MAX-DOAS retrieval of aerosol extinction properties in Madrid, Spain
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Abstract. Multi-axis differential optical absorption spectroscopy (MAX-DOAS) measurements were performed in the urban environment of Madrid, Spain, from March to September 2015. The \(O_4\) absorption in the ultraviolet (UV) spectral region was used to retrieve the aerosol extinction profile using an inversion algorithm. The results show a good agreement between the hourly retrieved aerosol optical depth (AOD) and the correlative Aerosol Robotic Network (AERONET) product, with a correlation coefficient of \(R = 0.87\). Higher AODs are found in the summer season due to the more frequent occurrence of Saharan dust intrusions. The surface aerosol extinction coefficient as retrieved by the MAX-DOAS measurements was also compared to in situ PM\(_{2.5}\) concentrations. The level of agreement between both measurements indicates that the MAX-DOAS retrieval has the ability to characterize the extinction of aerosol particles near the surface. The retrieval algorithm was also used to study a case of severe dust intrusion on 12 May 2015. The capability of the MAX-DOAS retrieval to recognize the dust event including an elevated particle layer is investigated along with air mass back-trajectory analysis.

1 Introduction

Atmospheric aerosols, with variable size ranging from a few nanometers to tens of micrometers in diameter, have an influence on the atmospheric radiative budget, global climate change, local air quality and visibility, as well as directly or indirectly on human health (Seinfeld and Pandis, 2006; Kim and Ramanathan, 2008; Levy et al., 2013; Viana et al., 2014; Karanasiou et al., 2012). Moreover, aerosol properties and vertical distribution vary greatly with geographical location. Therefore, it is important to obtain a comprehensive knowledge on the spatiotemporal distribution of aerosol characteristics in terms of chemical composition and physical properties. Measurements of aerosol optical and physical properties, including the aerosol extinction coefficient (AEC), aerosol optical depth (AOD) and single scattering albedo (SSA), provide information for a better understanding of the role of aerosols in atmospheric processes. Additionally, more accurate vertically resolved measurements of aerosol optical properties are still needed to further assess the aerosol environmental and radiative effects (IPCC, 2013).

Based on molecular ultraviolet–visible (UV–VIS) light absorption, the differential optical absorption spectroscopy (DOAS) remote sensing technique is an effective tool for air pollution measurements (Platt and Stutz, 2008). By observing scattered sunlight at several elevations close to horizon and at zenith, multi-axis DOAS (MAX-DOAS) is capable of retrieving information of the vertical distribution of numerous trace gases (Hönninger et al., 2004; Wittrock et al., 2004). Since the oxygen collision complexes \(O_4\) vertical profile is well known and nearly constant, the observed \(O_4\) absorption can serve as an indicator for the atmospheric distribution of photon paths (Wagner et al., 2004; Frieß et al., 2006). Therefore, the retrieved \(O_4\) differential slant column densities (DSCDs) at different elevations can provide
information about the impact of aerosol scattering on photon paths. By combining measurements of the O$_4$ absorption with radiative transfer model simulations, ground-based MAX-DOAS has been used in previous studies to determine atmospheric aerosol vertical extinction profiles and optical depths (e.g., Irie et al., 2008, 2009; Li et al., 2010; Clémer et al., 2010; Hartl and Wenig, 2013; Hendrick et al., 2014; Vlemmix et al., 2015; Frieß et al., 2016).

Madrid, the capital of Spain, located in the southwest of Europe, is the third largest city in the European Union. The city of Madrid covers a total area of 604.3 km$^2$. The residential population is almost 3.2 million, with a metropolitan area population of around 6.5 million. Due to the dense population and traffic, the city of Madrid has, in recent years, suffered severe levels of air pollution by nitrogen dioxide and occasionally by suspended particulates and ozone (Ayuntamiento de Madrid (AM), Madrid’s Air Quality Plan 2011-2015, 2012). Air pollution episodes in the Madrid air basin are generally caused by local traffic emissions and domestic heating in winter (Plaza et al., 2011). The Madrid metropolitan area is bordered to the north-northwest by a high mountain range about 40 km away from the city and to the northeast and east by lower mountainous terrain. This specific topography results in particular meteorological conditions and typical transport patterns that significantly influence air pollution dynamics in Madrid (Salvador et al., 2008). In addition, the city of Madrid also suffers from significant aerosol contributions from natural sources, e.g., occasional Saharan dust intrusions.

