheratos3,4, Stelios Kazadzis5, Vassilis Amiridis6, and Christos S. Zerefos4,7

1ARPA Valle d’Aosta, Saint-Christophe, Italy
2Institute of Atmospheric Science and Climate, CNR, Rome, Italy
3Faculty of Geology and Geoenvironment, University of Athens, Athens, Greece
4Biomedical Research Foundation, Academy of Athens, Athens, Greece
5PMOD/WRC, Davos Dorf, Switzerland
6National Observatory of Athens, Athens, Greece
7Navarino Environmental Observatory, Messinia, Greece

Correspondence to: Henri Diémoz (h.diemoz@arpa.vda.it)

Received: 26 October 2015 – Published in Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss.: 18 January 2016
Revised: 11 April 2016 – Accepted: 12 April 2016 – Published: 28 April 2016

Abstract. A MkIV Brewer spectrophotometer has been operating in Athens since 2004. Direct-sun measurements originally scheduled for nitrogen dioxide retrievals were reprocessed to provide aerosol optical depths (AODs) at a wavelength of about 440 nm. A novel retrieval algorithm was specifically developed and the resulting AODs were compared to those obtained from a collocated Cimel filter radiometer belonging to the Aerosol Robotic Network (AERONET). The series are perfectly correlated, with Pearson’s correlation coefficients being as large as 0.996 and with 90 % of AOD deviations between the two instruments being within the World Meteorological Organisation (WMO) traceability limits. In order to reach such a high agreement, several instrumental factors impacting the quality of the Brewer retrievals must be taken into account, including sensitivity to the internal temperature, and the state of the external optics and pointing accuracy must be carefully checked. Furthermore, the long-term radiometric stability of the Brewer was investigated and the performances of in situ Langley extrapolations as a way to track the absolute calibration of the Brewer were assessed. Other sources of error, such as slight shifts of the wavelength scale, are discussed and some recommendations to Brewer operators are drawn. Although MkIV Brewers are rarely employed to retrieve AODs in the visible range, they represent a key source of information about aerosol changes in the past three decades and a potential worldwide network for present and future coordinated AOD measurements. Moreover, a better understanding of the AOD retrieval at visible wavelengths will also contribute in improving similar techniques in the more challenging UV range.

1 Introduction

Aerosol particles emitted in the atmosphere from anthropogenic and natural sources have been recognised as one of the main drivers of climate change and the largest contributors to its uncertainty (IPCC, 2014, and references therein). Indeed, through their ability to absorb and scatter solar radiation (direct effect) and to act as cloud condensation nuclei (indirect effect), they impact the Earth’s radiative budget and the hydrological cycle (e.g. Lohmann and Feichter, 2005; Levy et al., 2013). Moreover, since aerosols adversely affect human health (WHO, 2006), their concentration in the lower atmosphere represents a key factor in air-quality management, influencing decisions of policy makers especially in large cities and polluted areas.

Long-term and accurate data sets of column-integrated measurements are critical for better understanding the role of aerosols on the energy balance of the planet (Wild, 2009) and thus to estimate future climate scenarios. However, since aerosol properties are highly variable in both space and time, care must be taken to ensure adequate spatial representative-
ness of the analysed series. In this regard, space-borne radiometers have been deployed to assess a global aerosol climatology (Torres et al., 2002; Mishchenko et al., 2007; Li et al., 2009) and ground-based networks of sun photometers, such as the Aerosol Robotic Network (AERONET; Holben et al., 1998), the Sky Radiometer Network (SKYNET; Takamura et al., 2004) and the Global Atmosphere Watch Precision Filter Radiometer network (GAW-PFR; Wehrli, 2005), have been developed. The most advanced techniques allow for deriving a great variety of aerosol products (e.g. single scattering albedo, refractive index, phase function, size distribution), which are needed to study the microphysical–chemical properties of the particles and their interaction with radiation, but all networks provide, at least, information about the aerosol optical depth (AOD). The latter serves as a concise index of the atmospheric turbidity, and thus of the aerosol burden, and can be simply determined by estimating the attenuation of the direct solar beam throughout the atmosphere compared to the exoatmospheric irradiance (Langley, 1903).

Although conceived for column ozone concentration measurements, the Brewer spectrophotometer (Kerr et al., 1985) (hereinafter simply referred to as “the Brewer”) has been used for almost two decades to estimate the AOD (e.g. Bais, 1997; Marenco et al., 1997; Carvalho and Henriques, 2000) in both the ultraviolet (UV) range (all models) and the visible part of the solar spectrum (MkIV and MkV models only). At least two hundred Brewer spectrophotometers are operating all over the world (Kumharn et al., 2012), thus constituting a dense network that can be profitably exploited to gain valuable past and present information about aerosols. To this end, the efforts in the framework of a recently started COST Action (EUBREWNET, http://www.eubrewnet.org/cost1207/) are focusing, among other topics, on standardising the AOD retrieval algorithm and developing the necessary quality assurance/quality control protocols. For instance, at the moment no standard algorithm or reliable travelling reference (as available for ozone concentration) are ready to calculate the AOD and check the calibration of the Brewers worldwide. As a consequence, every user has to adopt their own AOD retrieval technique and the procedures to track the radiometric stability of his instrument.

Whilst extensive literature about AOD estimates with the Brewer in the UV band is available (e.g. Gröbner et al., 2001; Kerr, 2002; Marenco et al., 2002; Cheymol and De Backer, 2003; Arola and Koskela, 2004; Kazadzis et al., 2005; Cheymol et al., 2006, 2009; De Bock et al., 2010, 2014), only one work exists to our knowledge which employs measurements of visible radiation (Gröbner and Meleti, 2004). Although this imbalance is likely to be ascribed to the predominant interest in the aerosol properties in the UV region, several factors could justify further investigation of AODs derived at visible wavelengths. First, about 80 MkIV Brewer spectrophotometers, operating in the 425–453 nm waveband, have been used worldwide (Kipp&Zonen, personal communication, 2015) and long-term records have been collected at their stations. Furthermore, the measuring spectral range of MkIV Brewers nicely overlaps with the operating wavelengths of other sun photometers, thus avoiding the need of extrapolations in order to compare different kind of instruments. Finally, measuring AOD in the blue band is, in principle, simpler than in the UV, since several instrumental issues, such as the effects of spectral stray light, finite bandwidth (Slusser et al., 2000) and diffuse radiation entering the field of view (FOV) due to the aerosol forward scattering peak (Russell et al., 2004), are reduced at larger wavelengths. Therefore, a better understanding of AOD retrieval in the visible range may be a first step and a useful test bench towards improving AOD estimates at smaller (and more demanding) wavelengths.