To meet the current legislation targets on air quality, a new monitoring network of in situ instruments for NO$_2$, SO$_2$, CO, O$_3$, PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$ has been operational in Madrid since 2010. This monitoring network comprises 24 automatic measuring stations and two additional sampling points for PM$_{2.5}$ suspended particulates. The in situ PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations are measured at six stations as shown in Fig. 1, i.e., Esc. Aguirre, Casa de Campo, Quatro Caminos, Méndez Alvaro, Castellana and Pza. Castellana. Only a few studies have reported measurements of vertical aerosol optical properties in Madrid using lidar systems over short periods of time (Molero et al., 2014; Fernández et al., 2014).

Here we present aerosol optical properties in the urban center of Madrid, Spain, retrieved from MAX-DOAS observations of O$_4$ in the UV spectral region. This is the first time that measurements of aerosol extinction properties in Madrid have been reported for several months, allowing investigation of the temporal variation of aerosol optical properties in the urban area. We compare the AOD and surface aerosol extinction coefficient retrieved from MAX-DOAS with relative sun photometer data and in situ PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations. Finally, we explore a case study of the aerosol optical properties during an intrusion of Sahara dust arriving in Madrid, which demonstrates the MAX-DOAS measurements as a useful monitoring tool to obtain vertically resolved aerosol optical properties during dust episodes.

2 Measurements and method

2.1 MAX-DOAS instrument and setup

Our MAX-DOAS instrument consists of three main parts, i.e., the scanning system, the spectrometer–detector equipment and the computer as the control unit (e.g., Mahajan et al., 2012; Prados-Roman et al., 2015). The scanning telescope was driven by a stepper motor to collect scattered sunlight from different elevation angles. The light is focused by a lens ($F = 200$ mm) with a diameter of 50.8 mm to a bundle of 15 individual quartz fibers. The light is fed by the fiber bundle to a Princeton Instruments SP500i spectrometer with a Princeton Instruments Pixis 400B charge-coupled device (CCD) camera. The light is dispersed by a 600 grooves mm$^{-1}$ grating, resulting in a spectral window of 90 nm and spectral resolution of 0.5 nm full width at half maximum (FWHM). The computer is responsible for running the overall system and storing the spectral data. During the spectra recording process, the offset was removed automatically. The signal of dark current was measured every night and subtracted from each spectrum according to the corresponding average exposure time. Depending on the intensity of the received scattered sunlight, the exposure time was adapted automatically between 0.1 and 1 s in order to optimize the total signal and avoid saturation.

Ground-based MAX-DOAS measurements were carried out from 15 March to 15 September 2015. The telescope scanning system was mounted on the top roof of a 25 m tall building at the main campus of the Spanish National Research Council (CSIC, 40.44$^\circ$N, 3.69$^\circ$W; 700 m a.s.l.) in Madrid, Spain (see Fig. 1). The spectra were recorded with
Table 1. DOAS retrieval settings for O$_4$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Specification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fitting window</td>
<td>339–387 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wavelength calibration</td>
<td>Calibration based on reference solar atlas (Chance and Kurucz, 2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross sections</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O$_4$</td>
<td>293 K, Thalman and Volkamer (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCHO</td>
<td>298 K, Meller and Moortgat (2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O$_3$</td>
<td>223 and 243 K, I$_0$-corrected, Serdyuchenko et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO$_2$</td>
<td>294 K, I$_0$-corrected, Vandeaele et al. (1998)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BrO</td>
<td>223 K, Fleischmann et al. (2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ring</td>
<td>Calculated with QDOAS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional adjustment</td>
<td>All spectra shifted and stretched against the reference spectrum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polynomial order</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intensity offset</td>
<td>Linear correction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

an elevation angle sequence of $-4, -2, 0, 1, 3, 5, 7, 10, 20, 30$ and $90^\circ$ for each scanning cycle. After each completed cycle, the grating was shifted between the UV and VIS spectral regions, centered at 350 and 440 nm, respectively. The telescope was pointed approximately to the south-southeast (around $206^\circ$ azimuth angle, red arrow in Fig. 1), towards the city center of Madrid. This measurement site is located in the downtown area of Madrid and is classified as a typical urban site, where the air quality is mainly impacted by nearby traffic emissions.

2.2 Spectral analysis

The O$_4$ DSCDs were derived from UV spectra covering 305 to 395 nm for the retrieval, and only few data from VIS spectra were available due to the instrument problem. The QDOAS software developed by BIRA-IASB (http://uv-vis.aeronomie.be/software/QDOAS/) was applied to analyze O$_4$ absorption in the interval between 339 and 387 nm, encompassing the three absorption bands at 343, 360 and 380 nm. Trace gas absorption cross sections for O$_4$ (Thalman and Volkamer, 2013), NO$_2$ (Vandeaele et al., 1998) and O$_3$ (Serdyuchenko et al., 2014) at 223 and 243 K, BrO (Fleischmann et al., 2004) and HCHO (Meller and Moortgat et al., 2000) and a synthetic ring spectrum (calculated by QDOAS) were included in the spectral fitting analysis. During each measurement cycle, the corresponding zenith spectrum was taken as a Fraunhofer reference spectrum for the lower viewing elevation angles. The relevant configuration for the spectral analysis is listed in Table 1. Afterwards, the O$_4$ DSCDs were introduced into the aerosol retrieval algorithm if relative errors yielded from QDOAS are less than 10%.

2.3 Other datasets

Cloud-screened AOD level 1.5 products from a CIMEL sun photometer situated on the roof of the Agencia Estatal de Meteorologia in Madrid, Spain ($40.45^\circ$ N, $3.72^\circ$ W; 680 m a.s.l.), with a distance of 3.8 km from our measurements site (see Fig. 1) are compared to the column-integrated aerosol profile retrieved from MAX-DOAS measurements. The sun photometer is part of AERONET (Aerosol Robotic Network, http://aeronet.gsfc.nasa.gov/), which provides standards for instruments, calibration techniques, processing and data distribution (Holben et al., 1998). The AERONET data are automatically cloud-screened if the direct view of the sun is blocked by clouds. Thus, the absence of AERONET data can serve as a temporal index for the presence of clouds and further help to filter the MAX-DOAS measurements (Smirnov et al., 2000). The AOD at wavelengths of 340, 380, 440, 500, 675, 870 and 1020 nm are available from the AERONET database at the Madrid site.

Additionally, time series of PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations measured by in situ instruments were acquired from the air quality network of the Integral System of Air Quality Madrid City Council (http://www.mambiente.munimadrid.es) and were used to compare surface PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations with the surface aerosol extinction coefficient derived by the MAX-DOAS instrument. The six automatic measuring stations are located throughout the urban area of Madrid (see Fig. 1).

Meteorological data, including temperature, relative humidity, wind speed and wind direction, with a temporal resolution of 30 min, were obtained from the Adolfo Suárez Madrid–Barajas Airport meteorological site ($40.47^\circ$ N, $3.56^\circ$ W; see Fig. 1) (http://www.wunderground.com). All data are normalized to 1 h averages.

3 The HEIPRO aerosol retrieval algorithm

3.1 Basic principle

Since the zenith spectrum of each elevation sequence was taken as the reference, the DSCDs at lower elevations are sensitive to the trace gases in the lower troposphere. For the aerosol retrieval, O$_4$ DSCDs from different elevations
derived from the MAX-DOAS spectral analysis are fed into the aerosol inversion algorithm along with local atmospheric vertical pressure, temperature profiles and a suitable aerosol a priori profile. In this study, the HEIdelberg PROfile (HEIPRO, developed by IUP Heidelberg, Frieß et al., 2006, 2011) retrieval algorithm, with the SCIATRAN radiative transfer scheme (Rozanov et al., 2005) as forward model, was used for the retrieval of aerosol vertical profiles.

Based on the well-established optimal estimation method (OEM) (Rodgers, 2000), the HEIPRO algorithm determines the most probable atmospheric state \( x \) (aerosol extinction coefficient at a series of discrete altitude intervals) given a set of measurement \( y_a \) and a priori state vector \( x_a \). The so-called maximum a posteriori (MAP) solution \( \hat{x} \) is approached by minimizing the cost function (Frieß et al., 2006, 2011, 2016):

\[
\chi^2(x) = \left[ y - F(x,b) \right]^T S_e^{-1} \left[ y - F(x,b) \right] + \left[ x - x_a \right]^T S_a^{-1} \left[ x - x_a \right],
\]

where the \( m \)-dimensional vector \( x \) defines the state of interest within the measured system. The radiative transfer model or forward function \( F(x,b) \) simulates the corresponding modeled value for the atmospheric state \( x \), which is also dependent on the parameters \( b \) including temperature, pressure and aerosol profiles etc. \( y \) denotes the measurement vector, i.e., measured \( O_4 \) DSCDs at different elevation angles in the case of the aerosol profile retrieval. The a priori state vector \( x_a \) serves as an additional constraint. \( S_e \) and \( S_a \) denote the diagonal measurement covariance matrices, representing the uncertainties in the measurement and the a priori state, respectively.