The present paper concerns AOD measurements in the blue region obtained with a MkIV Brewer in Athens since 2004. Several reasons make this location optimal for the study. First, both the concentration and the aerosol types in Athens are of great variety (Sect. 2.1) and interesting correlations have been recently found between the economic recession (from 2008 onward) and the concentration of pollutant trace gases in Athens (Vrekoussis et al., 2013). Second, the Brewer is installed beside a Cimel photometer, operating since 2008 in the framework of AERONET. Hence, not only can this Brewer be effectively compared for the first time and for a long period to a well-maintained reference photometer, but it can also be used as a backup instrument to fill the gaps in the Cimel data set (e.g. when the Cimel was not working or was uninstalled for regular calibration) and to extend the AOD series by four years prior to the Cimel installation (e.g. before the period of the economic recession).

It is important to note the objective of this analysis and how it is different from previous such works. Our main aim is to advance the knowledge on the capability of the Brewer spectrophotometers to measure AOD in the visible part of the solar spectrum. We propose a new retrieval algorithm, based on state-of-the-art corrections from current knowledge, to estimate AOD using the Brewers through calibration with standard AOD instruments such as the Cimel. For the first time we are applying the proposed algorithm to reprocess the multi-year AOD record collected by the Athens Brewer and retrieve the AOD column at about 440 nm, by transferring the calibration constants from a reference AERONET Cimel instrument, which has been operating next to the Brewer over the past seven years. The proposed method is useful in cases when no a priori information about the Brewer AOD stability or presence of clouds is available or when the Brewers are operating in polluted regions such as Athens. It can be used by Brewer operators who want to calibrate their Brewer AOD with Cimel reference measurements as well as by users who operate Brewer and Cimel instruments in parallel and who would like to test the ability of their Brewers to retrieve the AOD in periods where their Cimel instrument is not operating. The study also describes the general principles of retriev-
ing AOD using the Brewers; thus it is also useful for MkIV Brewer operators who want to estimate the AOD at their sites in cases where they do not apply their own AOD retrieval technique. The exploitation of the capability of MkIV Brewers to retrieve AOD based on the AERONET direct-sun processing scheme and the detailed description of the potentials, limitations and uncertainties of MkIV Brewers in retrieving AOD in the visible range distinguish this work from previous ones.

The paper is structured as follows. Section 2 introduces the measurement location, instruments and data sets employed in the study; Sect. 3 describes the updated algorithm; factors affecting the retrieval are examined in detail in Sect. 4; the overall performance of the Brewers is then summarised and some recommendations for Brewer operators are formulated in Sect. 5. Finally, conclusions are drawn in Sect. 6.

2 Instruments and data

2.1 Experimental site

The instruments described in this study are operated on the roof of the Biomedical Research Foundation of the Academy of Athens in Greece (38.0° N, 23.8° E, 130 m a.s.l). The campus is located in a green area at a distance of about 4 km from the centre of the city and is thus partly influenced by urban emissions. Due to the distance to the department offices, the operator in charge of the Brewer can access the station only once or twice a week, e.g. to clean the external optics and check the instrument for proper operation. Aerosol conditions in Athens have been addressed in previous studies and have been found to range from relatively clean maritime conditions to long-range desert dust transport episodes, smoke advection from forest fires and small particles typical of an urban and industrialised environment (e.g. Pissimanis et al., 1994; Eleftheriadis et al., 1998; Colbeck et al., 2002; Adamopoulos et al., 2007; Tsaknakis et al., 2011; Kaskaoutis et al., 2007; Kosmopoulos et al., 2008; Liu et al., 2009; Gerasopoulos et al., 2011; Amiridis et al., 2012).

2.2 Brewer spectrophotometer

A MkIV Brewer spectrophotometer (serial number #001) has been operating at the measurement site since 2004. The instrument, being a dual Brewer, is able to retrieve the column concentrations of both ozone, from measurements of UV irradiance (306–320 nm, o3 mode), and nitrogen dioxide in the visible band (425–453 nm, n2 mode) (Diémoz et al., 2014). When performing direct-sun (DS) measurements, the ones used in the present study, the tracker turns the spectrometer towards the sun and the solar beam penetrates the instrument through a flat quartz window. Direct-sun measurements are restricted to solar zenith angles (SZAs) lower than 78° due to possible shadows by the border of the window. Light is then attenuated by a set of filters installed in the fore-optics, which have a thickness that is selected to maintain the detector in a linear working regime. Although often assumed to be neutral (as in the standard ozone and nitrogen dioxide retrievals), these filters are actually known to introduce a slight spectral absorption which must be considered (Sect. 3). The light beam enters a single monochromator and is then projected onto a holographic plane diffraction grating, which acts as the dispersing element. The grating, rotated by a high-precision stepper motor, is used at the third order in the UV and at the second order in the visible spectrum. The polychromatic light emerging from the grating leaves the monochromator through a set of slits, alternately opened by a rotating slitmask, which ensures near-simultaneous (i.e. within about 1.6 s) measurements at up to six different wavelengths with a resolution ranging from 0.6 to 0.9 nm. A dispersion test with an accurate fitting function (Gröbner et al., 1998) was performed to determine the operating wavelengths and resolutions at the default grating position when the Brewer is in n2 mode (Table 1). The results of the dispersion test were also taken into account to correctly downscale the cross sections of the atmospheric absorbers used within the AOD calculation to the instrumental resolution. A photomultiplier tube (PMT) is employed to provide photon counts, which are proportional to the irradiance entering the instrument at each wavelength. A DS sequence consists of 5 sets of 20 cycles of measurements, which are then averaged to increase the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR). In the present work, however, the five sets have been analysed as separate measurements to allow a better cloud screening, since the SNR was already considered satisfactory before averaging due to the fact that more photons are available at visible wavelengths compared to the UV.