The optimal state \( \hat{x} \), which minimizes \( \chi^2 \), can be found using the Levenberg–Marquardt method. The vertical resolution of the retrieval and the sensitivity of the retrieved state \( \hat{x} \) to the true atmospheric state \( x \) is quantified by the averaging kernel matrix \( A = \partial \hat{x} / \partial x \). The retrieved profile \( \hat{x} \) can be represented as the true profile \( x \), smoothed by the averaging kernel matrix \( A \) according to following Eq. (2):

\[
\hat{x} = x_a + A (x - x_a)
\]

Apart from the retrieval of aerosol properties, the HEIPRO algorithm can also yield the vertical profile of trace gases by combining the resulting aerosol extinction profiles, serving as forward modeling parameters, with measured DSCDs and a priori profiles of trace gases. However, this paper only focuses on the aerosol retrieval.

### 3.2 Aerosol inversion

In the forward radiative transfer model, atmospheric pressure and temperature profiles were adapted from the climatological database employed in SCIATRAN, which contains monthly and latitudinal-dependent vertical distribution of atmospheric trace gases, pressure and temperature. The surface albedo was set to 0.1 for the Madrid urban area. Aerosol extinction profiles from the surface up to 4 km height were retrieved on a vertical resolution of 100 m with a fixed temporal interval of 15 min. As to aerosol optical properties, the single scattering albedo and the asymmetry parameter for a Henyey–Greenstein phase function parameterization around 360 nm were set to 0.95 and 0.72 in the retrieval scheme, respectively. According to recently measured aerosol loadings in the Madrid downtown area, the annual averages of surface PM\(_{2.5}\) and PM\(_{10}\) in 2014 were around 10.8 and 19.4 \( \mu \text{g m}^{-3} \), respectively (Calidad del Aire Madrid, 2014), and monthly averaged AOD at 440 nm ranged from 0.07 to 0.17. Based on these data, an a priori aerosol extinction profile, with a surface extinction coefficient of 0.05 km\(^{-1}\) and exponentially decreasing with scaling height of 1.5 km, was assumed with 100% error in retrieval. More details about the sensitivities of different a priori profiles will be discussed in Sect. 4.1.

The consecutive measured \( O_4 \) DSCDs around the 360 nm band at different elevation angles serve as measurement vector for the HEIPRO algorithm. It is important to mention that the absorption of \( O_4 \) simulated with the absorption cross section from Hermans et al. (2003) was previously reported with an underestimation of 25% and suggested to be scaled by multiplying it by 1.25 in several different aerosol retrieval schemes (Clémer et al., 2010; Großmann et al., 2013; Vlemmix et al., 2015). However, good agreement between measured and estimated \( O_4 \) DSCDs in the Arctic is also achieved without any correction for the same \( O_4 \) cross section (Frieß et al., 2011). In fact, varied correction factors to the retrieved \( O_4 \) were applied depending on the uncertainty introduced by the absolute value of the \( O_4 \) absorption cross section (Zieger et al., 2011). Here, the new cross section from Thalman and Volkamer (2013) is adopted to retrieve \( O_4 \); therefore, we first need to include the appropriate scaling factor in the HEIPRO algorithm.

Consequently, a cloud-free day with low aerosol load (AOD < 0.15), i.e., 4 April 2015, was chosen to test different scaling factors for \( O_4 \) absorption, under which the \( O_4 \) DSCDs between 15 and 30° elevation are sensitive to variations of atmospheric temperature and pressure, as well as the aerosol optical properties (Frieß et al., 2006; Wagner et al., 2009; Clémer et al., 2010). In the HEIPRO scheme, the scaling only takes effects on the modeled value by multiplying with the \( O_4 \) cross section. Since Hermans et al. (2003) and Thalman and Volkamer (2013) present different \( O_4 \) cross section values at 360 nm, we test different scaling factors, 0.875, 1.0, 1.125 and 1.25, within HEIPRO in order to find the most appropriate for the adopted Thalman and Volkamer (2013) cross section.