2.3 Cimel sun-sky photometers

Three Cimel CE-318 photometers were installed in succession at the same site since 2008: #440 (2008–2012), #111 (2013–2014) and #395 (2014–present). Slight variations of the photometer measuring wavelengths in each instrument were taken into account in the comparison with the Brewer. The operating wavelengths of photometer #395 are listed in Table 2 as an example. The nominal resolution of each

Table 1. Measuring wavelengths and resolutions, expressed in terms of full width at half maximum (FWHM), of Brewer #001 corresponding to the default grating position in n2 mode.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Slit</th>
<th>Wavelength (nm)</th>
<th>FWHM (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>425.03</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>431.40</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>437.36</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>442.86</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>448.12</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>453.26</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

filter is 10 nm (FWHM). The instrument is able to measure AOD and various other aerosol optical properties. Measurements of sun and sky radiances at a number of fixed wavelengths within the visible and near-infrared spectrum are performed and advanced retrieval inversion algorithms for retrieving microphysical aerosol properties have been developed (Dubovik and King, 2000) and assessed (Dubovik et al., 2000) in the framework of AERONET. Further details concerning AERONET data and uncertainties, for AOD retrievals can be found at http://aeronet.gsfc.nasa.gov/new_web/data_description_AOD_V2.html and those related to inversion products at http://aeronet.gsfc.nasa.gov/new_web/Documents/Inversion_products_V2.pdf. Only AOD data from AERONET level 2.0 (cloud screened and quality-assured; Holben et al., 2006) have been used in the study.

2.4 Data sets

The present work mainly focuses on two subsets of the full series recorded by Brewer #001 since 2004. The most recent subset includes an intensive campaign (13–25 May 2014, i.e. Julian days 133–145) specifically arranged for the purpose of comparing the Brewer and the Cimel on a short-term basis and quantifying some instrumental effects (e.g. sensitivity dependence on temperature) with maximum accuracy. For example, the Brewer optics were cleaned every day of the campaign to ensure maximum accuracy. Furthermore, the Brewer was often checked to correctly point to the sun and an ad-hoc schedule was designed to provide as many DS measurements in n2 mode as possible, resulting in more than 8000 samples, among which 170 were nearly simultaneous (i.e. within ±1 min) with the Cimel. During the intensive campaign, the sky conditions were generally favourable with only few clouds. The AOD at 440 nm from AERONET ranged from 0.04 to 0.39 and the Ångström coefficient obtained in the range 380–500 nm varied from 0.3 to 1.8. Those values reflect the different origin of air masses, including three episodes of advection of mineral dust from the Sahara desert (i.e. days 134, 139–140 and 144–145), as demonstrated by the study of the backward trajectories (not shown here).

The second subset encompasses all measurements since 2008, i.e. the period when the series by both instruments overlap. This allowed us, for example, to study the radiometric stability of the Brewer and the limitations of the Langley calibrations performed on site. The full series collected by Brewer #001 since 2004 will be the focus of a follow-up paper dealing with the long-term analysis of AOD measurements in Athens, e.g. before and after the economic recession in Greece.

3 Algorithm

A novel AOD retrieval algorithm was specifically developed to reprocess the Brewer data. Special attention has been given to adopt a processing scheme similar to the one used within AERONET, since any slight discrepancy between both procedures can trigger unexplained biases between the measurement series. The AOD (τ_{aer}) calculation is based on the following adaptation of the Beer–Lambert–Bouguer law (Bouguer, 1729), which includes the atmospheric factors contributing to the solar beam extinction (absorption and scattering) and all Brewer instrumental issues known at present.

\[
\ln I(\lambda) + TC(T) + \ln \frac{P}{P_{std}} + \mu_{NO_2} \sigma NO_2(\lambda) X NO_2(t) - \mu_{aer} \tau_{aer}(\lambda)
\]

1. \(I\) represents the count rates proportional to the direct-sun irradiance at the ground, at the wavelength \(\lambda\) corresponding to each Brewer slit. The traditional data reduction (e.g. Kipp&Zone, 2007) – consisting of the subtraction of the dark counts, the conversion from photon counts to count rates and the dead time compensation – is applied.

2. \(I_0\) is the extraterrestrial constant (ETC), i.e. the count rate value at wavelength \(\lambda\) that would be measured by the Brewer outside the Earth’s atmosphere.

3. No correction for the variation in the temperature inside the instrument is usually applied for Brewer measurements in the visible. However, a temperature effect has been noticed during this study (Sect. 4.1) and a compensation (TC) will be accordingly adopted later in the text as a function of the temperature, \(T\), measured inside the Brewer.

4. The count rates are furthermore corrected for the influence of the internal polarisation (Cede et al., 2006), mainly owing to the coupled effect by the quartz window and the diffraction grating. To avoid such errors,
a polarisation correction, PC, is introduced, which depends on the angle between the normal to the quartz window plane and the solar zenith angle \( \theta \). The compensation is based on the theoretical formula \( \text{(method 1)} \) described by Cede et al. (2006), since no experimental characterisation of such an effect for Brewer #001 is available. More details are provided in Sect. 5.1.

5. AF is the linear attenuation of filter \( p \) at wavelength \( \lambda \). The spectral attenuations have been characterised in Brewer #001 using the internal standard lamp, as explained by Diémoz et al. (2013).

6. The factor \( 2 \ln d_{E-S} \) takes into account the change of the extraterrestrial irradiance due to the variation of the Earth–Sun distance, \( d_{E-S} \) (in astronomical units), throughout the year.

7. \( \beta_{\text{Ray}}(\lambda) \) indicates the Rayleigh scattering coefficient, taken from Boddhaine et al. (1999). \( \beta_{\text{Ray}}(\lambda) \) is then adjusted for the current pressure, \( P \), relative to a standard pressure, \( P_{\text{std}} \). For the period when both the Brewer and the Cimel were working, the same pressure considered by the Cimel is used for both instruments. Alternatively, the pressure measured at the National Observatory of Athens at the same altitude is considered.

8. The air mass factors (AMFs), \( \mu \), used in the above equation for the Rayleigh scattering, nitrogen dioxide absorption and aerosol extinction, are taken from Kasten and Young (1989). The calculation of the solar zenith angle (Michalsky, 1988) includes a correction for the atmospheric refraction. All computations relative to the sun’s position (SZA and AMFs) as a function of time have been carefully checked against the information provided by the AERONET output, showing perfect agreement.

9. \( \sigma_{\text{NO}_2} \) represents the nitrogen dioxide (NO2) absorption cross section at wavelength \( \lambda \). The spectroscopic data set by Burrows et al. (1998) at 273 K is selected as it is the one used by AERONET (A. Smirnov, personal communication, 2015) and a convolution to the Brewer bandpass is operated for each different slit as explained by Diémoz et al. (2014). Finally, the nitrogen dioxide column densities, \( X_{\text{NO}_2} \), extracted from the AERONET monthly climatology for Athens and based on the SCIAMACHY data set (http://aeronet.gsfc.nasa.gov/version2_table.pdf and references therein), are employed to process the Brewer data and remove the NO2 contribution from the AOD. More details are given in Sect. 5.1.

4 Results

4.1 Instrument temperature dependence

As a first step in the study, the Brewer sensitivity changes owing to temperature variations inside the instrument have been quantified. The respective correction has been calculated and has then been applied to the full series. In order to reach maximum accuracy and to exclude interfering effects acting on a longer term, we used the data collected during the intensive campaign (Sect. 2.4). Moreover, to be as close as possible to the Cimel, we opted to transfer the calibration from the Cimel to the Brewer. Therefore, in this first analysis, the Brewer was not considered as a stand-alone instrument, but as if an independent reference instrument (such as a travelling standard) existed to calibrate it. To transfer the calibration, Eq. (1) was inverted to provide \( \ln I_0(\lambda) \) given \( \tau_{\text{aer}}(\lambda) \) from the Cimel. The AOD obtained by the Cimel at about 440 nm was extrapolated to the Brewer wavelengths using the Ångström law (Eq. 2).

\[
\tau_{\text{aer}}(\lambda) = \beta \lambda^{-\alpha}.
\]

where the wavelength \( \lambda \) is expressed in \( \mu \)m and \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are the Ångström exponents retrieved by the Cimel. The method is expected to introduce negligible uncertainty owing to the short wavelength range of the extrapolation and the smoothness of the AOD spectral dependence. For every pair of near-simultaneous measurements obtained by both instruments, a series of \( \ln I_0 \) was calculated and its average at every slit was defined as the best ETC estimate. The corresponding standard deviations during the intensive campaign were as low as 0.01, which demonstrates the high accuracy of the transfer. Since only near-simultaneous observations were considered, and the AERONET data are already filtered for clouds (Smirnov et al., 2000), no cloud screening method is used at this point.

This preliminary comparison between AODs measured by the Cimel and the Brewer reveals high correlation, with a Pearson’s coefficient \( \rho = 0.993 \) (\( R^2 = 0.986 \); only statistics relative to wavelength 437 nm, i.e. the third slit of the Brewer, will be reported hereafter for the sake of simplicity). The linear regression between both series (not shown) obeys the relation \( \tau_{\text{Brewer}} = -0.01 + 1.09 \tau_{\text{Cimel}} \). The mean deviation is null, as a consequence of the ETC transfer from the Cimel to the Brewer using the same data set. A better way of representing the deviations between the series is to plot \( \Delta \text{AOD} \) against the air mass. Figure 1a shows that about 60 % of the AOD differences fall within the limits \( \pm (0.005 + 0.010/\mu_{\text{aer}}) \) defined in WMO-GAW report number 162 (WMO, 2004), whereas a minimum of 95 % would be requested to formally establish an intercomparison traceability (e.g. Kazadzis et al., 2014).

Figure 1a seems to suggest that \( \Delta \text{AOD} \) varies as a function of the air mass. A deeper analysis proved, however, that the most explanatory variable is the temperature mea-
Figure 1. Differences of AODs measured at 437 nm by both instruments as a function of the air mass during the campaign period. The curved lines represent the acceptance limits fixed by WMO (2004). The upper figure is obtained without correcting for the Brewer temperature, whereas the lower shows the temperature-compensated results as explained in Sect. 4.1.

Figure 2. Relation between the transferred ETCs and the Brewer internal temperature. The dashed line represents a linear regression and indicates a decrease of the Brewer sensitivity of about 0.3 % K$^{-1}$.

Figure 3. AOD at 437 nm (slit 3 of the Brewer) measured by the Brewer (crosses) and the Cimel (dots). Only near-simultaneous measurements are plotted. The Brewer estimates are corrected for the effect of the instrument temperature as explained in Sect. 4.1.

Figure 4. Regression between the series of aerosol optical depth (AOD) measured by the Brewer ($\tau_{\text{Brewer}}$) and the Cimel ($\tau_{\text{Cimel}}$) spectroradiometers. The new Pearson’s coefficient is $\rho = 0.996$ ($R^2 = 0.992$) and the equation for the regression between both series is $\tau_{\text{Brewer}} = 0.00 + 0.99 \tau_{\text{Cimel}}$ (Fig. 4). Figure 1b shows that about 90 % of the AOD differences now fall within the WMO traceability limits.

4.2 Radiometric stability

To assess the Brewer radiometric stability, the full period with overlapping measurements from both instruments (2008–2014) was taken into account and the temperature compensation retrieved in the previous section was applied to the data set. The extraterrestrial calibration was again trans-
ferred from the Cimel to the Brewer, this time using the multi-year series. For every pair of near-simultaneous measurements from both series (nearly 1000 points), the ETC that produced the best agreement was found (Fig. 5). Some gaps are visible in the figure, corresponding to the periods when the Cimel was uninstalled for the regular calibration or when the Brewer schedule did not include DS visible measurements. Then, the average ETC (for every slit) was taken as the best estimate for the whole period. The resulting ETCs differ by only 0.01 compared to the calibration constants obtained during the intensive campaign (Sect. 4.1). However, the standard deviation of the series is 0.05 and differences larger than this value occur. Since the reported ETCs are logarithmic, their scatter is directly related to the AOD uncertainty at AMF = 1. Moreover, a seasonal cycle, with maxima in summer and minima in winter, seems to emerge from the data. This systematic behaviour, which is not fully understood at present, could be marginally due to a slight over-correction for the polarisation or for the Brewer temperature (Sect. 5.1). Finally, there does not seem to be a trend in the ETCs, however a modest drop after 2011 can be noticed. Although this variation occurs just after a calibration period of the Cimel, the strict quality controls by AERONET would rather favour the hypothesis of a change in the sensitivity of the Brewer instrument. The effect is of the order of 0.05 at air mass 1 and therefore lower at larger air masses (cf. later in this section).