In Fig. 2, the modeled \( O_4 \) absorption with different scaling factors was compared to the measured \( O_4 \) differential optical depth (DOD, Frieß et al., 2006) at elevation angles of 10, 20 and 30°. It is obvious that the modeled \( O_4 \) absorptions without scaling were systematically about 20% lower than the measured \( O_4 \) at these elevations and even more during the morning periods. However, the modeled \( O_4 \) absorption
4 Results and discussion

4.1 Sensitivity to the a priori profile

Since the information content of the measurement is usually too low to reconstruct a full state vector, additional information concerning the atmospheric state is provided by an a priori state vector $x_a$ with covariance matrix $S_a$. As an important input parameter, the a priori profile poses an additional constraint on the retrieved profile. Unfortunately, the impact of the a priori is substantial and there is no additional external information available that justifies the selection of one specific a priori profile (Vlemmix et al., 2015). To investigate the impacts of a priori profile shape, aerosol retrievals were performed with only four different a priori extinction profiles available in the HEIPRO algorithm, i.e., linear, exponential, Boltzmann (smoothed box-shaped) and Gaussian distribution (peaking shape), as plotted in Fig. 3a. The same cloud-free and low aerosol loading day of 4 April 2015 was chosen for the sensitivity study of different a priori profiles. Besides the input parameters in the forward model as mentioned in Sect. 3.2, other required observed geometry parameters were set according to the real measurements, e.g., solar zenith angle and relative azimuth angle.

Figure 3b shows the comparison between measured and simulated $O_4$ absorptions resulting from the different a priori extinction profiles. When a Gaussian a priori profile was applied, the simulated $O_4$ absorption close to surface (elevation angle 1°) was much higher than the measured $O_4$ absorption, and also resulted in underestimations at higher elevation angles. Except for the Gaussian a priori, there were only small differences in the modeled $O_4$ absorptions among the other three a priori profiles. By estimating the degree of freedom for signal (DFS) and solution of cost function in the retrievals, the statistics indicates that better performance of larger DFS and smaller cost function were approached by utilizing an exponential a priori.

Considering the fact that the mass concentration profiles of the different aerosol types usually decrease with altitude in the lower troposphere, whereas the background profile in the free troposphere remains constant with altitude, we have adopted the exponentially decreasing shaped extinction profile as the a priori profile. We then test the appropriate scale height of an exponential a priori profile, which defines the decreases of aerosol concentration in the vertical. The results show that the retrieved aerosol was constrained within lower altitudes by the algorithm if the scale height was set to be too small, whereas large-scale height results in artifacts at higher altitudes. Therefore, a moderate scale height of 1.5 km, where the aerosol extinction coefficient decreased to half of the surface value, was finally determined for the exponential a priori profile used in the aerosol retrieval.

was overestimated with a scaling factor of 1.25 around noon and even at elevation angles lower than 10°, which was also found by Irie et al. (2015). In the following aerosol retrieval at 360 nm, we assume a scaling factor of 1.2 as the optimal correction for the uncertainties from the newly available $O_4$ cross section, which is comparable to those previously reported for scaling $O_4$ from Hermans et al. (2003) (Wagner et al., 2009; Clémer et al., 2010).
4.2 Aerosol optical characteristics

To evaluate the performance of the MAX-DOAS retrieval, the AOD from the AERONET instrument at multiple wavelengths was interpolated to 360 nm using the Ångström coefficient ($\alpha$) (see Eq. 3):

$$\text{AOD} = \beta \times \lambda^{-\alpha},$$

and then further averaged for hourly data series to be compared with the MAX-DOAS-retrieved AODs. Since AERONET data are automatically cloud-cleared, the hourly MAX-DOAS-retrieved AODs, which were calculated from the retrieved aerosol extinction profiles in the HEIPRO algorithm, are normalized to the timetable of AERONET data. Figure 4 shows the time series of hourly AODs inferred from the sun photometer and MAX-DOAS measurements for several months. AODs retrieved from these two methods exhibit similar temporal trends. The occasional occurrences of high AODs (> 0.2) were attributed to the influence of long-range transport of windblown dust from the Saharan area, marked as gray hatched areas in Fig. 4, which are further discussed as a case study in Sect. 4.4.