The resulting AOD data set from the Brewer at the wavelength of 437 nm is shown in Fig. 6. Maximum AODs are found in summer, as already reported in the scientific literature for the Athens metropolis (e.g. Gerasopoulos et al., 2011) as well as at different midlatitude locations (e.g. Chey-
AOD (Brewer - Cimel) differences fall within the WMO traceability limits.

\[ \Delta \text{AOD} = 0.03 \text{ year}^{-1}. \]

Even though the trend is small, it appears to be statistically significant. Trials with the Student’s \( t \) test (Student, 1908) and the nonparametric Mann–Kendall trend test (Mann, 1945; Kendall, 1938) all gave statistically significant results (Student’s \( t \) test: \( t \) value = 4.31471, \( p \) value < 0.0001, \( N = 924 \); Mann–Kendall test: \( \tau \) statistics = 0.124, 2-sided \( p \) value < 2.22 \times 10^{-16}). The trend is likely to be the consequence of the Brewer radiometric instability which has already been described previously in this section.

The Pearson’s correlation coefficient between the Brewer and Cimel multi-year series is \( \rho = 0.97 \) (\( R^2 = 0.93 \)) and the equation for the regression between both series is \( \tau_{\text{Brewer}} = 0.01 + 0.97 \tau_{\text{Cimel}} \) (Fig. 7). Figure 8 shows that about 40% of the AOD differences fall within the WMO traceability limits. The root mean square deviation (RMSD) between the series is 0.03 for all slits except for the data recorded through the second Brewer slit (wavelength 431 nm). At this wavelength, all statistical indicators are worse (e.g. RMSD = 0.05; \( \rho = 0.90 \); points inside WMO limits = 20%), and the reason will become clear in Sect. 4.4.

### 4.3 In situ Langley ETC extrapolation

A truly independent comparison between the Cimel and the Brewer would require separate calibrations for both instruments, whereas in the previous calculations, the ETC was simply transferred from the former to the latter. An independent calibration of the Brewer would also allow us to check and track its stability for the periods when the Cimel was not installed. We therefore explore the possibility of retrieving the Brewer ETCs by applying a Langley extrapolation technique at the Athens station. Since Brewer #001 has never been moved to a high-altitude and pristine site, which would satisfy the hypothesis of constant AOD during the day actually needed for a Langley plot, a long-term analysis of the data recorded on site was preferred, as often done in the literature (e.g. Cheymol and De Backer, 2003; Cheymol et al., 2006, 2009; De Bock et al., 2010, 2014; Gröbner and Meleti, 2004). Also, since only a few AOD measurements per day were scheduled for the Brewer during this period, we cannot perform the Langley plot with such a small number of clear-sky days.

When no a priori information about AOD stability or presence of clouds is available, we can only rely on the irradiance data to select the most suitable days for a Langley extrapolation. We have established the following criteria.

1. First, a homogeneity test is performed on every quintuplet of samples rapidly recorded in a DS sequence (Sect. 2.2) to remove the influence of moving clouds in front of the sun. A linear fit of the logarithm of the intensities vs. air mass is performed and the range of the residuals is compared to a threshold proportional to the air mass (0.036 \( \mu \)). This value, which is directly related to the range of the AOD during the short time of a sequence, was chosen to provide the best agreement (98%) with the cloud screening performed by AERONET (Smirnov et al., 2000).

2. A basic quality control is performed to avoid pointing errors and thick clouds. The raw counts recorded through the slits must be 10 times larger than those recorded with the shutter (dark counts) and the difference between the counts through the slits and the dark counts must be larger than a fixed threshold of 250.

3. The attenuation of the neutral density filters must be at least 10\(^4\) (filter wheel position \( \geq 2 \)). This criterion removes measurements under thick clouds (that may pass the homogeneity test) and at very high air masses (when clouds are more likely on the horizon).

4. For every day, the morning and afternoon data are analysed together to provide better statistics, since, due to the Brewer schedule, not many points per day are available. Data with large AOD gradients during the day are screened by the next criteria, especially if the variation is not centred around noon.

5. A robust (least absolute deviations), linear fit is performed through the points of the entire day. Contrary to what was done at point 1, a different parameterisation was chosen due to the larger range of air masses. \( \mu^{-1} \log I \) is now plotted against \( \mu^{-1} \), so that the residuals from the fit (on the y axis) are directly traceable to the variations of \( \tau_{\text{aer}} \). In case the absolute value of a residual is greater than 0.05, then the corresponding...
Figure 9. Extraterrestrial constants for calibration of measurements through slit 3 (squares) derived using Langley extrapolations in selected days. The horizontal dashed line represents the average of all points.

point is removed and the fit performed again. The regression also provides a first estimate of the average AOD during the day, which must be positive and lower than 0.4. This upper limit was chosen to exclude days with very high aerosol loads or contaminated by clouds from the Langley extrapolation. The value of 0.4 corresponds to the 90th percentile of the AERONET AOD series at 440 nm.

6. After previous criteria have been applied, the total number of samples in the day must be no less than 50 (corresponding to 10 full cycles) (Cheymol and De Backer, 2003) and the minimum range of AMFs must be at least 1.3 (Gröbner and Meleti, 2004).

One hundred and sixty cases successfully passed the previous criteria for the Langley calibrations (Fig. 9). The average of the resulting ETCs at 437 nm is 17.09, only 0.03 lower than the average of the ETCs retrieved by transfer. However, the scatter is very high, with a standard deviation of 0.10. Therefore, a deeper analysis was carried out to determine whether the spread is random or due to any physical reason. For example, temporal changes of the AOD during the day, typical of the urban environment, would invalidate the assumption at the basis of the Langley technique and impair the results of the calibration. Indeed, if the variations were symmetric about the solar noon, they could easily mislead any acceptance criteria solely based on the Langley regression (Marenco, 2007). To quantitatively test this hypothesis, we fit the daily evolution of the AOD from AERONET using two simple functions of the AMF symmetric around the local noon:

\[ \tau_{\text{aer}} = \tau_0 + \frac{k_1}{\mu_{\text{aer}}} \]  
\[ \tau_{\text{aer}} = \tau_0 + k_2 \mu_{\text{aer}} \]

It is easy to prove that, if the daily evolution were perfectly described by Eq. (3), all Langley events would perfectly fall into a straight line, but the error in estimating the ETC would be \(-k_1\). As noticeable in Fig. 10, a good correlation can be found between the ETCs retrieved by the Langley technique and the daily curvature of the AOD measured by the Cimel instrument and parameterised by the two variables \(k_1\) and \(k_2\). The correlation indexes are \(-0.75\) and \(0.76\) respectively, which indicate that a relevant part of the scatter in the retrieved ETC is due to the daily cycle of the AOD rather than just random noise.
4.4 Wavelength shifts

In a Brewer spectrophotometer, the wavelength scale is adjusted with reference to the emission spectrum of an internal mercury lamp. Although the precision of the method can reach 0.1 steps, some factors, among which temperature changes inside the monochromator (to an extent of about 0.3 steps K\(^{-1}\)), may degrade the alignment. We therefore investigated the effect of small wavelength shifts on AOD retrieved by the Brewer using both theoretical considerations based on a radiative transfer model (RTM) and a statistical analysis of the overlapping period. It has to be mentioned that other instruments equipped with a monochromator, not only the Brewer, could be affected by the same issue as well. Moreover, the sensitivity to wavelength misalignments could also affect nitrogen dioxide measurements by MkIV Brewers.

First, the libRadtran v1.7 model (Mayer and Kylling, 2005) was set to simulate ground-based solar spectra with a known aerosol load. A standard US atmosphere (NASA and USAF, 1976), including vertically distributed aerosols based on the model by Shettle (1989) and 0.5 DU of nitrogen dioxide, was selected. Surface albedo was set to 0.03, pressure to 1000 hPa and altitude to 130 m a.s.l. The extraterrestrial constant, needed for the AOD inversion, was found by means of a Langley extrapolation applied to the spectra simulated at different air masses. The Ångström parameters were set to \(\alpha = 1.5\) and \(\beta = 0.07\), which represent the average values in Athens extracted from the AERONET series. The retrievals were then repeated by simulating a slight wavelength misalignment in the Brewer measurements. Figure 11 illustrates the AOD error induced by a wavelength misalignment of 0.02 nm (corresponding to a shift of 2 microsteps by the grating motor), which is not uncommon in Brewer #001, as a function of the SZA. Surprisingly, measurements through slit 2 are considerably affected by shifts in the wavelength scale and the error in AOD due to this effect can be larger than 0.01. The behaviour is to be ascribed to the proximity of the measuring wavelength to a deep Fraunhofer line (Fig. 12). Therefore, due to the high gradient of the irradiance in this spectral region, small changes in the wavelength scale can trigger large variations in the extraterrestrial constant and thus, in the resulting AOD. As a consequence, measurements at slit 2 are expected to be of lower quality than at other wavelengths.

The same effect was observed from an empirical point of view. Principal component analysis (PCA; e.g. Jolliffe, 2002) was applied to the difference between the Brewer and Cimel AOD time series at all wavelengths. Since, from Eq. (2), the AOD spectral variations are expected to be quite smooth in wavelength, any spiked mode emerging from the PCA could be, in principle, a sign of an instrument or atmospheric factor impacting on the measurements. The first mode explains about 94 % of the variance and is spectrally flat (not shown). This means that the differences between the two instruments do not substantially depend on wavelength and that the extrapolation using Eq. (2) is effective. Conversely, the second mode of variation, carrying about 5 % of the signal variance, suggests that some effect is impacting on measurements through the second slit of the Brewer (Fig. 13). The wavelength derivative of the solar spectrum, plotted in the same figure, almost coincides with the shape derived from the PCA, pointing to the hypothesis that the effect is to be ascribed to wavelength misalignments. Moreover, the scores relative to this mode are clearly linked to several events re-
Figure 13. Second component (carrying about 5 % of the variance) from the PCA. The wavelength derivative of the solar spectrum is plotted together with the mode of variation in the upper figure. In the lower panel, the corresponding scores (points) are drawn together with the events (dashed lines) that could potentially affect the wavelength alignment of the Brewer.

Figure 14. Effect of cleaning the Brewer quartz window from dust (day 127). The vertical line shows the time when the window was cleaned.

Figure 15. Effect of pointing inaccuracies on Brewer AOD estimates (day 128). The vertical line represents the time when the iris border starts cutting the sun image.

4.5 Other effects

Other instrumental issues can affect the Brewer AOD estimates. First, the effect of some dust accumulating on the Brewer quartz window, likely as a consequence of a Saharan dust advection accompanied by precipitation, was studied before the beginning of the intensive campaign. On day 127, continuous AOD measurements were taken before and after cleaning the dirty window, evidencing an apparent decrease of about 0.04 of the measured AOD (Fig. 14) – a drop of about 30 % in the considered day. The effect would not probably manifest itself in ozone or nitrogen dioxide retrievals, since those calculations are based on irradiance ratios and not on absolute intensities, but it is prominent for AOD estimates (about three times out of the specifications by WMO at the selected AMF).

The effect of pointing inaccuracies was then investigated on day 128 by intentionally offsetting the clock of the PC operating the Brewer by 2 min, thus introducing an error in the solar ephemeris calculation. As shown in Fig. 15, the AOD progressively increases to unrealistic values as the iris border cuts the sun image inside the Brewer optics. Again, this effect is probably larger in algorithms considering absolute irradiances instead of ratios.
Both examples underline the importance of carefully maintaining and cleaning the Brewer, especially when accurate AOD measurements are planned.

5 Discussion

A quantitative assessment of the uncertainty budget, not simply based on a statistical approach, is beyond the scope of this paper. Nevertheless, we discuss the most important factors contributing to the uncertainty of Brewer AOD estimates in the visible range and we present some recommendations for Brewer operators. The discussion is split in two different sections, i.e. the factors which are mostly specific of MkIV Brewers and the ones common to other networks, including AERONET.

5.1 MkIV Brewer-specific contributors to uncertainty

1. The effect of temperature dependence discovered during this study and described in Sect. 4.1 is influential enough to trigger a seasonal cycle as large as ±6 %, reflecting temperature changes of ±20 K during the year. A compensation algorithm has therefore to be applied, but the related uncertainties have to be taken into account. Firstly, the Brewer is equipped with three temperature sensors, of which one is installed in the PMT assembly and representative of its temperature. However, we noticed malfunctioning in Brewer #001 sensors, with random and unrealistic temperature spikes. Moreover, the series shows a constant trend of ≈−0.4 K year\(^{-1}\), with no evident physical cause. Hence, temperature measurements inside the instrument should be carefully checked before taking them into account when applying temperature corrections to the Brewer data (both AOD and other kind of estimates). Secondly, the temperature correction itself is affected by uncertainties. When retrieving the correction using a series of measurements from a collocated reference instrument, covariates (e.g. internal polarisation, air mass, count rates) all varying throughout the day could increase or decrease the impact of temperature only. For example, a slight overcorrection is probably the reason behind the seasonal cycle identified in Sect. 4.2. A different characterisation method could make use of the tungsten-halogen lamp inside the Brewer. However, the main concern in this case is the stability of the 20 W lamp compared to the accuracy required for the temperature characterisation and more investigations are therefore required. Finally, it has to be observed that the temperature compensation does not substantially improve the results of the comparison when applied to the full period of overlapping measurements (Sect. 4.2), since probably other factors affect the estimates more severely, such as the effect of dirt accumulating on the quartz window (Sect. 4.5).