The monthly averaged time series of AODs retrieved from MAX-DOAS and AERONET, shown in Fig. 5, exhibit higher aerosol loadings from June to September 2015. These higher AODs in the summer season are mainly due to long-range transport of Saharan dust, which occurs more frequently in summer than in winter (Sicard et al., 2011). Moreover, good agreement exists in both hourly and monthly retrieved AODs between MAX-DOAS and AERONET, as high correlation coefficients of $R = 0.87$ (number of datapoints = 618, $\text{AOD}_{\text{MAX-DOAS}} = 0.6673 \times \text{AOD}_{\text{AERONET}} + 0.0294$) and $R = 0.96$ (number of datapoints = 7, $\text{AOD}_{\text{MAX-DOAS}} = 0.6253 \times \text{AOD}_{\text{AERONET}} + 0.0362$), respectively, were obtained by linear regression. However, these two datasets usually do not compare so well in the case of higher aerosol loading, under which the absolute differences between the MAX-DOAS and AERONET are relatively larger (Fig. 4). The MAX-DOAS underestimation of the retrieved AOD could probably be explained by the constraint that only aerosol extinctions below 4 km were considered in the algorithm, especially for conditions of dust events when the...
The aerosol extinction coefficient shows a strong correlation with the particle mass concentration. As indicated in Fig. 1, surface PM concentrations retrieved by MAX-DOAS is to compare it with particle mass concentration. This is possibly due to an inhomogeneous horizontal distribution of aerosols, note also that the Saharan dust particles usually show the characteristic optical properties of the single scattering albedo, the asymmetry parameter and the Ångström coefficient, which are different to those introduced in the basic scenario of HEIPRO retrieval (Gkikas et al., 2013).

Generally, although a rather good correlation was obtained between MAX-DOAS retrieval and CIMEL instrument of AERONET, the deviation of these two datasets is still around 20 %. This is possibly due to an inhomogeneous horizontal distribution of aerosols. Note that the AERONET site was located in the northwest away from the MAX-DOAS instrument, whereas the telescope of MAX-DOAS points in a southern direction. As a consequence, the MAX-DOAS-retrieved aerosol extinction profiles are representative of an average over the light paths in the lower troposphere over several kilometers up to 15 km in the horizontal, which was roughly estimated based on the O$_3$ SCD at 0° elevation (Sinnreich et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2014).

### 4.3 Surface aerosol extinction

Owing to the absence of extinction coefficient measurements at ground surface and in the vertical, another semiquantitative way to validate the aerosol extinction coefficient retrieved by MAX-DOAS is to compare it with particle mass concentrations. As indicated in Fig. 1, surface PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations from six in situ stations were averaged to represent the aerosol loading throughout the entire city, which are then compared to the MAX-DOAS retrieval of surface aerosol extinction coefficient; i.e., the bottom layer below 100 m of the aerosol extinction profile was considered as being representative of the surface extinction. Figure 6 shows the comparison between surface aerosol extinction coefficient retrieved from MAX-DOAS and PM$_{2.5}$ concentration measured with in situ instrumentation.

As shown in the upper panel of Fig. 6, a good agreement exists between the daily aerosol surface extinction coefficient from the MAX-DOAS retrievals and the ground PM$_{2.5}$ concentration. This implies that the surface extinction coefficient obtained from MAX-DOAS retrieval truly reflects the number of particles near the ground. The linear fitting for aerosol extinction coefficient and particle mass concentration yielded a correlation coefficient $R$ of 0.89 in daily average and 0.64 in hourly average, respectively. Different explanations for the discrepancy in the hourly data include, firstly, that the regression analysis was based on the comparison between two different types of aerosol parameters and that the aerosol extinction coefficient ranged basically with particle mass concentration; however, it is also influenced by multiple factors including particles constituent, hygroscopicity and meteorological conditions (Zieger et al., 2011). Secondly, the in situ PM$_{2.5}$ data were measured directly at or close to the surface, whereas the aerosol surface extinction coefficient was extracted from the MAX-DOAS-retrieved profile from ground to 100 m, averaged over a large horizontal distance. As a consequence, the inhomogeneous distribution of particles, both in vertical and horizontal directions, decreases the consistency of these two data series (Frieß et al., 2016). It also should be mentioned that the whole retrieved dataset was included in Fig. 6c without cloud filtering.

We then evaluate the impacts of air mass transport on the surface extinction coefficient and PM$_{2.5}$. The wind roses in Fig. 7a indicate that the AERONET AODs and retrieved surface extinction coefficient, as well as in situ PM$_{2.5}$, generally exhibit a similar dependence on wind direction. As it can be seen in Fig. 7a, combined high PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations but low surface extinction conditions are present when the winds blow from a S–SW–W direction. However, there was an inverse situation under northerly winds. In view of the specific geographic characteristics, i.e., the north of Madrid metropolitan is surrounded by mountains, the dispersion pathway of particles was significantly impacted by wind direction. Winds from N and S to SW components are dominant from March to September in Madrid; see Fig. 7b. Using regression analysis of hourly PM$_{2.5}$ and the surface extinction coefficient for 16 different wind directions, correlation coefficients are found to be lower for northeastern winds along with calm conditions, suggesting that the particles transported from the northeast show different extinction properties to those from other wind directions.
Figure 6. Time series of surface aerosol extinction coefficient retrieved from MAX-DOAS and comparison with in situ PM$_{2.5}$ concentration (a). Linear regression plots of daily and hourly data are shown in (b) and (c), respectively.

Figure 7. Wind roses of AOD (a), surface aerosol extinction coefficient and PM$_{2.5}$ as a function of wind direction. (b) shows the frequency and the dependence of regression coefficient between surface extinction coefficient and PM$_{2.5}$ on wind direction.

4.4 Case study of Saharan dust intrusion

Previous studies showed that the transport of Sahara dust resulted in high levels of aerosol pollution in the Iberian Peninsula including the city of Madrid, while the Atlantic or polar air masses generally bring cleaner air, significantly reducing particulate matter levels (Querol et al., 2009; Díaz et al., 2012). During the period of MAX-DOAS measurements, several Saharan dust intrusions affecting Madrid were reported by the Directorate-General of Environmental Quality and Assessment (Dirección General de Calidad y Evaluación Ambiental) at the Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Environment (Ministerio de Agricultura, Alimentación y Medio Ambiente$^1$), as also shown in Fig. 4. The diagnosis of Saharan dust intrusions uses an integrated approach based on data from back-trajectory analysis (Hysplit model, http://www.ready.noaa.gov), simulated dust maps from the NRL (http://www.nrlmry.navy.mil/aerosol/), SKIRON (http://forecast.uoa.gr/forecastnewinfo.php) and BSC-DREAM (http://www.bsc.es/) models and satellite images.

provided by the NASA SeaWiFS project, as described in Díaz et al. (2012).

To investigate the capability of MAX-DOAS retrieval for dust intrusion, we use a case study focusing on the most severe dust intrusion with extremely high AOD up to 0.5, which occurred on 12 May 2015. Figure 8a and b displayed the meteorological parameters during this episode, including temperature, relative humidity and wind direction and speed. It can be found that the wind direction changed from northern to southern directions around 09:00 UTC on that day, while both PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$ concentration, as well as AOD, increased to high levels that lasted for the following few hours. Together with high AOD, the arrival of the dry air mass is correlated with a relative humidity decline from 30 to 15 %. Therefore, all these characteristics identified this day as a typical Saharan dust intrusion event.

The Ångström coefficient, single scattering albedo and asymmetry parameters, as extracted from the AERONET product, are used to represent the characteristic optical properties of Saharan dust vs. those of local aerosols. Figure 8e and f depict the initial retrieved aerosol profile and the corrected profile after including the characteristic aerosol optical properties (i.e., single scattering albedo and asymmetry parameters) of Saharan dust, as registered by AERONET, in HEIPRO. The results show small differences in both retrievals. Both of them capture the elevation of high aerosol extinction between 13:00 and 16:00 UTC. The good performance of the MAX-DOAS retrieval is validated by the air mass pathways shown in Fig. 9, which provides information on possible aerosol sources and the advective transport towards the Iberian Peninsula during the dust event. As demonstrated in Fig. 9a, the 48 h backward trajectory that arrived in Madrid at 15:00 UTC on 12 May denotes that the air mass at 1500 m above ground level (a.g.l.) is from the southwest, accompanied with an elevation of several hundred meters in the vertical, which was also captured by the MAX-DOAS-retrieved extinction profiles.