2. If not compensated, the effect of the internal polarisation would reduce the Brewer sensitivity, especially at large SZAs, and the estimated AOD would thus apparently increase. However, since internal polarisation is also impacting the calibration, two different scenarios must be considered: if the instrument is calibrated by Langley extrapolation, the polarisation introduces a negative curvature to the plot and a resulting overestimation of the retrieved ETC (e.g. by 2–4 %), so that the overall effect is amplified; conversely, if a calibration transfer from a reference instrument is done, then the ETC is underestimated at large SZAs and the error on the final ETC will depend on the range of air masses used for averaging. Furthermore, it must be noticed that the Brewer sensitivity decreases at small air masses due to the instrumental temperature dependence, since higher temperatures are generally expected at noon. Therefore, the dependences of temperature and polarisation partially mask each other. If none of these effects are compensated, then the diurnal sensitivity will vary less than if only one factor is considered.

In this study, the effect of internal polarisation was compensated using the theoretical formula by Cede et al. (2006), which was successfully tested on MkIII Brewers supplied with a double monochromator. Conversely, MkIV Brewers are equipped with a single monochromator and a different grating. Experiments with instruments similar to Brewer #001 proved that the formula represents a good approximation in MkIV spectrophotometers used in n2 mode for solar zenith angles lower than about 75°, but deviations of about 5 % (i.e. the theoretical formula overcorrects) were found (Diémoz, 2014), which need to be confirmed by more investigations. Moreover, recent advances have shown that the formula by Cede et al. (2006) is inapplicable to MkII and MkIV Brewers in o3 mode due to different polarisation properties of their diffraction gratings compared to the MkIII model (Diémoz and Carreño, 2015).

3. Frequent cleaning and accurate pointing were found to be crucial in order to obtain reasonable measurements. These issues also apply to other instruments, but their effect is enhanced in Brewers for the following reasons.

First, the flat quartz window very often gets dirty, especially due to rain and dust deposition. Cleaning is expected to be much more important for absolute measurements, such as AOD estimates, than for Brewer techniques based on spectral ratios, such as ozone and nitrogen dioxide retrievals. Second, the Brewer is not equipped with a pointing monitor. The operator is then expected to perform regular sighting tests and check the PC synchronisation.
4. Wavelength shifts occur owing to the monochromator, which is the core component of the Brewers. If very accurate AOD estimates are needed, measurements through slit 2 and, to a lesser extent, slit 6 (Fig. 11) should be removed from the analysis.

5. Cloud screening. The uncertainties in AOD related to measurements perturbed by clouds are not strictly a Brewer issue. However, cloud screening algorithms operating within most aerosol networks such as AERONET rely on high-frequency measurements and remove data when the AOD (or its time derivative) abruptly changes. Conversely, the Brewer schedule is chosen by the operator based on the atmospheric parameters of interest for the measuring station and DS measurements in the visible spectrum can be very sparse in a day. A Brewer cloud screening algorithm, valid on a network basis, should therefore be as independent as possible from the running schedule. We developed the following algorithm to filter the data for the period 2004–2008, when the Cimel was not yet installed and thus the AERONET cloud mask could not be used. We shortly describe it here since it may be useful for other Brewer operators.

- Criteria 1–3 described in Sect. 4.3 are first applied. However, other criteria have to be developed to screen every single measurement instead of the full day as for a Langley. Moreover, we know the extraterrestrial constant at this point and we can apply specific statistics tests on AOD instead of count rates.

- Negative AODs were removed.

- The stability criterion (Smirnov et al., 2000) is applied to every quintuplet. The original criterion used within AERONET presumes that the AOD should vary by less than 0.02 or 0.03τaer during one triplet of consecutive measurements by the Cimel, based on empirical evidence. These thresholds were loosened by a factor of 2.6 according to the larger amount of time taken for the Brewer to complete a quintuplet.

- The diurnal stability check (Smirnov et al., 2000) was used to classify the full days with a daily AOD standard deviation lower than 0.015 as clear. Otherwise, single measurements are removed when the corresponding AOD or Ångström exponent (calculated from the data through all slits except slit 2) falls outside the daily mean plus or minus three standard deviations.

It must be noticed that the smoothness AERONET criterion, based on second time derivatives, was not applied since it was found to strongly depend on the measurement frequency of the selected schedule. Finally, criteria reported in the scientific literature and based on the variability of nitrogen dioxide retrievals (Gröbner and Meleti, 2004) were not considered since NO2 measurements by MkIV Brewers are often uncalibrated.

6. The characterisation of each neutral density filter at every slit wavelength is also fundamental, since the actual attenuation is very different from the nominal value. We calculated the spectral attenuation of each filter through each slit by analysing the count rates from the internal lamp. A long series is necessary, since the SNR is low, especially at higher attenuations. An alternative method is to use the sun as a source and calculate the count rate variations when different filters are selected ("piecewise Langley"). In the present study, continuity between consecutive AODs measured with different filters (not shown) provided an indication of the goodness of the characterisation.

7. Nonlinearity is evaluated in Brewers through the dead time test and corrected. Users should therefore regularly check the dead time value and, when possible, try to additionally use the sun as a source for the same test, thus checking the behaviour of the Brewer at higher count rates.

8. Circumsolar diffuse light entering the instrumental field of view. The full FOV of a Brewer is rather large, about 2.6° (Cede et al., 2003), more than twice the one of Cimel instruments, and may cause significant AOD errors in the UV range (Arola and Koskela, 2004) due to Mie scattered light entering the collimator. However, this is a minor issue in the visible range and only accounts for few percentage points when the largest aerosol particles (e.g. desert dust) are to be measured, as calculated by Russell et al. (2004).