The initial and corrected AODs retrieved from MAX-DOAS, together with the AERONET AOD, are shown in Fig. 8d. The agreement between MAX-DOAS and AERONET datasets was only slightly improved with the correction for aerosol optical parameters. However, the AOD was not improved as much as expected after 14:00 UTC. The remaining systematic underestimation of AOD is likely due to the fact that the algorithm was constrained for aerosol extinction within the first 4 km and has a lower sensitivity for high altitudes (Frieß et al., 2016). The backward trajectory of the air mass at 3000 m a.g.l. reveals that dust from the southwest at even higher altitudes passed over Madrid (Fig. 9a). This may explain the underestimation of MAX-DOAS AODs, with respect to AERONET (Fig. 5), during days of Saharan dust intrusions, since during these events,
the contribution of the partial optical depth above 4 km to the total AOD is much higher than during typical non-intrusion days. Further details of the dust intrusion are provided by the dust optical depth at 550 nm at 06:00, 12:00 and 18:00 UTC on 12 May (Fig. 9b, c and d), which was modeled by the Navy Aerosol Analysis and Prediction System (NAAPS) provided by Naval Research Laboratory (NRL). This confirms that the Saharan dust arrived in Madrid around 12:00 UTC and lasted the whole afternoon.

Considering the ability to capture the elevated layer, the Gaussian a priori profile was attempted in order to retrieve the aerosol extinction profile of this dusty day. As it can be seen in Fig. 3a, the Gaussian profile was distributed as a peaking shape, which was defined and parameterized in the algorithm with two variables, i.e., the aerosol extinction coefficient of the peak and the altitude of the peak. However, the bottom of the Gaussian profile close to the ground surface has an extremely low aerosol extinction coefficient, which obviously deviates from the realistic condition that considerable aerosol loaded within low altitude during dust days.

Therefore, the modeled O_4 optical depths deviate from the measurements. It implies that this simplified Gaussian a priori is not suitable for the dust layer retrieval. More suitable a priori profile for the elevated plumes retrieval deserves further investigation along with other satellite and ground-based measurement in the future.

5 Summary and conclusions

In this paper, we present the retrieval of aerosol extinction coefficient and resulting AODs from MAX-DOAS measurements carried out from March to September 2015 in the urban area of Madrid. The O_4 absorption in the UV bands at multiple elevations angles served as input for the HEIPRO algorithm to retrieve aerosol extinction profiles in the lower troposphere. Based on tests for O_4 absorption correction, a cross section scaling factor of 1.2 was determined as the optimal correction for the uncertainties arising from the inclusion of the newly available O_4 cross section measured by Thal-
man and Volkamer (2013) in the aerosol retrieval at 360 nm. The influence of different types of a priori profiles on the retrieval, i.e., linear, exponential, Boltzmann (smoothed box-shaped) and Gaussian distribution (peaking shape), was investigated, which suggests that an exponential a priori profile with a moderate scale height of 1.5 km is suitable for use in this typical urban site.

Retrieved hourly AODs at 360 nm from MAX-DOAS were compared to the correlative AERONET sun photometer product. Both of them displayed a similar temporal behavior with significant correlation coefficients, with an R of 0.87. Due to the more frequent Saharan dust intrusions in summer, monthly mean AODs showed highest values from June to September. Nevertheless, a deviation of 20 % exists between these two datasets, especially during high aerosol loading conditions (AOD > 0.2), e.g., dust intrusions. The MAX-DOAS-retrieved surface aerosol extinction coefficient was also compared to the averaged PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations from six urban in situ stations. The good agreement between both datasets indicates that the MAX-DOAS retrieval is an effective tool to characterize the number of particles close to the surface. In addition, the retrieved AOD and surface extinction coefficient, as well as in situ PM$_{2.5}$, exhibit a generally similar dependence on wind direction.

A severe dust intrusion on 12 May 2015 was chosen to assess the capability of the MAX-DOAS retrieval during dust events. The high performance of MAX-DOAS retrieval to recognize the elevated layer of particles was aided by air-mass backward trajectory analysis. After removing the uncertainties from the Ångström coefficient, single scattering albedo and asymmetry parameters, the absolute difference in AODs between the MAX-DOAS and AERONET methods was mainly attributed to the low sensitivity of the MAX-DOAS inversion algorithm to the partial optical depth above 3–4 km. Finally, we suggest that the ability of the MAX-DOAS technique to retrieve information on the vertical distribution of the aerosol extinction coefficient over long periods of time provides an additional tool for the study of the air quality in Madrid. More comprehensive and direct validation of the MAX-DOAS-retrieved aerosol extinction profiles needs simultaneous combined ancillary measurements, e.g., by lidars and ceilometers.
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