5.2 General contributors to uncertainty

1. ETC calibration and radiometric stability are key factors for every aerosol photometer, not only for Brewers. However, other networks have developed standard methodologies to check the calibration of the field instruments, such as Langley plots in pristine sites, outdoor calibration transfers, laboratory characterisations and in situ techniques which make use of the diffuse sky light (Campanelli et al., 2004), whilst a common policy within the Brewer network is lacking at present. Several operators perform traditional Langley extrapolations even in polluted sites, leading to high uncertainties that are very difficult to identify, as highlighted in Sect. 4.3. The induced error may be rather low if days showing a positive curvature and days with a negative curvature average out at the measurement location. However, if a daily mean cycle is present, as in Athens, significant systematic deviations may arise.
which cannot be removed by simple averaging. A calibration transfer was successfully applied in the present work and allows envisaging that a travelling AOD standard (a Brewer or a different instrument) could be set up within the Brewer network. In absence of a reference, only high-altitude Langley extrapolations are recommended.

2. The interference by atmospheric gases absorbing solar radiation in the measuring range can be completely removed only if their column concentrations, absorption cross sections, effective temperature and vertical profiles (for air mass calculations) are perfectly known. The most effective absorbers in the measuring range of MkIV Brewers are nitrogen dioxide and water vapour. The former is considered in the AERONET processing using an NO₂ satellite climatology, whilst the latter can affect Brewer measurements through slits 3 and 4 up to 0.02 (in units of AOD) for a fully saturated atmosphere (Diémoz, 2014) and is not accounted for by AERONET.

Interestingly, some hints of an incomplete removal of atmospheric absorbers emerge from the PCA already described in Sect. 4.4. Although marginally contributing to the total variance (<1%), one mode is clearly shaped as the nitrogen dioxide cross sections (not shown) and its scores exhibit high correlation with the difference between the measured (by the Brewer) and assumed (AERONET climatology) NO₂ column ($\rho = -0.70$, Fig. 16). To exclude the possibility that the source of the correlation is a common cycle (e.g. annual cycle), the scaled correlation (e.g. Nikolić et al., 2012) was calculated by splitting the series in $K$ short intervals, each one consisting of $N = 25$ pairs of measurements.

$$\rho_s = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \rho_k$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

This way of calculating the correlation index filters out any slow-varying component and enhances the high-frequency features common to both series. The obtained scaled correlation is $\rho_s = -0.70$, which proves that the series are connected in the short term. To give an idea of the influence of a potentially wrong AERONET NO₂ climatology or diurnal patterns captured by the Brewer but missing from the satellite climatology, an extreme discrepancy of 2 Dobson units (DU) between the assumed and the actual nitrogen dioxide vertical densities would produce an error larger than 0.02 on the AOD calculated by AERONET at 440 nm. In fact, the RMSD between the two NO₂ series is only 0.5 DU and the mean bias 0.3 DU, which translate into errors of about 0.005 and 0.003 on AOD at 440 nm.

A weak link can again be noticed between the last component of the PCA and the total precipitable water vapour (PWV) measured by the Cimel at 940 nm (not shown), as expected from the theory. Although only a modest correlation is found between both variables ($\rho = 0.30$), we believe that it is worth reporting that the scores slightly increase as a function of the water vapour amount.

3. Removal of the Rayleigh scattering also brings some uncertainties with every instrument (e.g. due to uncertainties in the scattering cross sections and measured pressure), but their contribution is negligible in the visible range. The Rayleigh compensation in Brewers, however, deserves some attention. First, an obsolete spectroscopic set of Rayleigh cross sections is used by default for ozone and nitrogen dioxide retrievals (Kipp&Zone, 2007). Therefore, these values should be recalculated by employing an updated Rayleigh spectroscopic data set to correctly remove the absorbers contribution. Incidentally, it must be noticed that the obsolete Rayleigh coefficients normally employed in the standard ozone algorithm were replaced by the ones from Bodhaine et al. (1999) in the present work. Second, the Brewer adopts a climatological fixed value for pressure. In the case of Athens, if the climatological value (1000 hPa) were used instead of the measured pressure, then the AOD at 440 nm would deviate by up to 0.007, as evidenced by Eck et al. (1999) and also found in this work.

(2007) investigated the effects of the stray light on a single Brewer at UV wavelengths. However this is not expected to be an issue in the visible range due to the flatter shape of the solar spectrum measured at the ground in this region. For the same reason, the effects of using the Beer–Lambert–Bouguer law (rigorously defined for monochromatic radiation only) together with finite-bandwidth irradiances (e.g. Slusser et al., 2000) do not relevantly affect AOD measurements in the visible range. Moreover, the Brewer bandwidth is generally narrower compared to the spectral resolution of filter radiometers.

6 Conclusions

The AERONET direct-sun processing scheme was taken as a basis to develop a new algorithm for AOD retrievals using MkIV Brewers in the visible range. Several effects have been found to impact the AOD estimates, such as changes in sensitivity of about 0.3 % C−1 due to variations of the Brewer internal temperature, slight radiometric instabilities, small misalignments of the wavelength scale, contamination of the external optics and pointing inaccuracies. As proven by the results of an intensive campaign, the Brewer, if carefully maintained, is capable of high-quality AOD estimates in the visible. The agreement with AERONET is partly owed to the calibration transfer from the reference instrument to the Brewer. Indeed, initial calibration of the Brewer and following tracking of its radiometric stability are crucial elements that must be accounted for if accurate retrievals are needed. We demonstrated that Langley extrapolations are problematic in polluted sites, notably if a diurnal cycle is present. Therefore, other methods, such as Langley plots in pristine environments (e.g. high altitude sites) should be preferred if possible. We also endorse the establishment of a travelling standard within EUBREWNET (e.g. an AOD-calibrated Brewer or a different kind of instrument) to improve the overall quality of Brewer AOD measurements and to exploit the Brewer worldwide network at its best. In effect, due to the large number of available Brewers and their geographical spread, both new and historical (reprocessed) data sets will provide useful information for climate studies. On the other hand, Brewers can contribute to the progress of other aerosol monitoring networks owing to their ability to quantify the concentration of some atmospheric absorbers (ozone and sulphur dioxide in the UV and nitrogen dioxide in the visible spectrum) and as a consequence, to correctly remove their influence on AOD retrievals.

The results obtained during this first study using the multi-year series of data collected by Brewer #001 will serve as a basis for more detailed analyses of the aerosol climatology in Athens.

Data availability

The AOD measurements by the Cimel sun-sky photometer in Athens are published as Aerosol Robotic Network (AERONET, 2016) and can be obtained via the AERONET website http://aeronet.gsfc.nasa.gov/.
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